

**POTENTIAL OF INCORPORATING SATELLITE SOIL
MOISTURE OBSERVATIONS IN FLOOD MODELING IN
KELANI RIVER BASIN, SRI LANKA**

(179244L)

R.M.K.C. Rajapaksha

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2023

**POTENTIAL OF INCORPORATING SATELLITE SOIL
MOISTURE OBSERVATIONS IN FLOOD MODELING IN
KELANI RIVER BASIN, SRI LANKA**

R.M.K.C. Rajapaksha

(179244L)

Supervised by

Prof. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse

Degree of Master of Science
in Water Resources Engineering and Management

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

June 2023

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

“I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text”.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

30/06/2023

R.M.K.C. Rajapaksha

Date

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master
of Engineering in Water Resources Engineering and Management

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master’s Thesis/Dissertation under my
supervision.

2023-06-30

Prof. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse

Date

ABSTRACT

Potential of Incorporating Satellite Soil Moisture Observations in Flood Modeling in Kelani River Basin, Sri Lanka

Floods are frequent and devastating natural disasters, causing significant social and economic losses worldwide. Flood modelling plays a crucial role in predicting and mitigating the impacts of floods. In recent years, satellite remote sensing technology has emerged as a valuable tool for flood modelling, especially in estimating soil moisture, a critical parameter for flood forecasting.

The potential of incorporating satellite soil moisture observations in flood modelling within the highly flood-prone Kelani River Basin up to Glencourse in Sri Lanka was investigated in this study. The study utilizes ESA CCI Soil moisture data and employs the Pearson correlation coefficient to evaluate the linear correlation between the modified Antecedent Precipitation Index (API) and the European Space Agency's Climate Change Initiative for Soil Moisture (ESA CCI SM). The Hydrologic Engineering Center's Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) is taken as the preferred hydrological model, with the Green-Ampt loss method, Clark's unit hydrograph method, and recession constant baseflow method chosen for the lumped daily resolution event-based model.

Twelve flood events are carefully selected for model calibration and validation. Events E1 to E6 are used for calibration, while events E7 to E12 are utilized for model validation. Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE), percent bias (PBIAS), and the ratio of root mean square error to the standard deviation of measured data (RSR) is employed to assess the predictive accuracy of the model in comparison to observed streamflow.

The findings indicate a robust association between the modified API and the soil moisture data from ESA CCI SM. The calibrated HEC-HMS model exhibits an NSE of 0.76, an RSR value of 0.45, and a PBIAS of 5% during calibration, while validation yields an average NSE of 0.726, RSR of 0.51, and a PBIAS of 6%. The incorporation of ESA CCI SM data leads to marginal improvements in model performance for some events while showing negative impacts for others.

The study reveals that incorporating ESA CCI SM observations results in minor enhancements in the model's predictive accuracy. Furthermore, the integration of ESA CCI SM data has the potential to improve the accuracy of total stream flow predictions and peak flow predictions. These findings contribute to the advancement of flood modelling techniques, providing valuable insights for flood mitigation efforts in the Kelani River Basin and similar flood-prone regions globally.

Keywords: API, ESA CCI SM, HEC- HMS, Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency, Pearson correlation coefficient

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep appreciation to my research supervisor, Professor R.L.H.L Rajapakse, for his invaluable guidance and support throughout my research work. His exceptional patience, unwavering motivation, and constant supervision were pivotal in the triumphant completion of this thesis.

I am also grateful to the overall course director, Senior Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera, for his unwavering support and encouragement during my studies. Despite his busy schedule, he always made time to provide me with guidance and help, for which I am truly appreciative.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the Late Shri Madanjeet Singh (Founder of South Asia Foundation), the South Asia Foundation (SAF) and I want to express my gratitude to the University of Moratuwa, Department of Civil Engineering, for giving me the chance to pursue a Master's degree in Water Resource Engineering and Management at the UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM).

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the staff of the UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre Department of Civil Engineering for their invaluable assistance and support throughout my studies.

Lastly, I am deeply indebted to my family and friends for their constant support and encouragement throughout my research journey. Their unwavering belief in me provided the strength and motivation I needed to successfully complete this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR.....	III
ABSTRACT	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
TABLE OF CONTENT	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XI
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XII
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT	3
1.2 OVERALL OBJECTIVE	3
1.3 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES.....	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 SOIL MOISTURE	4
2.2 DETAILS OF SOIL MOISTURE AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES	5
2.3 ESA CCI SOIL MOISTURE DATA.....	6
2.4 USE OF SOIL MOISTURE IN HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING	9
2.4.1 Antecedent Moisture Condition	10
2.4.2 Antecedent Precipitation Index	10
2.4.3 Correlation Coefficient Between CCI-SM and API.....	11
2.4.4 Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient	11
2.5 USE OF SATELLITE SOIL MOISTURE IN HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING	12
2.6 HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING OF KELANI RIVER.....	12
2.7 OBJECTIVE FUNCTION AND PARAMETER ESTIMATION	13
3 METHODOLOGY	15
3.1 METHODOLOGY FLOW CHART.....	16
3.2 STUDY AREA.....	17
3.3 DATA AND DATA RESOLUTION	18
3.3.1 Rain/Streamflow Data.....	19
3.3.2 Land Use	21
3.3.3 Soil Type Data	22
3.3.4 Soil Moisture Data Preparation	24
3.4 DATA CHECKING.....	25
3.4.1 Thiessen Average Rainfall	26
3.4.2 Visual Data Checking	26
3.4.3 Monthly Data Checking	29

3.4.4	Double Mass Curve Analysis.....	30
3.4.5	Annual Water Balance	31
4	MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATIONS.....	33
4.1	SELECTION OF EVENTS.....	33
4.2	HEC HMS MODEL DEVELOPMENT.....	34
4.2.1	Development of Basin Model	34
4.2.2	Development of the Precipitation Loss Model.....	34
4.2.3	Development of Transform Model.....	35
4.2.4	Development of Baseflow Model	35
4.2.5	Sensitivity Analysis.....	35
4.2.6	Model Calibration	36
4.2.7	Model Verification.....	36
4.3	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SATELLITE SOIL MOISTURE AND ANTECEDENT RAINFALL	36
4.4	INCORPORATION OF ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION IN HEC-HMS MODEL	37
5	ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	39
5.1	ANALYSIS RESULTS OF MODEL CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION	39
5.2	RELATIONSHIP OF SATELLITE SOIL MOISTURE AND ANTECEDENT PRECIPITATION INDEX.....	52
5.3	ANALYSIS RESULTS AFTER INCORPORATION OF ESA CCI SM IN THE HEC-HMS MODEL	56
6	DISCUSSION.....	59
6.1	DATA COLLATION AND HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING	59
6.2	MODEL CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION	60
6.3	INCORPORATION OF ESA CCI SM IN THE HEC-HMS MODEL	60
6.4	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	61
6.4.1	Reservoirs for Hydropower Generation in the Catchment	61
6.4.2	Antecedent Soil Moisture Conditions in Flood Forecasting	61
6.4.3	Use of Satellite Soil Moisture Data.....	61
6.4.4	Use of ESA CCI SM Data.....	62
7	CONCLUSIONS	63
8	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	65
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	66
	ANNEXURE 1: DOUBLE MASS CURVES FOR RAINFALL GAUGING STATIONS	70
	ANNEXURE 2: MODEL SIMULATION AND CALIBRATION	73
	ANNEXURE 3: CUSTOMIZED MATLAB SCRIPT UTILIZED TO EXTRACT THE AVERAGE SOIL MOISTURE VALUES FOR THE GLENCOURSE CATCHMENT.....	77
	ANNEXURE 4: GREEN-AMPT INFILTRATION PARAMETERS FROM SOILS DATA	79
	ANNEXURE 5: ASSESS NORMALITY USING STATISTICAL METHODS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE RAINFALL DATA FOLLOW A NORMAL DISTRIBUTION.....	80

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2-1: MICROWAVE INSTRUMENTS USED FOR THE GENERATION OF SOIL MOISTURE ECV DATA PRODUCTS (DATA SOURCE RETRIEVED FROM CLIMATE CHANGE INITIATIVE (CCI) - CEDA (HTTPS://DATA.CCI.CEDA.AC.UK/THREDDS/CATALOG.HTML))	6
FIGURE 2-2: SENSOR COVERAGE IN THE ESA CCI SM v07.1 PRODUCT. ACTIVE (BLUE), PASSIVE (RED), COMBINED, (RED AND BLUE). SOURCE: HTTPS://WWW.ESA-SOILMOISTURE-CCI.ORG/NODE/136	7
FIGURE 2-3: CORRELATION (PEARSON’S) BETWEEN THE ESA CCI SM COMBINED v 07.1 PRODUCT AND ERA-5 SOIL MOISTURE FOR THE PERIOD 2007-01-01 TO 2020-12-31. SOURCE: HIRSCHI, STRADIOTTI, PREIMESBERGER, DORIGO, & KIDD, (2022)	8
FIGURE 2-4: COMPARISON BETWEEN THE OBSERVED SOIL MOISTURE AND CCI-SM PRODUCT. A). THE TIME SERIES PLOT. B). THE SCATTER PLOT WITH THE IDENTICAL LINE. SOURCE (ZHAO ET AL., 2019)	9
FIGURE 3-1: METHODOLOGY FLOW CHART	16
FIGURE 3-2: MAP OF GLENCORSE CATCHMENT	17
FIGURE 3-3: DEM FOR GLENCORSE CATCHMENT.....	18
FIGURE 3-4: RAIN GAUGING LOCATIONS FOR CATCHMENT UP TO GLENCORSE	19
FIGURE 3-5: LAND USE MAP OF THE STUDY AREA (SOURCE: SURVEY DEPARTMENT, SRI LANKA)	21
FIGURE 3-6: PERCENTAGES OF LAND USE CATEGORIES.....	22
FIGURE 3-7: SOIL CLASSIFICATION MAP OF THE GLENCORSE (SOURCE: SURVEY DEPARTMENT, SRI LANKA)	23
FIGURE 3-8: PERCENTAGES OF SOIL CATEGORIES.....	24
FIGURE 3-9: ESA CCI SOIL MOISTURE DATA PIXELS FOR KELENI CATCHMENT	25
FIGURE 3-10: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2008.....	27
FIGURE 3-11: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2009.....	27
FIGURE 3-12: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2010.....	27
FIGURE 3-13: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2011	28
FIGURE 3-14: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2012	28
FIGURE 3-15: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2013	28
FIGURE 3-16: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2014.....	28
FIGURE 3-17: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2015.....	29
FIGURE 3-18: THIESSEN RAINFALL VS GLENCORSE DISCHARGE YEAR 2016.....	29
FIGURE 3-19: THIESSEN RAINFALL PATTERN (MONTHLY) FOR GLENCORSE CATCHMENT	30
FIGURE 3-20: DOUBLE MASS CURVE FOR RAINFALL DATA	31
FIGURE 4-1: DETERMINATION OF THE LENGTH OF THE PRECEDING PERIOD	37
FIGURE 5-1: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E1 CALIBRATION	39
FIGURE 5-2: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E1 CALIBRATION (LOG SCALE).....	39
FIGURE 5-3: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E2 CALIBRATION	40
FIGURE 5-4: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E2 CALIBRATION (LOG SCALE).....	40

FIGURE 5-5: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E3 CALIBRATION	40
FIGURE 5-6: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E3 CALIBRATION (LOG SCALE).....	41
FIGURE 5-7: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E4 CALIBRATION	41
FIGURE 5-8: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E4 CALIBRATION (LOG SCALE).....	41
FIGURE 5-9:: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E5 CALIBRATION	42
FIGURE 5-10:FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E5 CALIBRATION (LOG SCALE).....	42
FIGURE 5-11: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E6 CALIBRATION	42
FIGURE 5-12: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E6 CALIBRATION (LOG SCALE).....	43
FIGURE 5-13: COMPARISON OF TOTAL OBSERVED AND SIMULATED STREAMFLOW DURING CALIBRATION.....	45
FIGURE 5-14: COMPARISON OF OBSERVED AND SIMULATED DAILY AVERAGE PEAK FLOW DURING CALIBRATION	45
FIGURE 5-15 : FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E7 VALIDATION	46
FIGURE 5-16: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E7 VALIDATION (LOG SCALE).....	46
FIGURE 5-17: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E8 VALIDATION	46
FIGURE 5-18: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E8 VALIDATION (LOG SCALE).....	47
FIGURE 5-19: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E9 VALIDATION	47
FIGURE 5-20: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E9 VALIDATION (LOG SCALE).....	47
FIGURE 5-21: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E10 VALIDATION	48
FIGURE 5-22: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E10 VALIDATION (LOG SCALE).....	48
FIGURE 5-23: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E11 VALIDATION	48
FIGURE 5-24: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E11 VALIDATION (LOG SCALE).....	49
FIGURE 5-25: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E12 VALIDATION	49
FIGURE 5-26: FLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR OBSERVED FLOW AND SIMULATED FLOW IN THE E12 VALIDATION (LOG SCALE).....	49
FIGURE 5-27: COMPARISON OF OBSERVED AND SIMULATED TOTAL STREAMFLOW DURING VERIFICATION.....	51
FIGURE 5-28: COMPARISON OF OBSERVED AND SIMULATED PEAK FLOW DURING VERIFICATION.....	52
FIGURE 5-29: TIME SERIES OF APIC AND APIM, RAINFALL AS WELL AS ESA CCI SM FOR THE YEAR 2008	52
FIGURE 5-30: TIME SERIES OF APIC AND APIM, RAINFALL AS WELL AS ESA CCI SM FOR THE YEAR 2010	53
FIGURE 5-31: TIME SERIES OF APIC AND APIM, RAINFALL AS WELL AS ESA CCI SM FOR THE YEAR 2012	53
FIGURE 5-32: THE SCATTER PLOT OF API AGAINST THE CCI SOIL MOISTURE AS WELL AS THE FITTED CURVE (FOR THE YEAR 2012).	54

FIGURE 5-33: THE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT BETWEEN CCI-SM AND VS MODIFIED API, CONVENTIONAL API,
AND RF FOR 2008 TO 2017 55

FIGURE 5-34: COMPARISON OF OBSERVED, CALIBRATED AND CCI SM INCORPORATED MODEL'S PEAK FLOWS 57

FIGURE 5-35: COMPARISON OF OBSERVED, CALIBRATED AND CCI SM INCORPORATED MODEL'S TOTAL STREAM
FLOW 58

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 3-1: DATA SOURCES AND RESOLUTION	18
TABLE 3-2: RAINFALL GAUGE LOCATIONS (COORDINATES) DETAILS FOR GLENCOURSE CATCHMENT	20
TABLE 3-3: LAND USE AND COVERAGE AREA	21
TABLE 3-4: GLENCOURSE CATCHMENT SOIL TYPE CLASSIFICATION	23
TABLE 3-5: THIESSEN WEIGHTS.....	26
TABLE 3-6: RMS VALUES OF EACH RAIN GAUGING STATION	31
TABLE 3-7: ANNUAL WATER BALANCE - GLENCOURSE RIVER BASIN	32
TABLE 4-1: DETAILS OF SELECTED STREAMFLOW EVENTS.....	33
TABLE 5-1: EVENT CALIBRATION DETAILS.....	44
TABLE 5-2: EVENT VERIFICATION DETAILS	50
TABLE 5-3: THE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT BETWEEN CCI-SM AND VS MODIFIED API, CONVENTIONAL API, AND RF FOR 2008 TO 2017.....	55
TABLE 5-4: COMPUTED SKILL METRICS FOR VALIDATED MODEL AND ESA CCI INITIAL SM INCORPORATED MODEL.....	56

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMC - Antecedent Moisture Content

API - Antecedent Precipitation Index

ABFI - Antecedent Baseflow index

CN - Curve Number

CUrW – Centre for Urban Water

DEM - Digital Elevation Model

DOI - Department of Irrigation

ESA CCI SM- European Space agency climate change initiative soil moisture

GIS - Geographic Information System

HEC-HMS - Hydrologic Engineering Centre Hydrologic Modelling System

ISM - Initial Soil Moisture

NSE -Nash-Sutcliffe-Efficiency

RMSE - Root mean square error

SCS - Soil Conservation Service

SMA - Soil Moisture Account

SMI- Soil Moisture Index

WMO - World Meteorological Organization