

**IMPROVING RELIABILITY IN PREDICTING THE  
DEGRADATION OF BUILDING ASSETS**

G. A. V. K. Wickramasinghe

(188066T)

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December 2023

# **IMPROVING RELIABILITY IN PREDICTING THE DEGRADATION OF BUILDING ASSETS**

G. A. V. K. Wickramasinghe

(188066T)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka

December 2023

## DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning, unless approved for a joint-award with another institution, and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.”

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2023

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD thesis under our supervision. We confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Names of the supervisors: [i] Prof. W.P.S. Dias (UoM)

[ii] Prof. Sujeeva Setunge (RMIT)

[iii] Prof. H. M. Y. C. Mallikarachchi (UoM)

Signatures of the supervisors: [i]

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2023

[ii]

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2023

[iii]

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2023

## **Abstract**

Predictive modelling of building component degradation optimises project management and maintenance costs. Typically, the visual inspection-based generic condition ratings of building components are collected over time and analysed to determine age-related degradation trends and corresponding life cycle costs. Using two datasets, this research proposes two new approaches: (i) deficiency-based (as opposed to generic) condition ratings of building components from seven local councils in Sri Lanka were analysed to develop Markov models at the component level (engineering-based approach); (ii) nominal replacement costs and times for building components assigned by estimators from the City of Melbourne were used to arrive at degradation rates for component groups through a novel concept of cumulative lost value ratio (CLVR) (monetary-based approach).

In the engineering-based approach, snapshot data were collected using both deficiency-based and generic deterioration-based condition scales, and the Markov Chain Monte Carlo technique was used to develop reliability-based models. The results showed that deficiency-based models were more accurate and reliable. The monetary-based approach explored the CLVR concept and the validity of using Markov models for component groups, where stochasticity is based on component mix rather than degradation process randomness.

The study's theoretical contribution was to interpret "degradation" in terms of curable and incurable deterioration from a maintenance perspective, estimate component maintenance-free ages using data screening, establish new monetary indices such as LVR and CLVR, evaluate the impact of influencing factors using GRG NLO categorisation, and utilise a monetary-based degradation forecasting paradigm utilising nominal cost data as an alternative to using physical condition data. In practice, the deficiency-based approach will directly improve predictive maintenance reliability, lead to longer maintenance intervals, convert deficiency-based ratings to cost-based ratings using the LVR-based index, and bundle maintenance through categorisation via degradation patterns. The monetary-based approach will eliminate inconsistent physical condition assessments and enable more building assets to be modelled.

**Keywords:** Building components; Deficiency; Degradation; Markov modelling; Influencing factors

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I want to express my gratitude to Prof. Priyan Dias from the University of Moratuwa for seeing my potential, giving me the opportunity to work under his supervision, and assisting me in regaining academic traction. I value the imparted knowledge and wisdom, which have been invaluable, and thank him for his continued supervision despite his retirement, as well as for the fullest support and guidance throughout.

As my joint supervisor from RMIT University, Prof. Sujeeva Setunge was a great inspiration to me when it came to comprehending the specifics of the field of asset management with more insight and in new dimensions. I do appreciate her assistance in gathering data for my research by giving me the opportunity to get involved in the building condition survey conducted in Sri Lanka.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Assoc. Prof. Dilan Robert for his role as my Assoc. supervisor from RMIT University and for all the timely and prompt assistance provided as needed, as well as Prof. Chinthaka Mallikarachchi for assuming the role of my supervisor upon the retirement of Prof. Dias. Also, I hereby express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Huu Tran from RMIT University for his assistance with the MATLAB code and incisive feedback.

My appreciation goes to Mr. Kanishka Atapattu (CAMS team leader at RMIT University) and Mr. Janath Devpura for their assistance in training data collectors and facilitating logistics of the building survey conducted in Sri Lanka. This survey was led by RMIT University and funded by the Asian Development Bank and the Ministry of Provincial Councils and facilitated by the Local Government in Sri Lanka in collecting the data and accessing buildings. The survey would not have been successful without the expertise and guidance of Mr. Dominic Di Martino, the asset and property services manager from the City Council of Brimbank in Melbourne. The undergraduates from the Universities of Moratuwa and Peradeniya who engaged in our building condition survey with devotion and zeal are also sincerely appreciated.

I hereby acknowledge that the local authorities of the City of Melbourne have granted RMIT University access to raw data in the context of a long-standing research collaboration between the two institutions.

Also, I would like to thank the industry professionals, Eng. Nimal Ranasinghe and Eng. Prasanna Narangoda who joined our experts' consultation and gave valuable feedback. In addition, I would like to thank the other informal experts for their contributions to the relevant parts of the research and for volunteering their time and expertise.

Furthermore, I am thankful to all the friends and academic and non-academic staff from Moratuwa and RMIT universities who supported me in completing my research. Without the scholarship granted by RMIT University, it would not have been possible to reach this far, and I am really appreciative of this opportunity.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my dear late parents for instilling in me the resilience and determination that has allowed me to reach this point in my academic career.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	i
ABSTRACT .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xi
LIST OF TABLES .....	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xvii
LIST OF VARIABLES .....	xx
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xxiii
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Significance of the research.....	3
1.3 Problem statement.....	5
1.4 Research questions.....	6
1.5 Research objectives .....	7
1.5.1 Objectives – Engineering-based approach .....	7
1.5.2 Objectives – Monetary-based approach.....	8
1.6 Mapping research questions to research objectives .....	11
1.7 Scope of the research.....	13
1.8 Outline of the thesis.....	15
2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	19
2.1 Literature review strategy .....	19
2.2 Introduction.....	21
2.3 Degradation prediction of building assets .....	23
2.3.1 Differing definitions of ‘Degradation’ .....	24
2.3.2 Deficiency-based condition monitoring .....	25
2.3.3 Reliability-based predictive modelling .....	27
2.3.4 Building inspection methods.....	28
2.3.5 Subjectivities and inconsistencies in data .....	29
2.3.6 Engineering- and monetary-based approaches .....	29
2.3.7 Bundling of maintenance services.....	31
2.4 Progressive improvements towards the condition-based assessment of building assets .....	32

2.5	Predictive model selection.....	33
2.6	Monetary-based degradation prediction .....	35
2.7	Prediction of degradation using influencing factors.....	37
	2.7.1 Rain.....	40
	2.7.2 Coastal exposure.....	40
	2.7.3 Level of utilisation.....	41
2.8	Life cycle cost evaluation .....	43
	2.8.1 Maintenance strategies.....	44
	2.8.2 Analysis of maintenance costs .....	46
2.9	Knowledge and research gaps.....	49
2.10	Filling gaps in the literature through the current study.....	50
2.11	Working definitions of terms .....	52
3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	54
3.1	Statement of philosophy .....	54
	3.1.1 Reasons behind philosophical classification.....	54
	3.1.2 Implications of research philosophy on research strategy .....	55
3.2	Introduction .....	56
3.3	Research approach.....	58
	3.3.1 Engineering-based approach.....	58
	3.3.2 Monetary-based approach.....	61
3.4	Research framework.....	63
3.5	Engineering-based approach – Collection of building condition data .....	66
	3.5.1 Building condition survey.....	67
	3.5.2 Data sampling plan .....	70
	3.5.2.1 Objectives of the sampling activity .....	70
	3.5.2.2 Target population.....	70
	3.5.2.3 Method of sampling.....	71
	3.5.2.4 Sample population .....	71
	3.5.2.5 Data collection.....	75
	3.5.3 Setting up inventory templates on CAMS-mobile application.....	76
	3.5.3.1 Building hierarchy .....	78
	3.5.3.2 Building component hierarchy .....	81
	3.5.3.3 Building component selection .....	83



3.5.4 Differing definitions of ‘Degradation’ .....	84
3.5.4.1 Deficiency-based condition scale .....	86
3.5.4.2 Generic deterioration-based condition scale .....	90
3.5.4.3 Application of condition scales .....	95
3.4.4.4 Comparison of deficiency-based and generic deterioration- based assessments .....	97
3.6 Engineering-based approach – Use of secondary and alternative data .....	97
3.7 Monetary-based approach – Use of secondary monetary data .....	98
3.8 Engineering-based approach – Data analysis .....	99
3.8.1 Analysis of building condition data .....	99
3.8.2 Analysis of secondary and alternative data.....	100
3.8.2.1 Lost Value Ratio Index.....	102
3.9 Markov modelling and data calibration.....	103
3.9.1 Generalised reduced gradient non-linear optimisation .....	108
3.9.2 Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling .....	114
3.10 Engineering-based approach – Verification of the LVRs through an Experts’ consultation .....	116
3.10.1 Method of conduct .....	116
3.10.2 Data analysis .....	116
3.11 Monetary-based approach – Data analysis .....	117
3.11.1 Data processing .....	120
3.11.1.1 Cumulative lost value ratio – Sample working .....	121
3.11.1.2 Cumulative lost value ratio – Theoretical steps .....	122
3.11.1.3 CLVR bands.....	124
3.11.1.4 Guided steps for creating dataframes.....	125
3.12 Summary .....	125
4. ENGINEERING-BASED APPROACH.....	129
4.1 Introduction .....	129
4.2 Post survey observations .....	130
4.3 Data screening and estimation of maintenance-free life .....	134
4.4 Correlation between deficiency-based and generic deterioration-based condition ratings.....	138
4.5 Predictive model development.....	140
4.6 Model validation using Chi-square goodness-of-fit test .....	140

4.7	Accuracy test.....	143
4.8	Outcomes of Markov modelling .....	144
4.8.1	Evaluation of the predictions using GRG NLO and MCMC techniques .....	144
4.8.2	Transient curves based on MCMC technique .....	146
4.8.3	Evaluation of the accuracy of deficiency-based and generic deterioration-based condition ratings .....	151
4.9	Categorisation of the components .....	152
4.10	Summary .....	154
5.	<b>INFLUENCING FACTORS ON THE DEGRADATION OF BUILDING COMPONENTS .....</b>	<b>157</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	157
5.2	Organising data .....	159
5.2.1	Data on influencing factors .....	160
5.2.2	Selecting building components .....	164
5.2.3	Dataframes.....	165
5.3	Criteria for splitting of data.....	168
5.4	Stage-1: GRG NLO approach for assessing factor influence .....	169
5.4.1	Data analysis.....	169
5.4.2	Results and discussion.....	171
5.5	Stage-2: Evaluation of the overall accuracy of predictive modelling techniques .....	172
5.5.1	Data analysis.....	173
5.5.1.1	Multiple linear regression.....	173
5.5.1.2	Simple neural networks .....	175
5.5.1.3	Deep neural networks .....	177
5.5.1.4	Random Forest.....	181
5.5.2	Evaluation of the reliability of the results .....	183
5.5.2.1	The mean absolute error .....	184
5.5.2.2	The accuracy.....	184
5.5.3	Results and discussion.....	186
5.5.3.1	Comparative analysis of the predictive modelling techniques based on the randomly split data .....	186
5.5.3.2	Comparative analysis of the modelling techniques based on the full dataset.....	188
5.5.3.3	LIME Explanation for Random Forest outcomes .....	189

5.6	Summary .....	191
6.	LOST VALUE RATIO INDEX.....	193
6.1	Introduction.....	193
6.2	Rectification scenarios for condition-based deficiencies.....	196
6.3	Deficiency-based lost value ratios .....	196
6.4	LVR band conversion table .....	199
6.4.1	Determining the LVR bands.....	199
6.4.2	Development of the LVR band conversion table .....	202
6.4.3	Verification of the LVR bands .....	203
6.4.3.1	Outcomes of the Experts' consultation.....	204
6.5	Summary .....	205
7.	MONETARY-BASED APPROACH.....	207
7.1	Introduction .....	207
7.2	Preliminary data analysis.....	210
7.3	Variation in raw data proportions.....	211
7.3.1	Variation of mean remaining value ratio .....	212
7.3.2	Variation of mean cumulative lost value ratio .....	213
7.3.3	Variation of change per year in mean CLVR .....	214
7.3.4	Variation of the range of CLVR .....	215
7.4	Markov modelling of nominal monetary data.....	216
7.4.1	Markov modelling using GRG NLO .....	218
7.4.2	Correlation check .....	220
7.5	Categorisation of component groups.....	221
7.5.1	Discussion on categorised component groups .....	224
7.6	Summary .....	225
8.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	227
8.1	Summary .....	227
8.2	Engineering-based approach .....	229
8.2.1	Conclusions.....	229
8.2.2	Recommendations.....	231
8.3	Monetary-based approach .....	233
8.3.1	Conclusions.....	233
8.3.2	Recommendations.....	235
8.4	Overall findings and recommendations.....	235
8.5	Knowledge contributions from the research .....	237

8.6	Theoretical and practical implications of the study.....	238
8.7	Future studies .....	238
	REFERENCES .....	241
	Appendix A: Component hierarchy – Engineering-based approach .....	257
	Appendix B: Entire dataframes .....	260
	Table B.1: Entire dataframe for concrete beams .....	260
	Table B.2: Entire dataframe for rendered cement floors .....	269
	Table B.3: Entire dataframe for timber windows .....	281
	Appendix C: Hyperparameters used in training the models.....	284
	Table C.1: Hyperparameters used in training SNN models.....	284
	Table C.2a: Hyperparameters used in training DNN models using split data.....	285
	Table C.2b: Hyperparameters used in training DNN models using entire dataset .....	286
	Table C.3: Hyperparameters (estimators) used in training RF models.....	287
	Appendix D: Rectification scenarios for condition-based deficiencies .....	288
	Appendix E: Questionnaire of the Experts’ consultation.....	292
	Appendix F: Estimates from the Experts – Expert 1.....	294
	Estimates from the Experts – Expert 2.....	296

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Research outline .....	10
Figure 1.2	Research question-to-objective mapping .....	12
Figure 2.1	The main tasks of the asset maintenance system.....	22
Figure 2.2	The main steps of engineering-based assessment process .....	30
Figure 2.3	A typical breakdown of the LCC of a building asset .....	43
Figure 3.1	Research framework.....	63
Figure 3.2	Local councils involved in the building condition survey.....	68
Figure 3.3	The application of CAMS-mobile during the condition survey in Sri Lanka .....	77
Figure 3.4	A typical building component template on CAMS-mobile software application .....	78
Figure 3.5	Typical local council buildings surveyed in the condition survey in Sri Lanka (e.g., Kundasale local council).....	79
Figure 3.6	Building hierarchy structure .....	80
Figure 3.7	Typical building components surveyed in the condition survey in Sri Lanka (e.g., Kundasale local council).....	82
Figure 3.8	Component hierarchy structure .....	82
Figure 3.9	Interpretation of ‘Degradation’.....	86
Figure 3.10	Mapping of deficiency-based ratings to generic deterioration-based ratings for rendered cement floors.....	94
Figure 3.11	Application of condition scales for rendered cement floor .....	95
Figure 3.12	Application of condition scales for wall paint.....	96
Figure 3.13	Example for LVR and initial cost computations .....	103
Figure 3.14	Markov model for five condition states (typical).....	105
Figure 3.15	Transition matrix (typical).....	105
Figure 3.16	Global optimisation through Non-Linear Optimisation .....	109
Figure 3.17	Solver parameters used in the GRG NLO .....	111
Figure 3.18	Model parameters used in the GRG NLO .....	111
Figure 3.19	The process of global optimisation.....	112
Figure 3.20	Global optimisation using GRG NLO technique .....	113

Figure 3.21	MCMC sampling from the posterior distribution.....	115
Figure 3.22	Histogram for transition probability $P_{1,2}$ for columns using the MCMC technique .....	115
Figure 3.23	Sample working for cumulative lost value ratio – Monetary-based approach .....	122
Figure 4.1	Component percentages by the local council – Condition survey in Sri Lanka .....	131
Figure 4.2	Cumulative percentage versus building age groups – Condition survey in Sri Lanka.....	132
Figure 4.3	Average deficiency-based conditions of the local council building components against the global average condition .....	133
Figure 4.4	Average generic deterioration-based conditions of the local council building components against the global average condition .	133
Figure 4.5	Average defect-based conditions of the local council building components against the global average condition .....	134
Figure 4.6	Five year moving average of components in deficiency-based rating 5 (as a percentage of components with a rating of 5 at all ages) for ceiling fans .....	135
Figure 4.7	The average weighted overall deficiency-based rating with age for ceiling fans (5-year moving average).....	136
Figure 4.8	Estimation of maintenance-free life for the components .....	137
Figure 4.9	Correlation between deficiency-based and generic deterioration-based condition ratings for concrete beams.....	139
Figure 4.10	Grouping data into bins and combination of bins: e.g., slabs (deficiency-based) .....	142
Figure 4.11	Chi-square testing using multiple bins: e.g., slabs (deficiency-based).....	143
Figure 4.12	Transient probability curves for ceiling fans from deficiency-based predictions using MCMC .....	147
Figure 4.13	Transition matrices for deficiency-based predictions using MCMC	148
Figure 4.14	Transient probability curves for ceiling fans from generic deterioration-based predictions using MCMC .....	149

Figure 4.15	Transition matrices for generic deterioration-based predictions using MCMC .....	150
Figure 5.1	Mean annual rainfall and proximity to coastline from the local councils involved in the condition survey in Sri Lanka .....	162
Figure 5.2	The typical surface plane corresponds to linear model with two independent variables in $x_1$ and $x_2$ directions.....	174
Figure 5.3	WinNN software platform – Simple neural network .....	176
Figure 5.4	Final architecture of a simple neural network (e.g., concrete beams).....	177
Figure 5.5	Modelling stages in deep neural network.....	178
Figure 5.6	Model hyper-tuning and configuring with deep neural network.....	179
Figure 5.7	Deep neural network architecture: e.g., timber windows (split data: DNN-I) .....	180
Figure 5.8	A typical regression tree structure of Random Forest (e.g., timber windows) .....	183
Figure 5.9	Classification counts associated with the Confusion matrix .....	185
Figure 5.10	Confusion matrix for timber windows based on the outcomes of the Random Forest using test data.....	185
Figure 5.11	Predicted ratings against observed ratings for timber windows using test data in Random Forest.....	187
Figure 5.12	A typical LIME Explanation for a timber windows' rating prediction (Feature values: Age – 15 years and Rainfall – H) .....	190
Figure 6.1	Increments in ranked LVR values from clustering.....	202
Figure 6.2	LVR band conversion table .....	203
Figure 7.1	Replacement cost percentages at department level of the authority buildings in Melbourne.....	209
Figure 7.2	Initial value percentages for top eight component groups of the authority buildings in Melbourne .....	211
Figure 7.3	Variation of condition proportions of raw data with time for component group 'finishes' .....	212
Figure 7.4	Variation of mean remaining value ratio with time.....	213
Figure 7.5	Variation of mean cumulative lost value ratio with time (5-year	

	moving average) ..... 214
Figure 7.6	Variation of change per year (3-year moving average) in mean CLVR with time (5-year moving average)..... 215
Figure 7.7	Variation of the range (3-year moving average) of CLVR with time (5-year moving average) ..... 216
Figure 7.8	Transient curves for component group ‘finishes’ ..... 219



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Chapters in relevance to the research questions .....	18
Table 2.1	Influencing factor impact on the selected components .....	42
Table 2.2	Working definitions.....	53
Table 3.1	Key highlights of the research methodologies used in Approaches 1 and 2 .....	58
Table 3.2	Key features of Approaches 1 and 2 .....	64
Table 3.3	Key features of Phases 3 and 4.....	66
Table 3.4	The number of surveyed buildings and components by the local council.....	69
Table 3.5	A building inventory sample-extract including all building types .....	80
Table 3.6	A component inventory sample-extract including all component groups .....	83
Table 3.7	Deficiency-based condition scale .....	88
Table 3.8	Snapshot dataset for concrete beams .....	89
Table 3.9	Generic deterioration-based condition scale .....	90
Table 3.10	Generic deterioration-based condition assessment criteria .....	92
Table 3.11	Comparison of assessments based on deficiency and generic deterioration.....	97
Table 3.12	The nominal costs (AUD) and replacement times – A partial extract from the original dataset of local authority buildings in Melbourne.....	119
Table 3.13	CLVR bands .....	124
Table 4.1	Variation with age of deficiency-based condition probabilities and overall ratings for ceiling fans.....	136
Table 4.2	Selected maintenance-free life for the components.....	138
Table 4.3	Mean differences between deficiency- and generic deterioration- based condition ratings .....	140
Table 4.4	The summary of the validation test results for deficiency-based ratings using GRG NLO and MCMC.....	145
Table 4.5	The summary of the accuracy test results for deficiency-based	

	ratings using GRG NLO and MCMC techniques.....	146
Table 4.6	The summary of the accuracy test results between deficiency- and generic deterioration-based predictions.....	151
Table 4.7	Categorisation of building components based on the degradation predictions .....	153
Table 5.1	Meteorological data .....	161
Table 5.2	Categorisation of rainfall and coastal exposure factors.....	163
Table 5.3	Level of utilisation categorisation for single-use occupancy based on building type.....	163
Table 5.4	Level of utilisation categorisation for multiple-use occupancy based on functional area type .....	163
Table 5.5	A sample dataframe for timber windows (first five years only) .....	167
Table 5.6	Deficiency-based condition proportions and overall ratings for timber windows (first five years only) .....	167
Table 5.7	Criteria for splitting of data .....	168
Table 5.8	MAE values for categorised GRG NLO training and testing sets....	172
Table 5.9	Differences in model predictive capacities based on randomly split data .....	188
Table 5.10	Comparison of data fitting using the entire dataset .....	189
Table 5.11	Mean of the absolute relative importance ratios for each age category .....	191
Table 6.1a	Condition-based LVRs .....	197
Table 6.1b	Condition-based LVRs (Cont.).....	198
Table 6.2	Identification of LVR bands through clustering.....	201
Table 6.3	Comparative analysis of the outcomes of Experts' consultation.....	205
Table 7.1	Pearson correlation coefficients (R) between raw data and Markov predictions .....	220
Table 7.2	Categorisation of building component groups based on the degradation predictions (number of sites within parentheses) .....	223

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
AC	Air Conditioning
AE	Absolute Error
AI	Artificial Intelligence
AUD	The Australian Dollar
BC	Building Component
BFA	Building Functional Area
BII	Building Investment Index
BIM	Building Information Modelling
BIoT	Building Internet of Things
BN	Building Name
BPN	Back Propagation artificial Neural network
BT	Building Type
CAMS	Central Asset Management System
CG	Component Group
CI	Condition Index
CLV	Cumulative Lost Value
CLVR	Cumulative Lost Value Ratio
$\overline{\text{CLVR}}$	Mean Cumulative Lost Value Ratio
[CLVR]	Range of Mean Cumulative Lost Value Ratios of the interquartile range
CP	Condition Population
CRV	Current Replacement Value
CT	Component Type
DNN	Deep Neural Network
DoF	Degrees of Freedom
e.g.	For example
et al.	And other people
FAT	Functional Area Type

FCI	Facility Condition Index
FOR	Factored Overall Rating
GIS	Geographic Information System
GN	Grama Niladhari
GRG NLO	Generalised Reduced Gradient Non-Linear Optimisation
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning
ID	Identification
i.e.	In other words
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
IVI	Infrastructure Value Index
LCC	Life Cycle Cost
LIME	Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations
LVR	Lost Value Ratio
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
MARIR	Mean of the Absolute Relative Importance Ratios
MCMC	Markov chain Monte Carlo
MEP	Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing
ML	Machine Learning
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
MR	Multiple Regression
$\mu(\text{CLVR})$	Mean Cumulative Lost Value Ratio of the interquartile range
NPV	Net Present Value
OR	Overall Rating
p-value	Probability value
QS	Quantity Surveyor
RCC	Reinforced Cement Concrete
ReLU	Rectified Linear Unit
RF	Random Forest
RILEM	Réunion Internationale des Laboratoires et Experts des Matériaux

RMIT	Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology
RQ	Research Question
$\overline{RVR}$	Mean Remaining Value Ratio
SLR	Simple Linear Regression
SNN	Simple Neural Network
USA	United States of America
USACERL	United States Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories

## LIST OF VARIABLES

$LVR_n$	LVR Index: Lost value ratio for condition $n$
$(Rc)_n$	Rectification cost at condition $n$
$ic$	Initial cost
$P_{ij}$	Transition probability from state ' $i$ ' to state ' $j$ '
$Y$	A set ' $Y$ ' of component conditions
$M$	Markov model
$\pi(P Y,M)$	Posterior distribution of $P_{ij}$
$L(Y P,M)$	Likelihood to observe a set $Y$ of component conditions
$\pi_0(P)$	Prior distribution of $P_{ij}$
$t$	Component age in years
$T$	Largest age found in the dataset
$J$	Number of condition states
$N_i^t$	Number of components in condition $i$ at year $t$
$C_i^t$	Probability of condition $i$ at year $t$
$r^0$	Initial raw vector
$r^1$	Distribution after the first-time step
$r^t$	Distribution after $t$ time steps
$CLVR(t)$	CLVR Index: Cumulative lost value ratio at time step $t$
$(rc)_t$	Replacement (or partial replacement) cost at time $t$
$\overline{CLVR}(t)$	Mean cumulative lost value ratio at time step $t$
$k$	$k$ number of sites
$\overline{RVR}(t)$	Mean remaining value ratio at time step $t$
$\mu(CLVR)(t)$	Mean cumulative lost value ratio of the interquartile range at time step $t$
$\beta$	Proportion of the $k$ sites that fall within and inclusive of the 25th and 75th percentiles
$k_{0.25}(t)$	25th percentile value of the data at time step $t$
$k_{0.75}(t)$	75th percentile value of the data at time step $t$

$[CLVR](t)$	Range of mean cumulative lost value ratios of the interquartile range at time step $t$
$CP_i(t)$	Condition Population: Number of sites with $CLVR(t)$ within a CLVR band( $i$ )
$X^2$	Chi square
$observed_{(i)}$	Number of components observed in condition $i$
$predicted_{(i)}$	Number of components predicted in condition $i$
$n_i$	Number of observations in year $i$
$OR_{observed}$	Observed overall rating
$OR_{predicted}$	Predicted overall rating
$(OR)_t$	Overall rating at time step $t$
$C_i$	Condition rating $i$
$P_i$	Probability of being in condition $i$
$(FOR)_t$	Factored overall rating at time step $t$
$N_t$	Number of observations at time step $t$
$(FOR_{observed})_t$	Factored overall rating observed at time step $t$
$(FOR_{predicted})_t$	Factored overall rating predicted at time step $t$
$y$	Dependent variable
$x_1$	First independent variable
$x_2$	Second independent variable
$a_1$	Intercept
$a_2$	Slope of the dependent variable $x_1$
$a_3$	Slope of the dependent variable $x_2$
$\varepsilon_i$	Residual terms of the model
$(AE)_i$	Absolute error for condition rating $i$
$(C_i_{observed})$	Observed condition rating $i$
$(C_i_{predicted})$	Predicted condition rating $i$
$n$	Total number of observations
$TP$	True positive
$TN$	True negative
$FP$	False positive

*FN*

False negative

*MARIR*

Mean of the absolute relative importance ratios



## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Component hierarchy – Engineering-based approach.....	257
Appendix B:	Entire dataframes.....	260
Appendix C:	Hyperparameters used in training the models .....	284
Appendix D:	Rectification scenarios for condition-based deficiencies .....	288
Appendix E:	Questionnaire of the Experts’ consultation.....	292
Appendix F:	Estimates from the Experts .....	294