HAPTIC BASED SURFACE STIFFNESS IDENTIFICATION USING MACHINE LEARNING

K. D. M. Jayawardhana

198079U

Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering

> University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

> > April 2023

HAPTIC BASED SURFACE STIFFNESS IDENTIFICATION USING MACHINE LEARNING

K. D. M. Jayawardhana

198079U

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering

> University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

> > April 2023

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this Thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 11/5/2023

The supervisor should certify the Thesis with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master of Science Thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr A. M Harsha S. Abeykoon

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 11/5/2023

DEDICATION

This study is wholeheartedly dedicated to my beloved parents and my spouse. For there endless love, support and encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project was made possible by the generosity of several individuals. It gives us immense pleasure to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to everyone.

Initially, I would want to express my appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. A. M. Harsha S. Abeykoon, for all of his assistance and advice. Two years of my life were spent working under his direction. I praised him for his encouragement, support, and patience. This job could not be performed without this assistance.

Another token of appreciation to Prof. Udayanga Hemapala, Head of the Electrical Engineering Department at the University of Moratuwa, for his assistance during my master's and undergraduate studies.

In addition, I would want to thank my wife, my mother, and the rest of my family for their support, inspiration, and encouragement when I was conducting research and preparing the thesis.

I thank all the academic staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering at the University of Moratuwa for their support during my study period. I especially thank Mr. W. H. Eranga and Mr. W. Dehin for the tremendous amount of support during the construction of the test setup.

ABSTRACT

Touch is an essential environmental input for all living things, including humans. Most of the objects with which individuals interact are soft and malleable. Humans have inherited the ability to perceive and recognize differences in the deformable features of objects. Robotic systems have been developed to support numerous industries, and in robotics, "haptics" refers to forces and force feedback from the object. In many sectors, robotic devices handle deformable objects. We believe that to improve operational quality, robotic systems must be able to recognize deformable objects. While it is possible to observe the characteristics of items during their manipulation, haptic-based object identification remains a challenging subject due to the complexity of deformable object characteristics.

The discussion of object classification methodology begins with collecting object deformation data and sensors for data collection. Sensor array-based measurement techniques are capable of observing the pressure variation of the deformation area, while single point measurement techniques are capable of observing the force variation and compression depth of the object. The use of force response and compression distance measurements enables the extraction of additional attributes of deforming objects, such as stiffness, hysteresis, velocity, acceleration fluctuation, and energy absorbed during compression.

The use of machine learning to classify objects with features avoids many disadvantages associated with traditional mathematical model-based classification methodologies. The ability to handle time series data and large amounts of data are also key features of machine learning. In this study, we introduce the construction of additional features that may improve classification and use the Time Series Forest Classifier (TSFC) and permutation importance to identify the best performing features for object classification. **Keywords**: Haptic, Haptics object modeling, deformable objects, Haptics features for machine learning, disturbance observer, reaction force observer, time series classification

TABLE OF CONTENTS

De	clarat	tion of t	he Candidate & Supervisor	i
De	dicati	on		ii
Ac	know	ledgem	ent	iii
Ab	stract	:		iv
Tał	ole of	Conten	ıts	vi
Lis	t of F	Figures		ix
Lis	t of T	Tables		xi
Lis	t of A	Abbrevia	ations	xi
Lis	t of A	Appendi	ces	xiii
1	Intro	Introduction		
	1.1	Percep	ption of haptic sensation	1
	1.2	Defor	mation measurement methodologies	3
	1.3	Challe	enges of deformable object representation and modeling	3
	1.4	Machi	ne learning for haptics based object classification	4
	1.5	Develo	opment of haptic based deformable object classification	5
	1.6	Time-s	series behaviour of features	6
	1.7	Proble	em statement	6
	1.8	Hypothesis of the study		
	1.9	Thesis Organisation		7
2	Met	nodolog	ÿ	8
		2.0.1	Force feedback measurement	8
	2.1	Contro	oller typologies	9
	2.2	Force	control and force feedback measurement	10
	2.3	Metho	ds for synthesize additional features	14
		2.3.1	Compression velocity estimation	14
		2.3.2	Acceleration	17
		2.3.3	Stiffness	18

		2.3.4	Viscousness	19
		2.3.5	Energy absorbed	20
	2.4	Method	for identifying effective features for object classification	20
		2.4.1	Time-series behaviour of features	22
		2.4.2	Choosing machine learning models for object classifications	22
		2.4.3	Method of developing datasets to use in machine learning model.	22
3	Impl	ementati	ion	26
	3.1	Test set	tup implementation	26
		3.1.1	Implementation of controller algorithm	26
		3.1.2	Hardware implementation	27
	3.2	Creatin	g data-sets	29
		3.2.1	Force command	30
		3.2.2	Datasets	31
	3.3	Implem	nenting additional features	34
4	Class	sificatior	n experiments and results	35
	4.1	Effect of	of constructed features for object classification	35
		4.1.1	Test 1 : Classification test on Measured feature in Dataset 1	
			with 10-fold cross validating	35
		4.1.2	Test 2 : Classification test on Measured feature in Dataset 1	
			with 4:1 random data split.	36
		4.1.3	Test 3 : Classification test on All features in Dataset 1 with	
			10-fold cross validating.	36
		4.1.4	Test 4 : Classification test on <i>All features</i> in <i>Dataset 1</i> with 4:1	
			data split.	36
		4.1.5	Test 5 : Classification test on <i>Measured feature</i> in <i>Dataset 2</i>	
			with 10-fold cross validating	37
		4.1.6	Test 6 : Classification test on <i>Measured feature</i> in <i>Dataset 2</i>	
			with isolated test and train data	38
		4.1.7	Test 7 : Classification test on All feature in Dataset 2 with	
			10-fold cross validating	39

			4.1.8 Test 8 : Classification test on All feature in Dataset 2 with	
			isolated test and train data.	40
		4.2	Identifying best-performing feature-set for object classification	41
			4.2.1 Test 9: Permutation importance test without cross validation	41
		4.3	Discussion of results	41
	5	Con	clusion and future work	46
		5.1	Conclusion of the study	46
		5.2	Limitation of the study	46
		5.3	Future work	47
		5.4	Resources	47
	References		48	
	Aŗ	opend	ix A Test 9: Dataset 1 based sub-datasets permutation importance test	
		resu	lts	55
Appendix B Test : Dataset 2 based sub-datasets permutation importance test				
		resu	lts	64

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Description Page 2 Figure 1.1 Mind-map for haptics based object identification. 8 Figure 2.1 Deformation due to external unidirectional force. Figure 2.2 Disturbance observer and reaction force observer based force controller 11 algorithm. Figure 2.3 Force commands and force responses which are observed by setup dur-14 ing the force cycle. 15 Figure 2.4 Compression depth variation observed during force cycle. Figure 2.5 Velocity variation by differentiating two consecutive sample points. 15 16 Figure 2.6 Velocity variation by differentiating multiple sample gaps 17 Figure 2.7 Finite difference and low-pass filter-based method. Figure 2.8 Competition of outputs of FDM and finite deference with low pass filter 17 method. Figure 2.9 FDM based acceleration calculation with 1 and 500 sample deference 18 gap. Figure 2.10 FDM based acceleration calculation with 500, 2000 & 20000 sample 18 deference gap. 19 Figure 2.11 Stiffness calculation for all x values. 19 Figure 2.12 Stiffness calculation for multiple squeeze tomato. Figure 2.13 Viscousness variation during multiple compression cycles for a soft ob-20 ject. Figure 2.14 Energy absorbed by multiple compression of soft object. 21 Figure 2.15 Pre-processing of measured and constructed feature data, and modeling 23 of data frames for machine learning Figure 3.1 Coupler_1 and Coupler_2 design connected with motor shaft and linear 29 motor bearing. 29 Figure 3.2 Design of the compression head

Figure 3.3Implemented hardware to collect object deforming data.29Figure 3.4Multiple force levels and multiple rate of changing of force.30Figure 3.5Top view of compression positions for spherical objects.32

Figure 3.6	Compression positions marked on test objects.	32
Figure 3.7	Objects used to create Dataset 1.	33
Figure 3.8	Objects used to create Dataset 2.	33
Figure 3.9	Position variation of <i>Dataset 1</i> object in 8^{th} FC.	33
Figure 3.10	Position variation observed from <i>Dataset 2</i> object in 8^{th} FC.	34
Figure 4.1	Test 1: The confusion matrix for TSFC based accuracy achieved using	
	only Measured features from Dataset 1.	36
Figure 4.2	Test 3: The confusion matrix for TSFC based accuracy achieved using	
	All features in Dataset 1	37
Figure 4.3	Test 5: The confusion matrix for TSFC based accuracy achieved using	
	only Measured features from Dataset 2.	38
Figure 4.4	Test 7: The confusion matrix for TSFC based accuracy achieved using	
	only All features from Dataset 2.	39
Figure 4.5	Test 5: Permutation importance accuracy achieved from all sub-datasets	
	created from Dataset 1	43
Figure 4.6	Test 5: Permutation importance accuracy achieved from all sub-datasets	
	created from Dataset 2	44

LIST OF TABLES

TableDescription

Page

Table 2.1	Nomenclature for DOb and RTOb based force controller algorithm.	10
Table 3.1	Parameters of the hardware setup	26
Table 3.2	Servoland MOVO 2 SVF motor drive specification	27
Table 3.3	S160T linear motor specification	28
Table 3.4	Renishaw linear encoder specification	28
Table 3.5	Specifications of force cycles	31
Table 3.6	Dataset list	32
Table 4.1	Test 2: Dataset 1 classification results for measured dimensions.	37
Table 4.2	Test 3: Dataset 1 classification results with additional dimensions.	38
Table 4.3	Test 6: <i>Dataset 2</i> classification results for measured dimensions.	39
Table 4.4	Test 8: Dataset 2 classification results with additional dimensions.	40
Table 4.5	Comparison of classification accuracy variation.	40
Table 4.6	Assigning values for each feature to create sub-dataset index	42
Table 4.7	Summary of accuracy achieved	45
Table A.1	Accuracy's observed during the test 5 - Dataset 1	55
Table B.1	Accuracy's observed during the test 5 - Dataset 2	64

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

FC	force cycle
FDM	Forward deference method
TSFC	Time Series Forest Classifier

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix -A	Test 9: Dataset 1 based sub-datasets permutation importance	
test results		55
Appendix -B	Test : Dataset 2 based sub-datasets permutation importance	
test results		64