

**INVESTIGATING THE PERFORMANCE OF
LAMINATED GLASS PANELS UNDER WINDBORNE
DEBRIS IMPACT**

G.C.S. Jayaweera

228001N

Master of Science (Major Component of Research)

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

December 2023

**INVESTIGATING THE PERFORMANCE OF
LAMINATED GLASS PANELS UNDER WINDBORNE
DEBRIS IMPACT**

G.C.S. Jayaweera

228001N

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Major Component of Research)

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

December 2023

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: *UOM Verified Signature*

Date: 30/12/2023

The above candidate has carried out research for the MSc thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Main Supervisor: [Dr. H.G.H. Damruwan](#)

Signature of the Main Supervisor: *UOM Verified Signature* Date: 30/12/2023

Name of Co-Supervisor: [Dr. B. Baleshan](#)

Signature of the Co-Supervisor: *UOM Verified Signature* Date: 30/12/2023

DEDICATION

In profound appreciation, I dedicate this thesis to my cherished parents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I would like to express my profound gratitude to my research supervisors, Dr. H.G.H. Damruwan and Dr. B. Baleshan for their great support and unwavering guidance, which have played a pivotal role in the successful completion of this study. I am immensely grateful for the opportunity to work as a research student in their esteemed research group. Without their guidance, the fruition of this study would not have been possible.

I wholeheartedly appreciate the support and guidance provided by the Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka during my research.

I greatly acknowledge the enormous support, valuable suggestions, and advice given by the examiners Dr. K. Baskaran, Dr. L.B. Jayasinghe, and Dr. A.U. Weerasuriya throughout the research period, enabling me to excel in this research work. Furthermore, in profound appreciation, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to Prof. H.M.Y.C. Mallikarachchi and Dr. A.U. Weerasuriya for granting access to high-performance computing facilities essential for conducting finite element modelling and analysis in this research.

I would like to acknowledge all the academic and non-academic staff members at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for their invaluable support and assistance in various capacities.

I am grateful to all of my teachers who guided me during my preschool and school years, as well as my parents and family members who have encouraged me with positive encouragement.

Finally, I am taking this great opportunity to thank my friends for their valuable comments and support in completing this research study.

G.C.S. Jayaweera

Department of Civil Engineering,

Faculty of Engineering,

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

December 2023

ABSTRACT

Glass façades, a prominent feature in modern buildings, have garnered widespread popularity despite the inherent brittleness of glass due to its non-crystalline molecular structure. While glass is commonly utilised as a structural material following quality and performance enhancement measures, its susceptibility to extreme loads, particularly impact loads, is higher compared to other structural elements. Past investigations into windstorms have revealed that the generation of various debris poses a significant threat to glass façades during extreme wind conditions. This research addresses the imperative need to comprehensively study the response of Laminated Glass (LG) panels to windborne debris impact, emphasising the potential consequences of damage during windstorms. LG, known for its safety features and higher post-crack load carrying capacity, is employed in buildings. The study focuses on fully framed LG window panels and employs a finite element (FE) based numerical modelling approach to assess their impact performance. The FE models are validated using results from past experiments, and subsequent examinations explore the impact performance of LG panels and their constituent components under various critical impact locations. Key findings suggest that support conditions and impact locations significantly influence the LG panel's impact performance. The Polyvinyl Butyral (PVB) interlayer plays a crucial role in resisting penetration by absorbing substantial impact energy. The study advocates purposeful design of LG window panels as sacrificial elements to enhance impact resistance, rather than relying solely on thicker glass panes. Energy absorption is found to be highest for mid-impacts, diminishing for long-span mid-impacts, short-span mid-impacts, and corner impacts, respectively. The research highlights the importance of an iterative design process for impact-resistant glazing, emphasising the need for designers to propose suitable layer thicknesses and configurations. Failure to do so may result in additional material costs without achieving satisfactory impact resistance. Hence, the findings of this research encourage manufacturers to create innovative materials with strong energy absorption, enabling engineers to implement impact-resistant glazing for safe, optimised, and aesthetically pleasing glass façades in cyclone-prone areas.

Keywords: Windborne debris impact; Impact-resistant glazing; Laminated glass; Finite element modelling; Material failure

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables.....	xiii
List of Abbreviations.....	xiv
Chapter 1	1
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem	4
1.3 Aims and Objectives	5
1.4 Research Scope	5
1.5 Significance of the Research.....	6
1.6 Thesis Outline	6
Chapter 2	8
2. Literature Review.....	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Manufacturing Process of Glass	8
2.2.1 The Float Glass Process.....	9
2.2.2 The Laminated Glass (LG) Process.....	11
2.3 Windborne Debris Impact Phenomenon and Windborne Debris Hazards ..	12
2.3.1 Windborne Debris Impact Phenomenon	12
2.3.2 Threats of Windborne Debris Impacts in Cyclone-Prone Regions	17
2.3.3 Hazard Studies on Windborne Debris Impacts	20
2.4 Design Standards and Standard Testing Methods	28
2.5 Impact- and Cyclone-Resistant Glazing Technologies.....	31
2.6 Case Studies on Impact-Resistant Façade Technologies	33

2.6.1 Integrated Marine Operations Centre (IMOC), Port Hedland, Australia	33
2.6.2 Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine (AITHM), Townsville, Australia	36
2.7 Material Behaviour and Failure Analysis	39
2.7.1 Glass	39
2.7.2 Polyvinyl Butyral (PVB) Interlayer	41
2.7.3 Structural Silicone Sealant	43
2.8 Previous Impact Studies on Laminated Glass (LG)	45
2.9 Chapter Summary	52
2.9.1 Key Findings of the Literature Review	52
2.9.2 Knowledge Gap	53
Chapter 3	54
3. Methodology and Research Design	54
3.1 Introduction	54
3.2 Research Process	54
3.3 Numerical Modelling	56
3.3.1 Modelling of Glass Panes	57
3.3.2 Modelling of PVB Interlayer	61
3.3.3 Modelling of Structural Silicone Sealant Joints	62
3.4 Chapter Summary	62
Chapter 4	64
4. Mesh Convergence Study and Validation of Numerical Modelling Techniques	64
4.1 Introduction	64
4.2 Mesh Convergence Study	64
4.3 Model Validation	67
4.4 Chapter Summary	70
Chapter 5	72
5. Response of Laminated Glass (LG) Panel Subjected to Mid-Impact	72
5.1 Introduction	72
5.2 Effect of Support Condition on Impact Behaviour of Laminated Glass (LG) Panel	72
5.3 Energy Absorption	73

5.4 Stress Variation and Material Failure	74
5.5 Effect of Glass Thickness on the Impact Performance	77
5.6 Chapter Summary	81
Chapter 6	83
6. Response of Laminated Glass (LG) Panel Subjected to Impacts at Various Impact Locations	83
6.1 Introduction	83
6.2 Mesh Convergence Study	83
6.3 Variation of Maximum Dynamic Deflection of the Panel	88
6.4 Deformation of Perimeter Silicone Sealant Joints	90
6.5 Variation of Internal Energy of the Materials	92
6.6 Stress Variation and Material Failure	94
6.7 Chapter Summary	100
Chapter 7	102
7. Conclusion	102
7.1 Introduction	102
7.2 Summary of the Research Study	102
7.3 Main Findings of the Research	103
7.4 Design Strategies for Impact-Resistant Glazing	104
7.5 Recommendations for Future Research	105
References	107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1.1	Buildings with innovative glass façades	1
Figure 1.2	Damaged glass façades due to windborne debris impacts	3
Figure 2.1	A schematic diagram of the float glass manufacturing process (Achintha, 2016)	10
Figure 2.2	Laminated glass unit	11
Figure 2.3	Laminated glass production process (AIS Windshield Expert, 2014)	12
Figure 2.4	Damage correlation (Uematsu et al., 1992)	14
Figure 2.5	Damage chain (Tamura, 2009)	14
Figure 2.6	Trajectory of a 100 mm × 50 mm × 2400 mm, 4.1 kg timber missile (Lin et al., 2007)	16
Figure 2.7	Horizontal trajectories of rod-type missiles as Kx versus Kt (Lin et al., 2007)	17
Figure 2.8	Geographic regions affected by extreme wind events (Mejorin et al., 2019)	18
Figure 2.9	The debris in Darwin after the Cyclone Tracy (Walker, 1975)	22
Figure 2.10	The damaged wall cladding (Walker, 1975)	22
Figure 2.11	Survived metal cladding of a house (Walker, 1975)	23
Figure 2.12	Survival of a window with sunscreen (Walker, 1975)	23
Figure 2.13	Extensively damaged glass panels of the American Bankers Insurance Group Building, Cutler Ridge, Florida (Behr & Minor, 1994)	25
Figure 2.14	The path of Mangkhut from 7 th to 17 th of September, 2018 (Choy et al., 2020; Hong Kong Observatory, 2020)	26
Figure 2.15	Damaged glass panels of buildings in Hong Kong due to windborne debris impacts during Typhoon Mangkhut 2018	27
Figure 2.16	Impact locations in ASTM standards for large missile test (ASTM E 1996-09)	30

Figure 2.17	Impact locations for large missile test (Williams & Redgen, 2012)	31
Figure 2.18	Safety glass materials	32
Figure 2.19	Integrated Marine Operations Centre (IMOC), Port Hedland, Australia (Inhabit Group, n.d.)	33
Figure 2.20	Glass façade system of the Integrated Marine Operations Centre – Western Elevation (Mejorin et al., 2019)	34
Figure 2.21	Tested window panel (Mejorin et al., 2019)	35
Figure 2.22	Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, Townsville, Australia (Architecture & Design, 2017)	36
Figure 2.23	Fixed glass window used in mock-up test (Mejorin et al., 2019)	38
Figure 2.24	Stress-strain curves of a typical silicon sealant specimen in tension at different movement rates (Yarosh et al., 2008)	44
Figure 2.25	Stress-strain curve of silicon sealant material (Hidallana-Gamage, 2015; Hidallana-Gamage et al., 2014)	44
Figure 2.26	Impact testing apparatus with a two-spring catapult system (Zhang et al., 2013)	48
Figure 2.27	Tested laminated glass panels (Zhang et al., 2013)	49
Figure 2.28	Variation of central deflection of the window panel (Zhang et al., 2014)	51
Figure 2.29	Yield line pattern of tested laminated glass (LG) panels (Zhao et al., 2019)	51
Figure 3.1	A graphical representation of the research process	55
Figure 3.2	3D FE model of the LG panel	56
Figure 3.3	Stress-strain variation of the PVB interlayer	61
Figure 4.1	Maximum dynamic deflection for varying in-plane mesh configurations	65
Figure 4.2	Maximum dynamic deflection for varying through-thickness mesh configurations	66
Figure 4.3	Variation of the total energy of the LG panel for varying mesh configurations	66

Figure 4.4	Variation of the total energy of the LG panel for varying mesh configurations	67
Figure 4.5	Deflection-time history curves at the centre of the back glass pane of the LG panel subjected to mid-impact	68
Figure 4.6	L2 norm error for varying mesh configurations	69
Figure 4.7	Deflection-time history curve with the silicon sealant joints	70
Figure 5.1	Deflection-time history curves under different support conditions	72
Figure 5.2	Energy absorption of different parts of the LG panel	73
Figure 5.3	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the top surface of the front glass pane	75
Figure 5.4	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the inner surface of the back glass pane	75
Figure 5.5	Variation of Von Mises stress in the PVB interlayer	76
Figure 5.6	Variation of Von Mises stress in the silicone sealant joints	76
Figure 5.7	Four cases considered in the study	77
Figure 5.8	Variation of the energy absorption (case i)	78
Figure 5.9	Variation of the maximum dynamic deflection and total energy of the window panel (case i)	78
Figure 5.10	Variation of the energy absorption (case ii)	79
Figure 5.11	Variation of the maximum dynamic deflection of the LG panel (case ii)	79
Figure 5.12	Variation of the energy absorption (case iii)	79
Figure 5.13	Variation of the maximum dynamic deflection and total energy of the LG panel (case iii)	80
Figure 5.14	Variation of the energy absorption (case iv)	80
Figure 5.15	Variation of the maximum dynamic deflection and total Energy of the LG panel (case iv)	80
Figure 5.16	Variation of total energy of the LG panel	81
Figure 6.1	Different impact locations considered in the study	83
Figure 6.2	Variation of maximum dynamic deflection of the panel subjected to corner impact	84

Figure 6.3	Variation of maximum dynamic deflection of the panel subjected to long-span mid-impact	84
Figure 6.4	Variation of maximum dynamic deflection of the panel subjected to short-span mid-impact	85
Figure 6.5	Variation of the peak value of the maximum dynamic deflection for varying mesh configurations (corner impact)	85
Figure 6.6	Variation of the peak value of the maximum dynamic deflection for varying mesh configurations (long-span mid-impact)	86
Figure 6.7	Variation of the peak value of the maximum dynamic deflection for varying mesh configurations (short-span mid-impact)	86
Figure 6.8	Total energy variation of the LG panel (corner impact)	87
Figure 6.9	Total energy variation of the LG panel (long-span mid-impact)	87
Figure 6.10	Total energy variation of the LG panel (short-span mid-impact)	87
Figure 6.11	Variation of maximum dynamic deflection of the back glass pane	88
Figure 6.12	Penetration status of the LG panel	89
Figure 6.13	Deformed sealant joints subjected to corner impact	90
Figure 6.14	Deformed sealant joints subjected to long-span mid-impact	91
Figure 6.15	Deformed sealant joints subjected to short-span mid-impact	91
Figure 6.16	Variation of internal energy of different parts of the LG panel subjected to corner impact	92
Figure 6.17	Variation of internal energy of different parts of the LG panel subjected to long-span mid-impact	92
Figure 6.18	Variation of internal energy of different parts of the LG panel subjected to short-span mid-impact	93
Figure 6.19	Total energy variation of the LG panel	94
Figure 6.20	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the top surface of the front glass pane (corner impact)	95

Figure 6.21	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the top surface of the front glass pane (long-span mid-impact)	95
Figure 6.22	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the top surface of the front glass pane (short-span mid-impact)	95
Figure 6.23	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the inner surface of the back glass pane (corner impact)	96
Figure 6.24	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the inner surface of the back glass pane (long-span mid-impact)	96
Figure 6.25	Variation of 1 st principal stress on the inner surface of the back glass pane (short-span mid-impact)	96
Figure 6.26	Variation of Von Mises stress in the PVB interlayer (corner impact)	97
Figure 6.27	Variation of Von Mises stress in the PVB interlayer (long-span mid-impact)	97
Figure 6.28	Variation of Von Mises stress in the PVB interlayer (short-span mid-impact)	98
Figure 6.29	Variation of Von Mises stress in the silicone sealant joints (corner impact)	98
Figure 6.30	Variation of Von Mises stress in the silicone sealant joints (long-span mid-impact)	99
Figure 6.31	Variation of Von Mises stress in the silicone sealant joints (short-span mid-impact)	99

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2.1	Chemical composition of float glass	9
Table 2.2	Occurrence of typhoons and number of tall buildings in typhoon-prone areas (Mejorin et al., 2019)	19
Table 3.1	JH-2 material constants of annealed glass used in the numerical model (Hidallana-Gamage, 2015; Hidallana-Gamage et al., 2014; Hidallana-Gamage et al., 2013; Meyland & Nielsen, 2020; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2019)	60
Table 3.2	Material properties of PVB used in the numerical model (Hidallana-Gamage et al., 2014; Nawar et al., 2021)	61
Table 3.3	Material properties of silicone sealant used in the numerical model (Hidallana-Gamage, 2015)	62

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AITHM	Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
AS	Australian Standard
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AS/NZS	Australian/New Zealand Standard
CST	Central Standard Time
CTS	Cyclone Testing Station
FE	Finite Element
IDL	International Date Line
IMOC	Integrated Marine Operations Centre
LG	Laminated Glass
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane
PVB	Polyvinyl Butyral
SAA	Standard Association of Australia
SG	SentryGlas®
SGP	SentryGlas® Plus
SHPB	Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar
SLS	Serviceability Limit State
TPU	Thermoplastic polyurethane
TR 440	Technical Record 440
ULS	Ultimate Limit State
UTC	Coordinated Universal Time