# CONSTRAINTS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF PPP URBAN DEVELOPMENT INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

Uditha Dhanushka Morawaka

189554V

Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

2024 February

# CONSTRAINTS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF PPP URBAN DEVELOPMENT INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

Uditha Dhanushka Morawaka

189554V

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

2024 February

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Postgraduate Degree in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Further, I acknowledge the intellectual contribution of my research supervisor Dr. (Mrs) Chandanie Hadiwattege for the successful completion of this research dissertation. I affirm that I will not make any publication from this research without the name of my research supervisor as contributing author unless otherwise I have obtained written consent from my supervisor.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works such as articles or books.

| U. D Morawaka  |
|--|
| Date:  |
|  |
| The above candidate has carried out research for the Dissertation under my |
| supervision.   |
|  |
| Dr.(Mrs) Chandanie Hadiwattege.  |
| Date   |
| Dissertation Supervisor  |

### **Abstract**

Urban development infrastructure projects are vital as they can facilitate significant benefits to society. As a result of the struggling economies of developing countries Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have been identified as a key strategic policy to deliver public infrastructure facilities. PPPs facilitate the private sector to get involved with financing, designing, building, operating, maintaining and management of projects. However, Sri Lanka is still struggling to implement PPP urban development infrastructure projects due to institutional constraints. This study focuses on investigating the constraints of the public sector for better implementation of PPP urban development infrastructure projects. From this study, it was critically reviewed the use of PPP by the public sector with special reference to urban development infrastructure projects and explained the PPP coverage on infrastructure projects in Sri Lanka. Further, it explicated the government's institutional barriers to better implementation of PPP projects through a comprehensive literature review. Considering these institutional barriers, a study was carried out in the Urban Development Authority (UDA), Sri Lanka to appraise the challenges that the UDA is facing for better implementation of PPP infrastructure projects. Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the empirical data acquired from a questionnaire survey from both inside and outside 32 professionals who closely worked with PPP infrastructure projects of UDA.

The studies revealed six main areas and 38 subareas of barriers for better implementation of infrastructure PPP projects. The main areas are Project Feasibility, Procurement Method, Risk Allocation, Managing Social Barriers, Continuous Involvement and Support from the Government Staff. In the context of UDA, Managing Social Barriers and Support from the UDA staff were appraised as the most challenging sectors. Finally, the findings identified the main constraints for better implementation of PPP urban development infrastructure projects.

**Keywords:** Urban Development Infrastructure Projects, Public-Private Partnerships, Urban Development Authority, government institutional barriers

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work to my devoted parents and beloved wife for their endless support and encouragement!

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** 

This research covers the dedication and ready assistance of many people and

organisations who have contributed to the completion of this research in rich ways.

Therefore, I take this opportunity to thank everyone.

It is my foremost duty to pay my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. (Mrs) Chandanie

Hadiwattege for the great commitment, guidance, and encouragement given

throughout the research. Further, I extend my gratitude to all the professionals from

UDA and outside of UDA who provided their contribution and required details to carry

out the research.

My sincere gratitude shall also be extended to Prof. (Mrs)Yasangika Sandanayake,

former Programme Director/ Former Head of the Department of Building Economics,

Programme Director Ch.QS. Vijitha Disaratna, Ch. QS. Prof. (Mrs.) Anuradha

Waidyasekara, Head of the Department of Building Economics, and, Mr. Waruna

Vidyaadikariarachchi Programme Assistant and many other academic staff of the

department for their immense guidance and support given throughout the research

period and my academic years of the University.

Finally, I sincerely thank my wife, and all my companions for sharing their experiences

and knowledge throughout the learning process.

U. D. Morawaka

February 2024

iv

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLARA   | ATION  | i    |
|-----------|--|------|
| Abstract  |  | ii   |
| DEDICAT   | ION  | iii  |
| ACKNOW    | LEDGEMENT  | iv   |
| TABLE OI  | F CONTENTS   | v    |
| LIST OF F | IGURES   | viii |
| LIST OF T | ABLES  | ix   |
| LIST OF A | ABBREVIATIONS  | X    |
| СНАРТЕ    | CR 01  | 1    |
| 1. I      | NTRODUCTION  | 1    |
| 1.1.      | Background   | 1    |
| 1.2.      | Problem statement  | 4    |
| 1.3.      | Aim  | 4    |
| 1.4.      | Objectives   | 5    |
| 1.5.      | Scope and limitations  | 5    |
| 1.6.      | Methodology  | 5    |
| 1.7.      | Chapter Breakdown  | 6    |
| СНАРТЕ    | CR 02  | 7    |
| 2. L      | ITERATURE SYNTHESIS  | 7    |
| 2.1.      | Introduction   | 7    |
| 2.2.      | Definition of Public-Private Partnerships                      | 7    |
| 2.3.      | Background of PPP  | 8    |
| 2.4.      | Motivation for Engaging in PPPs                                | 11   |
| 2.5.      | Mobilisation of Private Capital                                | 11   |
| 2.6.      | PPP as a Tool for Greater Efficiency                           | 11   |
| 2.7.      | PPP as a Catalyst for Broader Sector Reform                    | 12   |
| 2.8.      | Difference between private partnership and privatization       | 12   |
| 2.9.      | PPP in Global Context  | 14   |
| 2.10      | 0. PPP in Sri Lankan Context                                   | 18   |
| 2.1       | 1. On-going projects and projects in the Pipeline in Sri Lanka | 21   |

|     | 2.12. | P          | Project Pipeline                            | 23 |
|-----|-------|------------|---|----|
|     | 2.13. | T          | The role of government sector organizations | 25 |
|     | 2.14. | C          | Chapter Summary                             | 28 |
| CHA | APTER | 03         |   | 29 |
| 3.  | RE    | SEA        | RCH METHODOLOGY                             | 29 |
|     | 3.1.  | Intr       | oduction                                    | 29 |
|     | 3.2.  | Res        | search Design                               | 29 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.1.       | Exploratory research                        | 29 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.2.       | Descriptive research                        | 30 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.3.       | Explanatory research                        | 30 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.4.       | Selected Design for this Research           | 30 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.5.       | Research Approach                           | 30 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.6.       | Available Research Approaches               | 31 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.7.       | Research Strategies                         | 32 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.8.       | Survey Strategy                             | 33 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.9.       | Selected Research Approach for this study   | 34 |
|     | 3.2   | 2.10.      | The Questionnaire                           | 34 |
|     | 3.3.  | Sele       | ection of Sampling                          | 35 |
|     | 3.4.  | Dat        | a Analysis Techniques                       | 35 |
|     | 3.5.  | Res        | search Process                              | 36 |
|     | 3.6.  | Sun        | nmary                                       | 37 |
| CHA | APTER | 04         |   | 38 |
| 4.  | FIN   | NDIN       | IGS AND DISCUSSIONS                         | 38 |
|     | 4.1.  | Intr       | oduction                                    | 38 |
|     | 4.2.  | Pre        | paration of data                            | 38 |
|     | 4.3.  | San        | nple profile                                | 38 |
|     | 4.4.  | Ana        | alysis of Variables                         | 40 |
|     | 4.4   | l.1.       | Data description                            | 40 |
|     | 4.4   | 1.2.       | The interpretation and analysis             | 41 |
|     | 4.4   | 1.3.       | Statistics of the overall sample            | 46 |
|     | 4.5.  | Dat        | a Interpretation                            | 49 |
|     | 4 5   | <b>5</b> 1 | Project Feasibility (PF)                    | 49 |

| 4.5.2.        | Procurement Method (PM)         | 50 |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----|
| 4.5.3.        | Risk allocation between parties | 51 |
| 4.5.4.        | Managing Social Barriers        | 51 |
| 4.5.5.        | Continuous Involvement          | 52 |
| 4.5.6.        | Support from the UDA Staff      | 52 |
| 4.6. Dis      | scussion                        | 53 |
| CHAPTER 5     |                                 | 61 |
| 5. CONCI      | LUSION AND RECOMMENDATION       | 61 |
| 5.1. Inta     | roduction                       | 61 |
| 5.2. Co       | nclusion                        | 61 |
| 5.2.1.        | Objective 1:                    | 61 |
| 5.2.2.        | Objective 2:                    | 61 |
| 5.2.3.        | Objective 3:                    | 62 |
| 5.2.4.        | Objective 4:                    | 63 |
| 5.3. Rec      | commendations                   | 64 |
| 5.4. Lin      | nitations of the study          | 65 |
| 5.5. Fu       | rther researches                | 65 |
| REFERENCES    |                                 | 66 |
| ANNEX I – OUI | FSTIONNAIRE                     | 72 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1. Financing Infrastructure Projects through PPPs Models vs. Tradit     | ional     |
|--|-----------|
| Method   | 13        |
| Figure 2.2. Development of PPPs in the Global Context                            | 14        |
| Figure 2.3. Investment Commitment in Infrastructure Projects in in Low- and      | l Mid-    |
| Income Countries 2014- H1 2023   | 15        |
| Figure 2.4. Reginal Share of Investment Commitments in Infrastructure Projection | ects with |
| PPP in Low- and Mid-Income Countries 2014- H1 2023                               | 16        |
| Figure 2.5. Share of Sectoral Investment Commitments in Infrastructure PPP       | Projects  |
| in Low- and MidIncome Countries 2014- H1 2023                                    | 17        |
| Figure 2.6. PPP Project Process per the Current 1998 Guidelines                  | 25        |
| Figure 3.1. Data Analysis Process  | 35        |
| Figure 3.2. Research Process   | 36        |
| Figure 4.1. Demographic factors – Organization                                   | 39        |
| Figure 4.2. Demographic factors – Profession                                     | 40        |
| Figure 4.3. Histogram of means of UDA and non- UDA staff                         | 47        |
| Figure 4.4. Mean values of overall responses                                     | 48        |
| Figure 4.5. Mode values of overall responses                                     | 48        |
| Figure 4.6. Matrix of barriers to implementation of Urban Development            |           |
| Infrastructure PPP Projects in the Government sector                             | 58        |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1. Elements of the value chain Vs. PPPs approach                            | 10 |
|---|----|
| Table 2.2. PPP projects that are currently in progress in Sri Lanka                 | 21 |
| Table 2.3. shows a few PPP projects to be implemented in Sri Lanka                  | 23 |
| Table 4.1. Represents a summary of the profile of participants in the questionnaire | 9  |
| feedback  | 38 |
| Table 4.2. Feedback summary of the respondents                                      | 41 |
| Table 4.3. Marks allocations for responses  | 45 |
| Table 4.4. Statistics of the overall sample   | 46 |
| Table 4.5. Comparison of Mean   | 46 |
| Table 4.6. Comparison of Overall Mean of Each Sector                                | 49 |
| Table 4.7. Summary of the finding of the literature review for objective three      | 54 |
| Table 4.8. Legend for Matrix of barriers to implementation of Urban Developmen      | ıt |
| Infrastructure PPP Projects in Government sector                                    | 58 |

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB- Asian Development Bank

**BOI-** Board of Investment

BOOT- Build, Own, Operate and Transfer

CI- Continuous Involvement

DBFO- Design, Build, Finance and Operate

EMDE- Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

**EOI-** Expression of Interest

FCSL- Finance Commission of Sri Lanka

GOSL- Government of Sri Lanka

LRT- Light Rail Transit System

LOI- Letter of Intend

MOU- Memorandum of Understanding

PE - Procuring Entity

PEST- Political, Environmental, Social and Technical

PF- Project Feasibility

PM- Procurement Method

PPI- Public Private Infrastructure

PPP- Public- Private Partnership

RA- Risk Allocation Between Parties

RFP- Request for Proposal

ROI - Return on Investment

SB- Managing Social Barriers

SLPA- Sri Lanka Ports Authority

SS- Support from the UDA

UDA – Urban Development Authority

UK- United Kingdom

UNESCAP- United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

USAID- United States Agency for International Development

USD- United State Dollars

VFM - Value for Money