

INSIGHTS INTO THE HETEROGENEITY OF COAL FLY ASH WASTE

Brinthan Kanesalingam

228057N

Master of Science (Major Component Research)

Department of Earth Resources Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

May 2024

INSIGHTS INTO THE HETEROGENEITY OF COAL FLY ASH WASTE

Brinthan Kanesalingam

228057N

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Major Component Research)

Department of Earth Resources Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

May 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this Thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 03 May 2024

The supervisors should certify the Thesis with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master of Science (Major Component Research) Thesis under our supervision. We confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr C. L. Jayawardena

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 2024 - 05 - 03

Name of Supervisor: Dr Ashane Fernando

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 2024.05.04

Name of Supervisor: Dr D. A. S. Amarasinghe

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 2024.05.04

Name of Supervisor: Dr D. Attygalle

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 2024.05.04

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere and profound gratitude to the individuals and organisations whose unwavering support and collaboration played a pivotal role in the success of my master's research. Their contributions have been invaluable, shaping the outcome of my research journey.

I am thankful to Dr C. L. Jayawardena for his mentorship and guidance during my master's program. His patience and expertise were instrumental in shaping the successful outcome of my research. Dr Ashane Fernando's extensive discussions, both through voice calls and personal meetings, have greatly influenced my personal and academic growth. I am uncertain of how to adequately repay his kindness and mentorship. Dr D. A. S. Amarasinghe introduced me to the fascinating realm of material science, and his guidance during my short-term stay significantly contributed to my research development. Dr D. Attygalle, my reliable guide in technical discussions, provided invaluable insights on characterisation, and our countless hours of discussions were indispensable to my research.

I must express my heartfelt appreciation to Prof. Sandeep Panda (Department of Industrial Biotechnology, Gujarat Biotechnology University, India) and Prof. Arash Rabbani (School of Computing, The University of Leeds, United Kingdom) for their involvement and co-authorship in the manuscripts. Special thanks to Dr (Mrs) Thilini U. Ariyadasa for generously providing laboratory facilities for my experiments related to zeolite synthesis. Her insightful discussions and willingness to engage in meaningful conversations were greatly beneficial. I extend my gratitude to Mr V. S. C. Weragoda for chairing my progress review panel and offering valuable insights. Dr S. P. Chaminda, the head of the department, showed a keen interest in my work, and I appreciate his participation in my progress review panel. I appreciate Mrs D. R. T. Jayasundara's support in enabling me to pursue coursework and providing a fee waiver. Mr M. T. M. R. Jayaweera, the skilled operator of the scanning electron microscope, and Mr M. W. M. V. Wijesekara of Nano Science Technology at Wayamba University provided invaluable support for analysing samples. A special mention to Norochcholai Power Station for generously providing coal fly ash samples for my research. Eng. (Mrs.) Maheswari Wickrama and Eng. (Ms) Sureka Thiruchittampalam, who stood by me as family members, offering emotional and mental support.

I extend my appreciation to S. Shivadhahini and U.A.G. Senadheera, members of my undergraduate research group, for their collaborative efforts. Special thanks to Eng. R.

Shiveswarran for providing accommodation within the university at a crucial juncture in my journey. Additionally, I extend my thanks to the University of Moratuwa for graciously waiving my course fee.

I want to express my deepest gratitude to my parents for their unwavering financial support that carried me through my studies. As the eldest son, I feel regretful for not being actively involved in their decision-making or offering support during their difficult moments. I have seldom been able to visit home. Despite this, they continue to provide genuine support without expecting anything in return from their grown child. It is both a blessing and a curse, and I am profoundly thankful for their endless support.

ABSTRACT

Coal is a well-known workhorse for power generation, particularly in developing countries, due to its favourable economic benefits such as low cost, wide availability, and minimal infrastructure. However, coal-fired power plants yield a substantial by-product, known as coal fly ash (CFA), with a global annual output of 1 billion tons during combustion. Only 60% of this CFA is presently used, whereas the rest is disposed of in the environment, contributing to severe environmental pollution. In contrast, CFA is a versatile material that can serve as an adsorbent, fertiliser, and in advanced material applications, offering a promising dimension for its use. This study addressed the multifaceted potential of CFA components, by probing its seldom-explored heterogeneity through advanced characterisation techniques. While existing research has predominantly focused on isolated extractions, neglecting broader applications, this study proposes a comprehensive strategy centred on the strategic implementation of washing cycles. Integral to this approach is an extensive characterisation campaign employing multi-modal imaging techniques, such as scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy combined with state-of-the-art deep learning algorithms and digital image processing techniques. Through these methods, this study uncovered and extracted various valuable constituents from CFA, notably cenospheres and materials conducive to zeolite synthesis, demonstrating their potential as effective adsorption agents. Furthermore, this study pioneered a novel methodology that combined X-ray microanalysis with deep learning to precisely classify and characterise cenospheres. This breakthrough facilitated a comprehensive understanding of these hollow structures and allowed quantification of their imperceptible physical structures to modify them as efficient adsorbents. The results of this study significantly contribute to elucidating the capabilities of CFA as a source of high-performance adsorption agents. By leveraging innovative techniques and holistic approaches, this study advances our understanding of CFA, and offers a pioneering methodology for sustainable waste management and resource recovery.

Keywords: Coal fly ash, Cenosphere, X-ray microanalysis, Deep learning

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the Candidate & Supervisor	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Abbreviations	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Significance of the study	3
1.3 Aim & objectives	3
1.3.1 Aim	3
1.3.2 Objectives	3
1.4 Research contributions	4
1.4.1 Published journal article	4
1.4.2 Manuscripts	4
1.4.3 Magazine articles	5
1.4.4 Python package	5
1.5 Thesis outline	5
2 Literature review	7
2.1 Introduction to coal fly ash	7
2.1.1 Coal fly ash and its reusability potential	7
2.1.2 Origins and composition of coal fly ash	8
2.1.3 Coal fly ash management	9
2.1.4 Coal fly ash applications	10
2.1.5 Multi-component utilisation of coal fly ash	11
2.2 Washing cycles as a promising pre-processing technique	11

2.2.1	Process of performing a washing cycles operation	11
2.2.2	Floating particles from the washing cycles operation	13
2.2.3	Separated solution from the washing cycles operation	16
2.2.4	Oven dried bottom layer from the washing cycles operation	17
2.2.5	Circular economy of the washing cycles operation	20
3	Methodology	22
3.1	Collection of raw CFA samples	22
3.2	Washing cycles	23
3.3	Characterisation and quantification of segregated layers	24
3.3.1	Floating particles from the washing cycles operation	24
3.3.2	Separated solution from the washing cycles operation	27
3.3.3	Bottom layer from the washing cycles operation	27
4	Washing cycles as a pre-processing technique	28
4.1	Assessment of floating layer	28
4.2	Assessment of washed solution	29
4.3	Assessment of bottom layer	31
5	Characterisation of recovered components	33
5.1	Particle size distribution of floating particles	33
5.2	Assessment of cenosphere through SEM-BSE images	37
5.3	Cell wall thickness of cenospheres	40
5.4	Characterisation of solid spheres and cenospheres through X-ray microanalysis	42
5.5	Computational modelling and simulations of electron interactions	45
5.6	Characterisation threshold for cenospheres	46
5.7	Determination of cell wall thickness through X-ray microanalysis	48
5.8	Synthesis of zeolites from bottom coal fly ash	51
5.9	Extraction of elements from bottom coal fly ash	53
6	Simulation, modelling, and automation	57
6.1	X-ray microanalysis through Monte Carlo simulation	57
6.2	pyDeepP2SA: a comprehensive python package for the analysis of particle properties	59

6.3	pyDeepP2SA package and the “ line_scan ” function for cenosphere and solid sphere characterisation	61
7	Conclusions and recommendations	64
7.1	Conclusions	64
7.2	Limitations	66
7.3	Recommendations	67
	References	69
	Appendix A pyDeepP2SA - Documentation	i
	Appendix B Scholarly contributions	viii
B.1	Publications and manuscripts	viii
B.2	Conferences, presentations, and posters	viii
B.3	Magazine articles	ix
B.4	Scholarships, grants, and honours received	ix
B.5	Published journal article	ix

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 2.1	Scanning electron microscopic image showing different components present in the raw coal fly ash sample (at 1000 X magnification and 10 keV)	9
Figure 2.2	Prospective washing cycle using deionised water.	12
Figure 2.3	(a) Different layers of CFA solution after the washing cycles. (b) Cenosphere island on the top (c) SEM image of floating particles (d) Ground oven-dried bottom sample (e) Synthesised zeolite (f) SEM image of zeolite-L.	14
Figure 2.4	Utility of hydrophobically-treated coal fly ash cenospheres (FACs) in combination with biodegradable candelilla wax (CW) to achieve a rapid oil adsorption rate and facilitate easy agglomeration (Sun et al., 2023).	16
Figure 2.5	A prospective flow sheet for valorising coal fly ash using the washing cycle as a primary pre-processing technique.	21
Figure 3.1	(A) SEM-SE image of raw CFA (B) XRD of collected CFA (C - D) EDS of specific points on the CFA sample (E) EDS of scanned area of the CFA sample.	22
Figure 3.2	(A) Side and (B) top views of the beaker with CFA after settling (C) Segmented top-view image of beaker following washing cycles.	23
Figure 4.1	Temperature dependency of solution conductivity with different washing cycles.	30
Figure 4.2	Temperature dependency of solution pH with different washing cycles.	31
Figure 4.3	Temperature dependency of Sauter mean diameter with different washing cycles.	31
Figure 5.1	SEM-SE images of floating layer at (A) 250x (B) 50x, and (C) 48x.	33
Figure 5.2	(A) PSD obtained from images at different magnifications. (B) Output segments derived from the segment anything model. (C) Statistical distribution of particle sizes obtained from images at different magnifications.	35
Figure 5.3	(A) Comparison of PSDs between the cenospheres and solid spheres. (B) BSE image of the high magnification SEM-SE image, and (C) Distribution of particle sizes for both cenospheres and solid spheres.	36

Figure 5.4	(A) SEM-BSE images at various accelerating voltages. (B) Thresholded images obtained using Otsu's method. (C) Segmented images of thresholded images after applying segment anything model, (D) Isolated segments representing regions corresponding to voids.	38
Figure 5.5	Thresholded values for BSE images at (A) 10 keV (B) 15 keV (C) 20keV, and (D) 25 keV. The red dotted lines indicate the threshold values assessed manually.	39
Figure 5.6	(A) Area and perimeter of void regions for the SEM-BSE images (B) Coefficient of determination for the employed thresholding methods.	40
Figure 5.7	(A) Broken cenosphere (B) Distribution of apparent cell wall thickness with respect to cenosphere diameter. (C) Distribution of the ratio between the diameter of the cenosphere and the cell wall thickness in relation to the diameter. The light red band in (B) and (C) depicts the 95% confidence interval of regression lines.	41
Figure 5.8	Schematic illustrating a cenosphere with scanning line of incident beam, and its entering and exiting cell wall.	43
Figure 5.9	(A) BSE image of a selected sphere. (B) ψ_{Si} of the sphere at 15, 20, and 25 keV. (C) Simulated ψ_{Si} under conditions identical to those in (B). (D) SEM-BSE image of the selected solid sphere. (E) ψ_{Si} obtained at 15, 20, and 25 keV. (F) Simulated ψ_{Si} corresponding to the acquisition conditions in (E).	44
Figure 5.10	The energy loss profiles of electrons along the (A) x-axis, (B) y-axis, and (C) z-axis at 20 keV. Axis of the energy loss profiles for (D) solid sphere and (E) cenosphere.	45
Figure 5.11	(A) ψ_{Si} from a hollow sphere. (B) ψ'_{Si} for the identification of assessment node (AN). (C) ψ''_{Si} for the acquisition of ψ''_{Si-AN} values. (D) Distribution of ψ''_{Si-AN} with the increasing accelerating voltages.	46
Figure 5.12	ψ_{Si} of cenospheres at varying accelerating voltages (20-60 keV).	48
Figure 5.13	(A) Location of maximum Si $K\alpha$ emission at the entering cell wall (ENC). (B) Location of maximum Si $K\alpha$ emissions at the exiting cell wall (EXC).	49
Figure 5.14	(A) Location of the maximum Si $K\alpha$ intensity for different step sizes. (B) Calculated cell wall thickness of the ENC and EXC of the cenosphere shown in Figure 5.9 A.	49
Figure 5.15	ψ_{Si} at the cenosphere ENC with varying step sizes.	50
Figure 5.16	(A) Structure of the synthesised Zeolite-LTA. (B) and (C) SEM-SE image of synthesised zeolites.	52
Figure 5.17	XRD plot of the (A) bottom sample and (B) synthesised zeolites.	53

Figure 5.18	(A) EDS of the CFA sample and intensity maps for (B) Silicon (Si), (C) Aluminium (Al), (D) Iron (Fe), (E) Titanium (Ti), (F) Vanadium (V), (G) Gallium (Ga), (H) Germanium (Ge), (I) Molybdenum (Mo), (J) Selenium (Se), (K) Yttrium (Y), (L) Dysprosium (Dy), and (M) Niobium (Nb)	54
Figure 5.19	Maps of intensity of segmented particle elements per unit area. Elements shown: (A) Silicon (Si), (B) Aluminium (Al), (C) Iron (Fe), (D) Titanium (Ti), (E) Vanadium (V), (F) Gallium (Ga), (G) Germanium (Ge), (H) Molybdenum (Mo), (I) Selenium (Se), (J) Yttrium (Y), (K) Dysprosium (Dy), and (L) Niobium (Nb).	55
Figure 6.1	(A) Modeled cenosphere for Monte Carlo simulation. Electron interaction within (B) a solid and (C) a cenosphere.	58
Figure 6.2	(A) Input SEM-SE image (B) Segmented spheres indicated by the bounding boxes. (C) PSD obtained using the developed <i>pyDeepP2SA</i> package compared with manual measurements using ImageJ Fiji. (D) Box plots for the measured diameter distribution.	60
Figure 6.3	Overview of the classification process utilising the SEM-SE image and SEM-BSE image or Si $K\alpha$ map and numerical computations.	61
Figure 6.4	(A) Selected segment representing the cenosphere. (B), (C), and (D) show the ψ_{Si} at the centre, quarter, and three-quarters of the segmented cenosphere. (E) Selected segment representing the solid sphere. (F), (G), and (H) display ψ_{Si} at the centre, quarter, and three-quarters of the segmented solid sphere.	62

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2.1	Independent and dependent variables in a washing cycle process	13
Table 2.2	Zeolites and other neomorphic phases synthesised from CFA (Querol et al. (2002))	19

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
BSE	back-scattered electron
CFA	coal fly ash
CPU	central processing unit
CT	computed tomography
DB	disperse blue
DIP	digital image processing
DO	disperse orange
EC	electrical conductivity
EDS	energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
ENC	entering cell wall
EPMA	Electron probe X-ray microanalysis
EXC	exiting cell wall
GPU	graphics processing unit
IOU	intersection over union
LCA	life cycle assessment
MB	methylene blue
MC	Monte Carlo
MSE	mean squared error
PSD	particle size distribution
REEs	rare earth elements
RMSE	root mean square error
SAM	Segment Anything Model
SD	standard deviation
SE	secondary electron
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SSRs	secondary solid residues
XRD	X-ray diffraction