# References

## **Chapter One**

- Center for Excellence in Service University of Maryland, and Rosckbridge Associates Inc. 2005. National Technology Readiness Survey.
- Ferris Research. 2005. The Global Economic Impact of Spam 2005.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2004. OECD Workshop on Spam: Report of The Workshop.
- Ranganathan, Prof C., Dr. Penny Duquenoy, and Evangelos Moustakas. 2005.

  Combating Spam through Legislation: A Comparative Analysis of US and European Approaches
- Swartz, Nikki. 2005. Deleting spam costs businesses billions. *Information Management Journal* 39.3 (May-June).

### **Chapter Two**

## University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Arrison, Sonia 2004. Canning Spam: An Economic Solution To Unwanted Email.

Cobb, Stephen. 2003. The Economics of Spam.

Ferris Research. 2005. Calculating Spam Costs for Your Organization.

Government of the United States. 2003. CAN-SPAM ACT.

- Hinde, Stephen 2003. Spam: the evolution of a nuisance. *Computers & Security* Volume 22 (Issue 6).
- McKay, Sandra, John Yeargain, and Randall Settoon. 2004. CAN-SPAM ACT OF 2003: HOW FAR DID CONGRESS GO? Paper read at Allied Academies International Conference, at New Orlean.
- Merriam-Webster. 2006. *Spam* 2006 [cited 20th September 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/spam">http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/spam</a>.
- MessageLabs. 2006. Average global ration of spam in email scanned by MessageLabs.
- ——. 2006. A Review of Quarter 2/2006.

- Ng, Karen. . 2005. Spam Legislation in Canada: Federalism, Freedom of Expression and the Regulation of the Internet. *University of Ottawa Law and Technology journal* (2:2):449-92.
- Nucleus Research. 2003. Spam: The Serial ROI Killer, .
- -----. 2004. Spam: The Silent ROI Killer (A second report).
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2004. OECD Workshop on Spam: Report of The Workshop.
- Prince, Matthew, Lee Holloway, and Arthur Keller. 2005. Understanding How Spammers Steal Your E-Mail Address: An Analysis of the First Six Months of Data from Project Honey Pot. In Second Conference on Email and Anti-Spam CEAS 2005. Stanford University -In Cooperation with The International Association for Cryptologic Research and The IEEE Technical Committee on Security and Privacy.
- Ranganathan, Prof C., Dr. Penny Duquenoy, and Evangelos Moustakas. 2005.

  Combating Spam through Legislation: A Comparative Analysis of US and European Approaches
- Spamhaus. 2006. About Spamhaus 2006 [cited October 16th 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.spamhaus.org/organization/index.html">http://www.spamhaus.org/organization/index.html</a>.
- ———. 2006. Register of Known Spam Operations (ROKSO) database 2006 [cited 04th November 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.spamhaus.org/rokso/">http://www.spamhaus.org/rokso/</a>.

Symantec Corporation. 2005. Symantec Spam Statistics (May 2005).

#### **Chapter Three**

Central Bank of Sri Lanka. 2006. Annual Report 2005.

Dutta, Soumitra, Augusto Lopez-Carlos, and Irene Mia. 2006. The Global Information Technology Report 2005-2006: World Economic Forum and INSEAD.

Ferris Research. 2004. Spam: Corporate Practices and Priorities in 2004.

———. 2005. Calculating Spam Costs for Your Organization.

———. 2005. The Global Economic Impact of Spam 2005.

- McComb, Joseph 2004. Case Study Spam Reduction in a Large Enterprise.
- Nucleus Research. 2003. Spam: The Serial ROI Killer, .
- ——. 2004. Spam: The Silent ROI Killer (A second report).
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2004. OECD Workshop on Spam: Report of The Workshop.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2004. Report on non-OECD Countries' Spam Legislation.
- Ranganathan, Prof C., Dr. Penny Duquenoy, and Evangelos Moustakas. 2005.

  Combating Spam through Legislation: A Comparative Analysis of US and European Approaches
- Satharasinghe, Dr. Amara. 2004. Computer Literacy of Sri Lanka Department of Census and Statistics.
- Telecommunication Regulatory Commission of Sri Lanka. 2006. Statistics Overview of the Telecommunication Sector as end of 2nd Quarter 2006.

Telecommunication Regulatory Commission of Sri Lanka 2006 [cited September 16th 2006]. Available from

http://www.trc.gov/k/statyanalysis.htm.uwa, Sri Lanka.


Trans Atlantic Consumer Dialogue and Chris Andrew. 2003. Consumer Attitudes

WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Regarding Unsolicited Commercial Email (Spam).

## **Chapter Five**

- Central Bank of Sri Lanka. 2006. Annual Report 2005.
- Department of Census and Statistics of Sri Lanka. 2006. Household Income and Expenditure Survey 2005 Summary Findings.
- Dutta, Soumitra, Augusto Lopez-Carlos, and Irene Mia. 2006. The Global Information Technology Report 2005-2006: World Economic Forum and INSEAD.
- Ferris Research. 2004. Spam: Corporate Practices and Priorities in 2004.
- Keizer, Gregg 2006. Spam Soars, Expect Worse In 2007 InformationWeek 2006 [cited December 07th 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.crn.com/showArticle.jhtml?articleId=196602543">http://www.crn.com/showArticle.jhtml?articleId=196602543</a>.



Khoo, Pek Ne, and Charlene Senn. 2004. NOT WANTED IN THE INBOX!: EVALUATIONS OF UNSOLICITED AND HARASSING E-MAIL Psychology of Women Quarterly 28 (3):204.

Nucleus Research. 2004. Spam: The Silent ROI Killer (A second report).

Telecommunication Regulatory Commission of Sri Lanka. 2006. Statistics - Overview of the Telecommunication Sector as end of 2nd Quarter 2006.

Telecommunication Regulatory Commission of Sri Lanka 2006 [cited September 16th 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.trc.gov.lk/stat\_analysis.htm">http://www.trc.gov.lk/stat\_analysis.htm</a>.

Trans Atlantic Consumer Dialogue, and Chris Andrew. 2003. Consumer Attitudes Regarding Unsolicited Commercial Email (Spam).

#### **Chapter Six**

Lanka Bell. 2006. Terms And Conditions For Bellnet & Bell Notes Services 2006

[cited 01st November 2006]. Available from

<a href="http://www.lankabelfnet.com/newuser.php.a">http://www.lankabelfnet.com/newuser.php.a</a>, Sri Lanka.

Sri Lanka Telecom. 2006. SLTnet Acceptable User Policy 2006 [cited 01st November www.lib.mrt.ac.lk
2006]. Available from http://www.sltnet.lk/policy.html.

Suntel. 2006. Suntel Terms of Use ("TOU") 2006 [cited 01st November 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.wow.lk/terms/">http://www.wow.lk/terms/</a>.

## **Chapter Seven**

Spamhaus. 2006. *The Definition of Spam* 2006 [cited October 16 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.spamhaus.org/definition.html">http://www.spamhaus.org/definition.html</a>.

#### **Chapter Eight**

Government of Sri Lanka. 2006. *Prevention of Computer Crime Act* 2003 [cited September 16th 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.justiceministry.gov.lk/NEW%20LEGISLATION/COMCRIME.pd">http://www.justiceministry.gov.lk/NEW%20LEGISLATION/COMCRIME.pd</a> <a href="mailto:f.">f.</a>

91225

#### **Chapter Nine**

- Australian Communications and Media Authority. 2006. ACMA's five-point strategy to combat spam 2006 [cited 31st October 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.acma.gov.au/ACMAINTER.1507598:STANDARD::pc=PC\_100888">http://www.acma.gov.au/ACMAINTER.1507598:STANDARD::pc=PC\_100888</a>.
- Ferris Research. 2005. Calculating Spam Costs for Your Organization.
- Government of Australia. 2003. Spam Act 2003, edited by DCITA: Office of Legislative Drafting and Publishing (OLDP).
- Keizer, Gregg 2006. Spam Soars, Expect Worse In 2007 InformationWeek 2006 [cited December 07th 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.crn.com/showArticle.jhtml?articleId=196602543">http://www.crn.com/showArticle.jhtml?articleId=196602543</a>.
- Linford, Steve. 2006. Spamhaus Position on CAN-SPAM Act of 2003. The Spamhaus Project 2003 [cited 20th September 2006]. Available from <a href="http://www.spamhaus.org/position/CAN-SPAM">http://www.spamhaus.org/position/CAN-SPAM</a> Act 2003.html.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2004. OECD Workshop on Span: Report of The Workshop ratuwa, Sri Lanka.
- Ranganathan, Prof C., Dr. Penny Duquenoy, and Evangelos Moustakas. 2005.

  Combating Spam through Legislation: A Comparative Analysis of US and European Approaches