

**STUDY ON THE APPLICABILITY OF FINITE
ELEMENT ANALYSIS ON PREDICTING THE
BEHAVIOR OF AXIALLY LOADED PILES**

Gonkarage Nuranjith Priyantha Fernando

198329M

Master of Science in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

March 2024

**STUDY ON THE APPLICABILITY OF FINITE
ELEMENT ANALYSIS ON PREDICTING THE
BEHAVIOR OF AXIALLY LOADED PILES**

Gonkarage Nuranjith Priyantha Fernando

198329M

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

March 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 13/03/2024

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. L. I. N. De Silva

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 05/03/2024

ABSTRACT

Study on the Applicability of Finite Element Analysis on Predicting the Behavior of Axially Loaded Piles

The performance of axially loaded piles is a key factor in ensuring the stability and safety of various civil engineering structures, including buildings and bridges, within the field of geotechnical engineering. Accurate prediction of pile behavior is essential during the pile design stage. This master's thesis presents a comprehensive investigation into the applicability of Finite Element Analysis (FEA) as a predictive tool for assessing the behavior of axially loaded piles, with a focus on the Sri Lankan context.

The research methodology involves a comparative analysis of field test data with numerical and manual calculation data. A dataset comprising 12 pile tests and borehole data was collected from the Colombo suburb area and Mannar Island in Sri Lanka. This dataset includes five PDA tests and one MLT test at each location. Simultaneously, a 3D finite element model is developed using PLAXIS 3D software to represent the pile-soil interaction. The finite element model is precisely calibrated and validated against the field test data to ensure its accuracy and reliability. In addition to FEA, manual calculations of pile capacities and settlements were performed using the collected borehole data and compared with the field test data.

The findings of this study suggest that FEA can effectively predict the behavior of axially loaded piles with a high degree of precision when calibrated appropriately. Notably, the results show strong agreement with experimental test data when the Elastic Modulus of soil (E_{50}) is increased by a factor of five in both Colombo and Mannar areas.

The implications of this study are highly relevant to geotechnical engineers, designers, and construction professionals, as it serves as a valuable resource for designing axially loaded piles in the specific context of Sri Lanka.

In conclusion, this master's thesis demonstrates that Finite Element Analysis is a powerful and reliable tool for predicting the behavior of axially loaded piles. It has the potential to significantly enhance the efficiency and reliability of pile design and construction in geotechnical engineering projects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to those who have supported me throughout my postgraduate journey and the completion of this thesis.

First and foremost, I am immensely grateful to my research supervisor, **Prof. L.I.N. De Silva**, for his valuable insights and constructive feedback, which have significantly improved the quality of this work.

I would also like to thank **Prof. (Mrs.) C. Jayasinghe**, the Head of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, **Prof. U.P. Nawagamuwa**, the Head of the Geotechnical Engineering division, **Prof. L.I.N. De Silva**, postgraduate course coordinator and all the lectures of the postgraduate course on Geotechnical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, who helped me in many ways to make this project successful.

I extend my heartfelt appreciation to Access Engineering PLC for providing me data on test piles and borehole reports to use in this research work.

At last but not least, I greatly appreciate my parents, my loving wife and my friends, for their continuous encouragement, love, and understanding throughout this journey. Their emotional support has been a source of strength.

Thank you to everyone who has played a role in this endeavor.

G.N.P.FERNADO

March, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|--|-----|
| DECLARATION..... | I |
| ABSTRACT | II |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT..... | III |
| TABLE OF CONTENT..... | IV |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | VII |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | IX |
| CHAPTER 01..... | 1 |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.3 Significance of the Research..... | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of the Study | 4 |
| 1.5 Aims and Objectives | 4 |
| 1.6 Methodology | 5 |
| CHAPTER 02..... | 6 |
| 2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 6 |
| 2.2 Calculation of pile capacity using soil and rock mechanics principles (Theoretical method)..... | 7 |
| 2.2.1 The behaviour of a pile under axial load..... | 7 |
| 2.2.2 General Equation | 8 |
| 2.2.3 Pile skin friction in soil..... | 9 |
| 2.2.4 Pile end bearing in soil..... | 11 |
| 2.2.5 Pile skin friction and end bearing in rock | 13 |
| 2.2.6 Settlement calculation of a single pile | 17 |
| 2.3 Calculation of pile capacity using empirical correlations. | 18 |
| 2.3.1 Empirical correlations for skin friction based on SPT N value..... | 19 |
| 2.3.2 Empirical correlations for end bearing based on SPT N value | 20 |
| 2.4 Pile load tests. | 20 |
| 2.4.1 Maintained Load Test (MLT) | 21 |
| 2.4.2 High strain dynamic load test (PDI method) | 22 |
| 2.5 Numerical analysis of pile..... | 26 |
| 2.5.1 Finite Element Method (FEM)..... | 27 |
| CHAPTER 03 | 29 |
| 3.0 METHODOLOGY | 29 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 29 |
| 3.2 Field data collection | 30 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|----|
| 3.3 | Borehole idealization and soil parameter calculation..... | 31 |
| 3.4 | Pile analysis based on the MLT and PDA data | 35 |
| 3.5 | Pile capacity and settlement calculation using Theoretical/Empirical formula..... | 35 |
| 3.6 | Finite Element Modelling using PLAXIS 3D software..... | 36 |
| CHAPTER 04..... | | 41 |
| 4.0 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION..... | 41 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 41 |
| 4.2 | Pile Settlement Analysis results | 41 |
| 4.2.1 | Mannar - WTG05-P06 (BH 05)..... | 42 |
| 4.2.2 | Mannar - WTG06-P04 (BH 06)..... | 43 |
| 4.2.3 | Mannar - WTG08-P07 (BH 08)..... | 44 |
| 4.2.4 | Mannar – WTG10-P10 (BH 10) | 45 |
| 4.2.5 | Mannar – WTG14-P07 (BH 14) | 46 |
| 4.2.6 | Mannar – TP-01 (BH 01)..... | 47 |
| 4.2.7 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – TC-2b (BH 06) | 50 |
| 4.2.8 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – TC-3d (BH 04) | 51 |
| 4.2.9 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – D35 (BH 09)..... | 52 |
| 4.2.10 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – C1 (BH 07) | 53 |
| 4.2.11 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – CP32 (BH 07) | 54 |
| 4.2.12 | Katunayake– TP1 (BHP7)..... | 55 |
| 4.3 | Pile Skin Friction and End Bearing Analysis results | 58 |
| 4.3.1 | Mannar - WTG05-P06 (BH 05)..... | 58 |
| 4.3.2 | Mannar - WTG06-P04 (BH 06)..... | 60 |
| 4.3.3 | Mannar - WTG08-P07 (BH 08)..... | 62 |
| 4.3.4 | Mannar – WTG10-P10 (BH 10) | 64 |
| 4.3.5 | Mannar – WTG14-P07 (BH 14) | 66 |
| 4.3.6 | Mannar – TP-01 (BH 01)..... | 68 |
| 4.3.7 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – TC-2b (BH 06) | 72 |
| 4.3.8 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – TC-3d (BH 04) | 74 |
| 4.3.9 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – D35 (BH 09)..... | 76 |
| 4.3.10 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – C1 (BH 07) | 78 |
| 4.3.11 | Colombo (Bluemandal) – CP32 (BH 07) | 80 |
| CHAPTER 05 | | 84 |
| 5.0 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION..... | 84 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 84 |
| 5.1.1 | Pile Settlement Analysis | 84 |
| 5.1.2 | Pile Skin Friction and End Bearing Analysis..... | 85 |
| 5.2 | Recommendation | 86 |

| | |
|-------------------|-----|
| REFERENCE | 87 |
| ANNEXURE 01 | 91 |
| ANNEXURE 02 | 112 |
| ANNEXURE 03 | 148 |
| ANNEXURE 04 | 176 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Fig 2.1 Load transfer curve of a pile (Bowles, 1996) | 7 |
| Fig 2.2 Relationship between the adhesion factor α and undrained shear strength s_u (Bowles, 1996) | 9 |
| Fig 2.3 Variation of skin resistance with pile length (Vesic, 1967)..... | 10 |
| Fig 2.4 Values of z_c/d and $K_{stan\theta}$ for piles in sand (Vesic, 1967) | 11 |
| Fig 2.5 Bearing capacity factor for the foundation in clay ($\phi=0$) (Skempton, 1951)..... | 12 |
| Fig 2.6 Relationship between N_q and ϕ (Berezantzev, Khristoforov, & Golubko, 1961)..... | 12 |
| Fig 2.7 Variation of side wall shear stress in respect to socket length and modulus ratio (Osterberg & Gill, 1973) | 13 |
| Fig 2.8 Reduction factor for rock socket shaft friction ((Tomlinson & Woodward, 2008) | 14 |
| Fig 2.9 Reduction factor for discontinuities in a rock mass(Williams & Pells, 1981)..... | 15 |
| Fig 2.10 Allowable bearing stress in rock (Peck, Hansen, & Thornburn, 1974) | 16 |
| Fig 2.11 Allowable bearing pressure (Code of Practice for Foundations - BS 8004, 1986- Figure 1-b)..... | 16 |
| Fig 2.12 Assumed Static and dynamic load deformation characteristics of soil (Smith, 1960)..... | 26 |
| Fig 3.1 Validation procedure of the FEM results | 29 |
| Fig 3.2 E_m/E_r vs RQD of the rock sample (Zhang & Einstein, (2004)) | 32 |
| Fig 3.3 Bluemandhal Project BH-06 Idealization..... | 33 |
| Fig 3.4 PLAXIS 3D meshed geometry of pile foundation | 37 |
| Fig 3.5 Plan view of pile and soil model | 38 |
| Fig 3.6 Shear Stress (σ_{yz}) variation along the pile (WTG06-P04) | 39 |
| Fig 3.7 Total stress (σ_{zz}) at the bottom of the pile (WTG06-P04) | 39 |
| Fig 4.1 Load vs Settlement curve for WTG05-P06..... | 42 |
| Fig 4.2 Load vs Settlement curve (WTG06-P04) | 43 |
| Fig 4.3 Load vs Settlement curve (WTG8-P07) | 44 |
| Fig 4.4 Load vs Settlement curve (WTG10-P10) | 45 |
| Fig 4.5 Load vs Settlement curve (WTG14-P07) | 46 |
| Fig 4.6 Load vs Settlement curve (TP01)..... | 47 |
| Fig 4.7 Distribution of pile settlement – Mannar..... | 49 |
| Fig 4.8 Load vs Settlement curve (TC-2b) | 50 |
| Fig 4.9 Load vs Settlement curve (TC-3d) | 51 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Fig 4.10 Load vs Settlement curve (D35)..... | 52 |
| Fig 4.11 Load vs Settlement curve (C1) | 53 |
| Fig 4.12 Load vs Settlement curve (CP32)..... | 54 |
| Fig 4.13 Load vs Settlement curve (P7-TP1)..... | 55 |
| Fig 4.14 Distribution of pile settlement - Colombo | 57 |
| Fig 4.15 Pile Skin Friction Capacity(WTG05-P06) | 58 |
| Fig 4.16 Pile End Bearing Capacity (WTG05-P06) | 59 |
| Fig 4.17 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (WTG06-P04) | 60 |
| Fig 4.18 Pile End Bearing Capacity (WTG06-P04) | 61 |
| Fig 4.19 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (WTG8-P07) | 62 |
| Fig 4.20 Pile End Bearing Capacity (WTG8-P07) | 63 |
| Fig 4.21 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (WTG10-P10) | 64 |
| Fig 4.22 Pile End Bearing Capacity (WTG10-P10) | 65 |
| Fig 4.23 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (WTG14-P07) | 66 |
| Fig 4.24 Pile End Bearing Capacity (WTG14-P07) | 67 |
| Fig 4.25 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (TP01) | 68 |
| Fig 4.26 Pile End Bearing Capacity (TP01) | 69 |
| Fig 4.27Pile Skin Friction Capacity (TC-2b)..... | 72 |
| Fig 4.28 Pile End Bearing Capacity (TC-2b)..... | 73 |
| Fig 4.29 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (TC-3d)..... | 74 |
| Fig 4.30 Pile End Bearing Capacity (TC-3d)..... | 75 |
| Fig 4.31 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (D35) | 76 |
| Fig 4.32 Pile End Bearing Capacity (D35) | 77 |
| Fig 4.33 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (C1) | 78 |
| Fig 4.34 Pile End Bearing Capacity (C1) | 79 |
| Fig 4.35 Pile Skin Friction Capacity (CP32) | 80 |
| Fig 4.36 Pile End Bearing Capacity (CP32)..... | 81 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1 Mass factor with respect to RQD or fracture frequency ((Tomlinson & Woodward, 2008) | 15 |
| Table 3.1 Collected pile test data sample..... | 30 |
| Table 3.2 Summary of collected borehole data | 32 |
| Table 3.3 Bluemandhal Project BH-06 Calculated Soil properties..... | 34 |
| Table 3.4 PDA test results summary | 35 |
| Table 3. 5 MLT test results summary | 35 |
| Table 3.6 Properties of pile foundation | 37 |
| Table 3.7 Soil properties used for PLAXIS 3D analysis (Bluemandal Project BH-06)..... | 40 |
| Table 4.1 Basic details of selected piles..... | 41 |
| Table 4. 2 Summary of pile settlement result - Mannar..... | 48 |
| Table 4.3 Summary of pile settlement result - Colombo | 56 |
| Table 4.4 Ratio of Mobilized capacity to the calculated capacity– Mannar | 70 |
| Table 4.5 Ratio of Mobilized capacity to the calculated capacity – Colombo | 82 |