

**DECISION-MAKING MODEL FOR ENERGY
EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGIES IN GREEN BUILDINGS**

M P Wasudha Prabodhani Abeyrathna

198136T

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2023

**DECISION-MAKING MODEL FOR ENERGY
EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGIES IN GREEN BUILDINGS**

M P Wasudha Prabodhani Abeyrathna

198136T

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

July 2023

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: ***UOM Verified Signature***

Date: 08/09/2023

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD/MPhil/Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. Rangika Umesh Halwatura

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 08. 09 2023

Name of Supervisor: Prof. Arturas Kaklauskas

Signature of the Supervisor: ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date: 08/09/2023

Name of Supervisor: Dr. Amal Shehan Perera

Signature of the Supervisor: ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date: 08/09/23

Name of Supervisor: Dr. Fatima Rizna Arooz

Signature of the Supervisor: ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date: 08/09/2023

DEDICATION

This thesis represents not only the culmination of academic achievements but also the culmination of the love, support, and belief that surrounded me throughout this transformative journey.

This dissertation is dedicated to the love and support given by my loving parents, my sister and her husband, and my loving mother-in-law.

To my loving husband, you deserve equal credit for this accomplishment, and I dedicate this thesis to you with heartfelt gratitude and love. This achievement is not solely mine, but a shared victory that we celebrate together.

With heartfelt appreciation, this dissertation is dedicated to Mother Sri Lanka - my source of knowledge, inspiration, and boundless opportunities that generously granted me free education. As I present this dissertation, I do so with profound gratitude for the doors Sri Lanka's education system has opened for me. This dedication is a tribute to the countless educators, administrators, and policymakers who work tirelessly to make education accessible to all.

To the relentless researchers, the tenacious souls who refuse to give up, the researchers who keep pushing forward, undeterred by failure and setbacks, I dedicate this thesis to you as a tribute to your incredible spirit and celebration of your remarkable accomplishments and the champions of perseverance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply grateful for the support and guidance of numerous individuals whose unwavering contributions made this research journey possible, ultimately leading to the successful completion of my PhD thesis.

Foremost, I extend my heartfelt appreciation to Prof. R.U. Halwatura, my research supervisor, whose mentorship and unwavering support were the cornerstone of my research success. Additionally, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Arturas Kaklauskas, Dr. Amal Shehan Perera and Dr. Rizna Arooz, my esteemed co-supervisors, whose invaluable guidance and encouragement played a crucial role in achieving my research objectives. As a team, we surmounted obstacles and accomplished our research goals.

I am also indebted to Dr. Surangika Ranatunga and Dr. Nimal Wijerathna of the progress review committee and fellow researchers of the Pro Green Laboratory, University of Moratuwa. Their constructive feedback, unwavering support, and encouragement were instrumental in yielding fruitful research outcomes.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to the support from the European Union Erasmus+ program for Capacity Building in the field of Higher Education for financing the project activities and for the great exposure they have offered me throughout the years. Also, I would like to acknowledge the Department of Construction Management and Real Estate academic staff of Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania, for hosting and sponsoring me to study as one of their research students.

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to the management and staff of the factories and office buildings that graciously granted me permission to conduct surveys and collect crucial building information, especially during the challenging COVID-19 pandemic. Their willingness to cooperate and support my research, despite the unprecedented circumstances, was truly commendable. My sincere appreciation also goes to the participants of the surveys, whose enthusiastic engagement and valuable input were integral to the success of this research. None of these research outcomes would have been possible without their active involvement.

Furthermore, I extend my special thanks to the Construction Management Division of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Ms. Priyantha, Ms. Rukma, and Mr. Naveen for their constant and unfaltering support throughout this journey.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents, husband, sister and her husband, and my mother-in-law for their unwavering belief in me and their endless encouragement. Their steadfast support at every step has been an essential source of strength and motivation in making this journey a resounding success. I want to especially acknowledge Ms. Amavi Silva, who was a beacon of light when I was in a research block and also Mr. Udayantha Giragamage, for going above and beyond in his support and assistance with my research.

To everyone who played a role in this research endeavor, your contributions have not gone unnoticed and have left an indelible mark on my academic and personal growth. Thank you all for being an integral part of this remarkable achievement.

ABSTRACT

Employee satisfaction is paramount as it directly impacts their productivity and health, particularly in the office environment, where thermal comfort plays a crucial role. Existing quantitative methods for evaluating thermal comfort satisfaction solely focus on building structural elements. To bridge this gap, a study was conducted, surveying 1091 staff members across 14 green office buildings to assess their satisfaction with indoor environmental quality (IEQ) comfort. The analysis introduced a proposed network of IEQ comfort features to aid in designing the questionnaire and measuring the environment. To address the issue of an imbalanced dataset, the study implemented various resampling methods along with feature selection techniques that integrated statistical analysis methods and machine learning algorithms. Developing predictive models using the Random Forest algorithm allowed for a comparison with Decision Tree, Lasso Regression and Support Vector Regression models. Three predictive models were created to assess thermal comfort, visual comfort and indoor air quality comfort separately, and one predictive model was created to assess the overall IEQ comfort. The study identified significant factors influencing IEQ comfort satisfaction, the share of the area served by AC, total window area, the thickness of the wall insulation, area served by lighting, and smart controlling. The predictive models achieved more than 75% accuracy, and interpretability supports their practical application in office design. By utilising this predictive model, building designers and managers can make informed decisions, uncovering situations where green building certifications may not meet employees' expected level of thermal comfort. Ultimately, optimising employee thermal comfort can lead to enhanced productivity.

Keywords: employee satisfaction evaluation, green office buildings, IEQ comfort, predictive modelling, random forest regression

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Aims and objectives	3
1.2 Research gap	4
1.3 Research philosophy	6
1.4 Chapter summary	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Defining “green buildings” and “energy-efficiency parameters of a building structure”	8
2.2 Types of green buildings and energy-efficient buildings.....	9
2.3 Green building certification types in the world.....	11
2.4 Green building certification types in Sri Lanka	13
2.5 Significance of understanding the factors influencing energy efficiency	15
2.6 Indoor environmental quality satisfaction of employees	16
2.7 Using machine learning predictive models to predict employee comfort over building structural parameters	20
2.7.1 The concept of regression models and their application in predicting and optimising IEQ factors.....	21
2.7.2 Overview of the random forest regression model and its key characteristics.....	22
2.7.3 Overview of the lasso regression model and its key characteristics.....	23

2.7.4	Overview of the decision tree regression model and its key characteristics.....	25
2.7.5	Overview of the support vector mechanism regression model and its key characteristics.....	26
2.8	Chapter summary	30
3	METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Keyword search and review procedure	34
3.1.1	Research Questions:.....	34
3.1.2	Selection criteria	34
3.2	Green building selection criteria	35
3.3	Employee satisfaction evaluation: employee sample selection.....	41
3.4	Study assumptions.....	43
3.5	Developing machine learning predictive model.....	46
3.6	Chapter summary	49
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	51
4.1	The systematic literature review and bibliographic analysis	51
4.1.1	Green building structural factors influencing indoor environmental quality.....	51
4.1.2	Factors affecting employee satisfaction in an office building	71
4.2	Conceptual framework	75
4.3	Parameter Measurements Criteria	76
4.4	Main features of selected office green buildings	79
4.5	Identified building parameter ranges.....	88
4.6	Pilot survey.....	91
4.6.1	Objectives of the Pilot Survey:	92
4.6.2	Methodology of the pilot survey.....	92
4.6.3	Conclusions of the Pilot Survey.....	92
4.7	The main survey	93
4.7.1	Sampling Error calculations.....	94

4.8	Pre-Screening Survey Results: Ensuring Data Integrity and Quality	96
4.9	Descriptive analysis.....	98
4.10	Accuracy and reliability analysis.....	101
4.11	Exploring the normality of the data.....	102
4.11.1	Normality Test for Thermal Comfort	103
4.11.2	Normality Test for Visual Comfort.....	104
4.11.3	Normality Test for IAQ Satisfaction.....	104
4.12	Hypothesis testing	105
4.13	The IEQ comfort and distance between the window in general office spaces	113
4.14	Developing predictive model for Thermal comfort – Model 01	116
4.15	Developing predictive model for Visual Comfort – Model 02	128
4.16	Developing a predictive model for Indoor Air Quality – Model 03.....	134
4.17	Developing predictive model for Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ) for overall performance- Model 04.....	140
4.18	Developing a user interface	147
4.19	Chapter summary.....	148
5	CONCLUSION	151
6	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS.....	155
	REFERENCES & BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	156

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Methodology of the research.....	34
Figure 3.2: Green office buildings selection process for the study.....	40
Figure 3.3: Green office buildings in Sri Lanka (right) and the selected green office buildings (left).....	41
Figure 3.4: The zoning layout of the general office buildings.....	41
Figure 3.5: The zoning layout of the factory buildings	42
Figure 3.6: The employee sampling process.....	43
Figure 3.7: The model infrastructure	48
Figure 4.1: The steps conducted for bibliographic analysis to identify building factors.....	52
Figure 4.2: The building-related factors influencing IEQ	71
Figure 4.3: The steps conducted for bibliographic analysis to identify occupant factors.....	72
Figure 4.4: The occupant-related factors for IEQ comfort	75
Figure 4.5: Conceptual Framework of the Study.....	76
Figure 4.6: Factory 1.....	80
Figure 4.7 : Factory 2.....	80
Figure 4.8: Factory 3.....	81
Figure 4.9: Factory 4.....	81
Figure 4.10: Factory 5.....	82
Figure 4.11: Factory 6.....	82
Figure 4.12: Office 1.....	84
Figure 4.13: Office 2.....	84
Figure 4.14: Office 3.....	85
Figure 4.15: Office 4.....	85
Figure 4.16: Office 5.....	86
Figure 4.17: Office 6.....	86
Figure 4.18: Office 7.....	86
Figure 4.19: Office 8.....	87
Figure 4.20: Pre-screening steps of the survey results.....	98
Figure 4.21: Number of respondents according to the office type	98
Figure 4.22: Number of respondents according to the gender.....	99
Figure 4.23: Number of respondents according to the home town climatic zone	99
Figure 4.24: Number of respondents according to the age groups	100

Figure 4.25: Number of respondents according to the working hours	100
Figure 4.26: Number of respondents according to the work experience	101
Figure 4.27: The normality test illustration of thermal comfort	103
Figure 4.28: The normality test illustration of visual comfort.....	104
Figure 4.29: The normality test illustration of IAQ comfort	105
Figure 4.30: Independent samples Mann-Whitney U test results illustration of gender group and test satisfaction variables.....	106
Figure 4.31: Independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test results illustration of age group and test satisfaction variables in office buildings.....	107
Figure 4.32: Independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test results illustration of age group and test satisfaction variables in factory buildings.....	108
Figure 4.33: Independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test results illustration of working and test satisfaction variables	109
Figure 4.34: Independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test results illustration of home town and test satisfaction variables	110
Figure 4.35: The satisfaction level Vs climatic zone of the hometown of the employees	111
Figure 4.36: Independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test results in illustration of the distance between the window and test satisfaction variables in office buildings	112
Figure 4.37: The comfortable levels of the employees with respect to the distance from the window- General office buildings	114
Figure 4.38: Correlation between satisfaction level of the sunlight glare and the distance between work desk and window	114
Figure 4.39: Independent samples Kruskal-Wallis test results illustration of distance between the window and test satisfaction variables in factory buildings	115
Figure 4.40: The comfortable levels of the employees with respect to the distance from the window- Factories.....	116
Figure 4.41: Correlation plot of Model 1	118
Figure 4.42: Model 1 comparison MAE values.....	121
Figure 4.43: Decision tree of the Model 1	123
Figure 4.44: Box plot MAE values (cross-validation) for Model 1.....	125
Figure 4.45: Predicted Vs Actual value of RF for Model 1.....	126
Figure 4.46: Feature importance of the thermal comfort model variables.	127
Figure 4.47: Feature importance of the thermal comfort model variables (Excluding area shared by AC).....	127

Figure 4.48: Correlation plot of Model 2.....	130
Figure 4.49: Model 2 comparison MAE values.....	131
Figure 4.50 : Decision tree of the Model 2	133
Figure 4.51: Predicted Vs Actual value of RF for Model 2 (Left), Box plot MAE values (cross-validation) for Model 2 (Right).....	134
Figure 4.52: Feature importance of the visual comfort model variables.	134
Figure 4.53: The correlation plot for Model 3	136
Figure 4.54: Model 3 comparison MAE values.....	138
Figure 4.55: Decision tree of the Model 3	139
Figure 4.56: Predicted Vs Actual value of RF for Model 3 (Left), Box plot MAE values (cross-validation) for Model 3 (Right).....	140
Figure 4.57: Feature importance of the IAQ comfort model variables.....	140
Figure 4.58: The correlation plot for Model 3	142
Figure 4.59: Model 4 comparison MAE values.....	144
Figure 4.60: Decision tree of the Model 4	145
Figure 4.61: Predicted Vs Actual value of RF for Model 4 (Left), Box plot MAE values (cross-validation) for Model 4 (Right).....	146
Figure 4.62: Feature importance of the IEQ comfort model variables	146
Figure 4.63: User interface to evaluate the employee IEQ satisfaction.....	148

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Satisfaction levels reported in different case studies	19
Table 2.2: Advantages and Disadvantages of using RF, DT, Lasso and SVM regression models	28
Table 4.1: Identified factors affecting employee comfort in office buildings	52
Table 4.2: Wall insulation materials that are commonly used.....	56
Table 4.3: Roof insulation materials that are commonly used.....	57
Table 4.4: The general guidelines for comfortable lux levels in office and factory spaces	62
Table 4.5: Common types of windows glazing types	65
Table 4.6: Common types of the window glazing system types (References: Gasparella et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2022; Mahmoud, 2022; Hee et al., 2015; Saadatian et al., 2021; Es-Sakali et al., 2022)	66
Table 4.7: Acceptable Air Quality parameters according to ASHRAE 90.1 (ASHRAE Standard 90.1, 2019)	70
Table 4.8: Parameter measuring criteria	77
Table 4.9: Building features summary - Factories.....	83
Table 4.10: Building features summary - General office spaces	87
Table 4.11: The observed window glazing types of the selected green buildings.....	89
Table 4.12: The observed roof insulation material types of the selected green buildings.....	89
Table 4.13: The observed wall insulation material types of the selected green buildings.....	90
Table 4.14: The observed IAQ parameters of the selected green buildings	90
Table 4.15: The observed Lux levels of the selected green buildings	90
Table 4.16: The other observed parameters and their ranges	91
Table 4.17: Sampling error for factories.....	94
Table 4.18: Percentage of population representation for general office buildings	95
Table 4.19: Nature of the questions used to get the average IEQ satisfaction of the employees	96
Table 4.20: Cronbach's alpha values for the models	102
Table 4.21: Features and data types used in Model 1	117
Table 4.22: Correlation values between variables and thermal comfort	117
Table 4.23: The model comparison for Thermal comfort.....	121
Table 4.24: VIF values for Model 1.....	122
Table 4.25: Features and data types used in Model 2	128

Table 4.26: Correlation values between variables and visual comfort	128
Table 4.27: The model comparison for Visual comfort.....	132
Table 4.28: VIF values for Model 2.....	132
Table 4.29: Features and data types used in Model 3	135
Table 4.30: Correlation values between variables and IAQ comfort.....	135
Table 4.31: The model comparison for IAQ comfort	138
Table 4.32: VIF values for Model 3.....	138
Table 4.33: Features and data types used in Model 4	141
Table 4.34: Correlation values between variables and IEQ comfort	141
Table 4.35: The model comparison for IEQ comfort	144
Table 4.36: VIF values for Model 4.....	144

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AC	Air Condition
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, And Air-Conditioning Engineers
BCA	Building and Construction Authority
BD & FM	Building Design and Facilities Management
BRE	Building Research Establishment
BREAM	Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function
CFL	Compact Fluorescent Lamps
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
DGNB	Deutsche Gesellschaft Für Nachhaltiges Bauen (German Sustainable Building Council)
DT	Decision Tree
EDGE	Excellence In Design for Greater Efficiencies
Emp	Employee
ESE	Employee Satisfaction Survey
GBCA	Green Building Council of Australia
GBCSL	Green Building Council of Sri Lanka
GBDM	Green Building Decision Making Model
G-SEED	Green Standard for Energy and Environmental Design
H ₀	Null Hypothesis
H ₁	Alternative Hypothesis
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality
IEQ	Indoor Environmental Quality
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IQR	Interquartile Range
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
IWBI	International Well Building Institute
K-S	Kolmogorov-Smirnov
KW test	Kruskal-Wallis Test
LED	Light-Emitting Diodes
LEED	Leadership In Energy and Environmental Design
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
NDA	Non Disclosure Agreement
NGBS	National Green Building Standard

NZEB	Net-Zero Energy Building
PM	Particulate Matter
PPM	Parts Per Million
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
QQ	Quantile-Quantile
r	Correlation Coefficient
RF	Random Forest
RMSE	Root Mean Squared Error
SHGC	Solar Heat Gain Coefficient
SVM	Support Vector Mechanism
SVR	Support Vector Regression
S-W	Shapiro-Wilk
U test	Mann-Whitney U Test
USA	United States of America
USGBC	United State Green Building Council
USGBC	U.S. Green Building Council
VAV	Variable Air Volume
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds
VT	Visible Transmittance
WWR	Window-to-Wall Ratio

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	The Employee Satisfaction Evaluation questionnaire
APPENDIX B	The predictive model codes files created in Jupyter notebook
APPENDIX C	The codes written for user interface created in Visual Studio