# AN ANALYSIS OF THE HORPHOLOGY OF AN URBAN ENVIRONMENT OF SRI LANKA, USING 'SPACE SYNTAX'

#### A.M. Binduhewa

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science (Architecture)

Department of Architecture

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

2006

95731

## **Contents**

Declar	ation	
Acknowledgement		
List of Illustrations		ii
Introduction		v
Chapte	er one : Urban Morphology for Analyzing	
Urban Environments		1
1.1	The urban environment	1
1.1.1	The city	1
1.1.2	The city form	2
1.2	Study of urban form (Urban morphology)	4
1.2.1	Introduction to urban morphology	4
1.2.2	Recent developments in urban morphology	5
1.2.3	Human aspect of urban form and architects contribution	6
1.3	Urban environment, its impact on human activities and behavior	7
1.3.1	Understanding of social and space relationship	7
1.3.2	Understanding of-'What architecture adds to building'	8
1.4	Related research and theories on social and space relationship	8
1.5	The city form and social understanding - reference to related	
researc	ch/ Theories	10
1.5.1	Elements of good city form	11
1.5.2	Design of responsive environments and urban design principles	12
1.5.2.1	Permeability	13
1.5.2.2	Vitality - Places that are vibrant, safe, comfortable,	
varied, fun, and active		13
1.5.2.3	Variety - A successful place also offers a mix of	
activiti	ies to the widest range of possible users	14
1.5.2.4	Imageability and legibility as significant qualities of the city	14
1.5.2.5	Robustness	16
1.6 Sp	ace syntax for the study of urban environments	
1.6.1 Т	The basis for the identification - space syntax	
urban	environments	

# to study of

		17
Chapte	er two: Space Syntax as a Method to Analyze the	17
Urban	Environments	20
2.1 Int	roduction - Space syntax	20
2.1.1 V	What is'space syntax'?	20
2.1.2 \$	Space syntax - definition	21
2.1.3	Origin of the Space syntax	21
2.1.4 V	Why? Syntax of space need for the urban developments	22
2.2 Sp	ace syntax as a morphological approach to analyze urban environments	
	and its sig nificance	22
2.3 Sc	ocial constraint and configurational possibility in Space syntax	23
2.3.1 \$	Space syntax as plausible model for predict spatial cognition	24
2.4.1 \$	Spatial configuration / morphology	24
2.4.2 \$	Spatial cognition	25
2.5 Space syntax theory		25
2.5.1 Study the morphology of urban environments		26
2.5.2 Representation of urban environment		27
2.5.3 U	Understand the morphological structure using space syntax	28
2.5.4B	asic spatial elements to represent urban space	30
2.6 M	orphological representation using axial maps	32
2.6.1	The axial map	32
2.6.2 Axial representation through colors		32
2.7 M	orphological properties that can measure using space syntax	34
2.7.1 N	Morphological properties of the axial representation	35
2.7.2D	Distance and human understanding	36
2.7.2.1	Cognitive distance and human perception	37
2.4.1	Spatial configuration / morphology	24
2.4.2	Spatial cognition	25
2.5	Space syntax theory	25
2.5.1	Study the morphology of urban environments	26
2.5.2	Representation of urban environment	27
2.5.3	Understand the morphological structure using space syntax	28
2.5.4	Basic spatial elements to represent urban space	30

2.6 Morphological representation using axial maps	32
2.6.1 The axial map	32
2.6.2 Axial representation through colors	32
2.7 Morphological properties that can measure using space syntax	34
2.7.1 Morphological properties of the axial representation	35
2.7.2 Distance and human understanding	36
2.7.2.1 Cognitive distance and human perception	37
2.7.3 Global integration and local integration	37
2.7.4 Understanding the morphological measures	38
2.8 Concluding remarks- the theoretical framework used by space syntax	39
2.9 Application of space syntax tools in urban developments	40
2.9.1 The sectors and the contribution of space syntax	40
2.10 International implications of space syntax, in the field of urban design	43
Case study 1	43
Case study 2	49
Chapter three: An analysis of the Morphology of an	
Urban Environment of Sri Lanka, using	
Space Syntax special ref. to Pettah, Colombo.	54
Case study — Pettah, Colombo	
Case study — Pettah, Colombo	
Conclusion	71
Bibliography	73

#### Declaration

I declare that this dissertation represent my own work, Accept where due Acknowledgement made, and that it has not been previously included in a thesis/ dissertation/ report, submitted to the University or any other institution for a degree / diploma or any other qualification.



# **UOM Verified Signature**

Student name: A. M. Binduhewa

Internal Tutor: Dr Jagath Munasinghe

Senior Lecturer, Dpt. of Architecture and
Dpt. of Town and Country Planning,
University of Moratuwa.



#### Contents

Dec	laration	1		
Ack	nowled	gement	±	
List	of Illus	strations	S	
Intro	ductio	n		
Cha	pter o	ne : Url	ban Morphology for Analyzing	
		Ur	ban Environments	
1.1	The un	ban env	rironment	
	1.1.1	The cit		
	1.1.2	The cit	cy form	
1.2				
	1.2.1	Introd	uction to urban morphology	
	1.2.2	Recent	developments in urban morphology	
	1.2.3	Human	a spect of urbay form and architects contribution	
1.3	Urban	ban environment, its impact on human activities and behavior		
	1.3.1	Under	standing of social and space relationship	
	1.3.2	Under	standing of -'What architecture adds to building'.	
1.4	Relate	d resear	ch and theories on social and space relationship	
1.5	The city form and social understanding – reference to related			
	research/ Theories			
	1.5.1	Elemen	nts of good city form	
	1.5.2	Design	of responsive environments and urban design principles	
		1.5.2.1	Permeability	
		1.5.2.2	Vitality - Places that are vibrant, safe, comfortable,	
			varied, fun, and active	
		1.5.2.3	Variety - A successful place also offers a mix of	
			activities to the widest range of possible users	
		1.5.2.4	Imageability and legibility as significant qualities of the city	

1.5.2.5 Robustness

i

ii

1.6	Space s	syntax for the study of urban environments	17
	1.6.1	The basis for the identification – space syntax to study of	
		urban environments	17
Ch	apter tw	o: Space Syntax as a Method to Analyze the	
		Urban Environments	20
2.1	Introd	action – Space syntax	20
	2.1.1	What is 'space syntax'?	20
	2.1.2	Space syntax – definition	21
		Origin of the Space syntax	21
	2.1.4	Why? Syntax of space need for the urban developments	22
2.2	Space	syntax as a morphological approach to analyze urban environments	
	and its	sig nificance	22
2.3	Social	constraint and configurational possibility in Space syntax	23
	2.3.1	Space syntax as plausible model for predict spatial cognition	24
2.4		urational analysis of the city Theses & Dissertations	24
	2.4.1	Spatial configuration / morphology	24
	2.4.2	Spatial cognition	25
2.5	Space	syntax theory	25
	2.5.1	Study the morphology of urban environments	26
	2.5.2	Representation of urban environment	27
	2.5.3	Understand the morphological structure using space syntax	28
	2.5.4	Basic spatial elements to represent urban space	30
2.6	Morph	ological representation using axial maps	32
	2.6.1	The axial map	32
	2.6.2	Axial representation through colors	32
2.7	Morph	ological properties that can measure using space syntax	34
	2.7.1	Morphological properties of the axial representation	35
	2.7.2	Distance and human understanding	36
		2.7.2.1 Cognitive distance and human perception	37

2.7.3 Global integration and local integration	37
2.7.4 Understanding the morphological measures	38
2.8 Concluding remarks- the theoretical framework used by space syntax	39
2.9 Application of space syntax tools in urban developments	40
2.9.1 The sectors and the contribution of space syntax	40
2.10 International implications of space syntax, in the field of urban design	43
Case study 1	43
Case study 2	49
Chapter three: An analysis of the Morphology of an  Urban Environment of Sri Lanka, using  Space Syntax special ref. to Pettah, Colombo.	54
Case study - Pettah, Colombo	

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

71

73

Conclusion

Bibliography

#### Acknowledgment

Completion of this study would not have been possible if not for the help of many, who supported both physically and morally. Therefore I would like to express my thanks to the following persons who assisted me in numerous ways.

- Dr. Jagath Munasinghe, Senior Lecturer, Dpt. of Architecture and Dpt. of Town and Country Planning, University of Moratuwa, for the necessary guidance given to start and carry on the study.
- Professor Bill Hiller, Architectural and environment- behavior theorist in Advanced Architectural Studies Unit at the Bartlett School of Architecture and Planning, University College London (UCL) for the generous support by giving me the valuable data, theoretical knowledge to my study and to adopt that in Sri Lankan sinuation.
- Dr. Harsha Munasinghe and Archt. Madura PrematilakaSenior Lecturers, Dpt. of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, for being behind and guide throughout the process.

University of Moratuwa, Sri

- Dr. Upendra Rajapaksha Senior Lecturer and the Coordinator of the Dissertation, and Archt. Jayanath Silva Senior Lecturer Dpt. of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, for the valuable comments and information that they provide.
- My parents, Diluk, Meenu, Ungi for providing me with all the support to carryout my entire task without any laps.
- To Gaiya and sumudu for the help and for the thoughts that share with me on my work, throughout the study
- For whose names are not listed, who helped me in so many ways.

### List of illustrations

Fig. 1	A city is a growing entity and it changes in the context of time.	1
Fig. 2	City form generates the character, identity, and uniqueness of a city, St. Peter's Rome	2
Fig. 3	Cities, maintaining its spatial relationships according to the functional needs	2
Fig. 4	Elements of good city form	3
Fig.5	The study of the form and structure of an urban area	5
Fig. 6	People and their activities have great impact on the city form, and shaping it with there functional needs.	5
Fig. 7	Through spatial organization, buildings constitute as well as represent social reality	6
Fig. 8	Understanding of what people need for space can be important for architects and urban designers to provide an attractive environment in order to stimulate urbanity	6
Fig. 9	Group of squares in Bath, Rome unique in the relationship between space, and the surrounding buildings	7
Fig. 10	Built forms constitute the social organization of everyday life as the spatial configurations of space in which we live and move, And represent social organization as physical configurations of forms and elements that we see.  University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	7
Fig. 11	Elements of good city form Landmarks, edges, paths, Districts and nodes	11
Fig. 12	The use of Permeability in urban designs	13
Fig. 13	Places feel safer with buildings overlooking them.	13
Fig. 14	Mixed use development works well in higher densities because there are more people to support the variety of activities.	14
Fig. 15	A more vibrant and sustainable form results from blurring the direction between uses and designing places that make walking to the local center	14
Fig. 16	"Legibility is the ease with which the parts of a town or city can be recognized and organized into a coherent Pattern."	15
Fig. 17	Landmarks - Points of reference which most people experience from the outside	17
Fig. 18	12 cities, images of – very similar	26
Fig. 19	Cities are similar in this way	27
Fig. 20	Closed spaces and open spaces	28
Fig. 21	The convex and axial maps of space syntax.	29

Fig. 22	The viewable space of an urban environment which can be represent in a axial map	29
Fig. 23	The open space of an irregular street grid	30
Fig. 24	And its axial representation	30
Fig: 25	Axial representation to measure some morphological properties of the urban environment	31
Fig. 26	An axial map series of Oxford, London	32
Fig. 27	Spatial accessibility model of central Melbourne for strategic decision-making -2003	34
Fig. 28	Distance estimates influenced by route structuring	37
Fig. 29	An axial map	38
Fig. 30	An axial map and the associated graph	38
Fig. 31	Public space design proposal for Princes Circus, London, 2001	40
Fig. 32	Passenger routes observed in Victoria Station, London, 2001	40
Fig. 33	Trafalgar Square redesign, London, 1996 – 2003	41
Fig. 34	Urban Entertainment Centre, visitor circulation analysis, Frankfurt, 1999	41
	Saathane and Cumhuriyet squares in city of samsun, Sri Lanka.	43
Fig. 36	Configurative analysis of the city of Samsunes & Dissertations  www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	44
Fig. 37	Synergy and Intelligibility	45
Fig. 38	analytical methods	46
Fig. 39	Saathane Square	47
Fig. 40	Cumhuriyet square	47
Fig. 41	visual field Analysis	48
Fig. 42	The Current Pattern of Space use and Movement in the Square	49
Fig. 43	visual fieldform Geometric Centre of Trafalgar Square	51
Fig. 44	Visual Field from King Charles Traffic Island	51
Fig. 45	Space Syntax Computer Model of Trafalgar Square existing	52
Fig. 46	Proposed Redesign of Trafalgar Square	52
Fig. 47	space Syntax Computer Model of Trafalgar Square – proposed	53

Fig. 22	The viewable space of an urban environment which can be represent in a axial map	29
Fig. 23	The open space of an irregular street grid	30
Fig. 24	And its axial representation	30
Fig: 25	Axial representation to measure some morphological properties of the urban environment	31
Fig. 26	An axial map series of Oxford, London	32
Fig. 27	Spatial accessibility model of central Melbourne for strategic decision-making -2003	34
Fig. 28	Distance estimates influenced by route structuring	37
Fig. 29	An axial map	38
Fig. 30	An axial map and the associated graph	38
Fig. 31	Public space design proposal for Princes Circus, London, 2001	40
Fig. 32	Passenger routes observed in Victoria Station, London, 2001	40
Fig. 33	Trafalgar Square redesign, London, 1996 – 2003	41
Fig. 34	Urban Entertainment Centre, visitor circulation analysis, Frankfurt, 1999	41
Fig. 35	Saathane and Cumhuriyet squares in city of samsun, Sri Lanka.	43
Fig. 36	Configurative analysis of the city of Samsons & Dissertations	44
Fig. 37	Synergy and Intelligibility  Www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	45
Fig. 38	analytical methods	46
Fig. 39	Saathane Square	47
Fig. 40	Cumhuriyet square	47
Fig. 41	visual field Analysis	48
Fig. 42	The Current Pattern of Space use and Movement in the Square	49
Fig. 43	visual fieldform Geometric Centre of Trafalgar Square	51
Fig. 44	Visual Field from King Charles Traffic Island	51
Fig. 45	Space Syntax Computer Model of Trafalgar Square existing	52
Fig. 46	Proposed Redesign of Trafalgar Square	52
Fig. 47	space Syntax Computer Model of Trafalgar Square – proposed	53