LB/DON/39/0

ON INTERACTIVE CONTROL FOR INTELLIGENT COLLISION EVASIVE **EMERGENCY INTERVENTION IN SMART VEHICLES**

A thesis submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

by

SAMARANATH RAVIPRIYA RANATUNGA

www.lib.mrt ac.lk UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

Supervised by: Dr Sisil Kumarawadu

University of Moratuwa

621.3"07

Department of Electrical Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January 2007

87310

Contents

Decl	aratio	n	V
Abs	tract		vi
Ack	nowled	lgement	viii
	-	ures	
List		oles	
1.	Intro	oduction	
	1.1	Collision Avoidance of Vehicles	
	1.2	Interactive Control of Vehicular Systems	1
	1.3	Intelligent Applications in Vehicles	3
	1:4	Hierarchy of Control among Vehicles	3
	1.5	Inter-Vehicle Communication (IVC)	4
	1.6	Modern Sensor Technologies for Smart Vehicular Systems	6
	1.7	Main Controller Studies	7
	1.8	Changes in Modes of Control and Human Factors Considerations	
	1.9	Simulation and Results mrt. ac.lk	7
	1.10	Prototype Studies/Realization of Prototypes	8
2.	ANI	FIS: Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference Systems	9
	2.1	Neuro-Fuzzy Hybrid Systems	9
	2.2	Fuzzy Logic	
	2.3	Artificial Neural Networks	10
	2.4	ANFIS Networks and Control	11
		2.4.1 Hybrid Learning Algorithm	14
		2.4.1.1 Least Squares Method	14
		2.4.1.2 Gradient Decent Method	15
	2.5	Summary	15
3.	Aux	iliary Functions: Synthesis of Controller	16
	3.1	Vehicular Controllers	16
	3.2	Auxiliary Functions	17

		3.2.1 Collision Condition Function	17
		3.2.2 Relative Distance Function	19
		3.2.3 Safety Speed Limit Function	20
		3.2.4 Master-Slave Function	.21
		3.2.5 Steering Direction Function	23
	3.3	Controller Algorithm	23
	3.4	Summary	24
1.	Synt	thesis of Controller and Training	25
	4.1	Braking Controller	25
		4.1.1 Fuzzy Input Membership Functions (Before Training)	25
		4.1.2 Fuzzy Output Membership Functions (Before Training)	27
		4.1.3 Training of the Braking Controller	28
		4.1.4 Fuzzy Input Membership Functions (After Training)	28
		4.1.5 Fuzzy Output Membership Functions (After Training)	31
	4.2	Steering Controller	33
		4.2.1 Fuzzy Input Membership Functions (Before Training)	33
		4.2.2 Fuzzy Output Membership Functions (Before Training)	35
		4.2.3 Training of the Steering Controller	35
		4.2.4 Fuzzy Input Membership Functions (After Training)	36
		4.2.5 Fuzzy Output Membership Functions (After Training)	39
	4.3	Summary	39
5.	Sim	ulation Study	40
	5.1	Vehicle Dynamic Model	40
	5.2	Simulation Setup	44
	5.3	Matlab/Simulink System Blocks for Simulation Setup	45
	5.4	Parameters for each Vehicle in Simulation	48
	5.5	Simulation Results	48
		5.5.1 Side-End Collisions	48
		5.5.2 Rear-End Collisions	52
		5.5.3 Head-On Collisions	55
	5.6	Summary	58
6.	Pro	ototype Realization	59
	6.1	Component Selection	59
		6.1.1 Controller Board-Oopic R+	59

		6.1.2 Servo Motors60
		6.1.3 Radio Frequency Modules (Transmitter/ Receiver)61
		6.1.4 Ultrasonic Sensors61
		6.1.5 Digital Compass
		6.1.6 Optical Encoder Modules64
	6.2	Testing of Individual Components for Realization of Prototypes64
		6.2.1 RF Module Testing64
		6.2.2 Servo Motors Calibration and Testing
		6.2.3 Ultrasonic Sensor Testing67
		6.2.4 Digital Compass Testing67
		6.2.5 Optical Encoder Testing67
	6.3 Prote	Development of an Algorithm for Collision Avoidance Studies in otypes
		6.3.1 Algorithm for the Prototype69
		6.3.1.1 Peripheral Obstacle Avoidance (OA) Module69
		6.3.1.2 Collision Avoidance (CA) Module70
7.	Con	clusion and Future Directions71
	7.1	Conclusion71
	7.2	Suggestions for Future Directions
Refe	rence	es73
App	endic	es76
		A: Overview of Simulation Sub-System Blocks76
		B: Coefficients of the Trained Takagi-Sugeno Output Membership of the ANFIS Braking Controller83
		C: Coefficients of the Trained Takagi-Sugeno Output Membership of the ANFIS Steering Controller
App	endix	D: Testing Program for RF Modules (On PC)91
App	endix	E: Testing Program for RF Modules (On Oopic R+)93
App	endix	F: Testing Program for Servo Motors96
App	endix	G: Programs for Checking Addresses of Ultrasonic Sensors97
App	endix	H: Testing Programs for Digital Compass99
App	endix	x I: Testing Program for Optical Encoders100

Declaration

The work submitted in this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any other degree, and is also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

29/01/2007

S R Ranatunga

(Candidate)

Date: 29/01/2007

I endorse the declaration by the candidate.

UOM Verified Signature

Dr. Sisil Kumarawadu

(Supervisor)

Abstract

This research study focuses on finding a solution for collision avoidance of smart vehicular systems. The main paradigm that is used to establish the solution is the interactive control of vehicular systems for negotiating a collision scenario for taking evasive actions. In this study, an interactive controller proposed negotiates collision scenarios between two vehicular systems leading to cooperative maneuvers. Thus, the interactive control actions lead to some maneuvers mutually beneficial to both the vehicles. The objective of this study is to develop a fully operational intelligent interactive controller for the smart vehicles. An Inter-Vehicle Communication (IVC) system plays a pivotal role in exchanging the necessary information in between the vehicles. The IVC system is assumed to be with enough versatility for dealing with multiple collisions in the channel transmitting information.

This study is focusing on the vehicles outside the usually considered platoon environment. It is considering for emergency intervention maneuvers for collision evasive solutions.

The hierarchical differentiation in control of the participatory vehicles is done by using the Master-Slave concept. The master is given more power in comparison to the slave. But these states are moment-bound and are to change fast.

There are two main controllers which have been developed for braking and steering. The two controllers are based on Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS). The top tier of this controller includes all important auxiliary functional components for processing the primary sensory variables. The ANFIS controller has been offline trained in the Matlab-7 environment.

A simulation study has been done for the controllers in the Matlab/Simulink environment for various categories of collisions between the two vehicles. Even though the above paradigm is discussed for two participatory vehicular sub-systems, it is emphasized, that the same approach can effectively be extended without any major conceptual breakthrough to any number of vehicles for reliable evasion of collisions. In similar way, multiple vehicles can be considered as a multiplication of the number of pairs of vehicles for applying the results of the above study.

Two fully autonomous prototypes were realized with full capability for testing intelligent interactive collision avoidance trials. Here, all sensor types and equipment were tested for expected functionality to be used in the integrated environment. To this end, software were developed for testing each component in the provided platform.

Acknowledgement

I specially thank my supervisor, Dr. Sisil Kumarawadu for his unwavering guidance, support and advice for carrying out this research work successfully. I am also very appreciative for his extensive help in fulfillment of some publications related to this research work, in some prestigious international forums.

I am indebted to my parents and my wife for constant support and encouragement for successfully carrying out this work.

My gratitude is also due to Prof. H.Y.R. Perera, Head/Electrical Engineering, for offering me a Research Assistantship in the Department of Electrical Engineering, in support of my studies.

My sincere thanks are also due to the chairman and the committee members of the SRC grant committee, University of Moratuwa, for the grant they extended, which existed as an extensive support in my research studies.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my thanks to Dr. Amith Munindradasa, Dr. Rohan Munasingha, Dr. Thrishantha Nanayakkara and Dr. Lanka Udawatta for being the members of the review committee for my research. If not for their guidance and advice this work wouldn't have been a success at the end.

Dr. N Munasinghe and his staff, at the Engineering Post Graduate Unit, are also thanked for all assistance extended.

I have been assisted extensively by Mr. Geeth Jayendra, in realizing the prototypes for the research work. My appreciations are also due to him.

I would also like to thank Mr. Buddhika Jayasekera and Mr. Dharshana Prasad, who have been my colleagues at the Departmental Research Lab, for helping me in various ways for successfully carrying out this work.

Finally, my thanks go to various other personnel without whose help this work wouldn't have been a success. Understandably, their individual names cannot be mentioned here due to being large in number.

List of Figures

Figure	The polys	age
Fig. 1	ANFIS controller common structure for braking and steering controllers	11
Fig. 2	Control system block diagram	. 16
Fig. 3	Detection of collision condition	. 18
Fig. 4	State diagram for change of control	. 22
Fig. 5(a)	Membership functions of CollisionCondition_braking controller	. 25
Fig. 5(b)	Membership functions of RelativeDistance_braking controller	. 26
Fig. 5(c)	Membership functions of MSSwitch_braking controller	. 26
Fig5(d)	Membership functions of SpeedLimit_braking controller	. 26
Fig. 6(a) training	Membership functions for CollisionCondition_braking controller after	. 28
	Membership functions for RelativeDistance_braking controller after train	
Fig. 6(c)	Membership functions for MSSwitch_braking controller after training	. 28 . 29
- · · · ·	Membership functions for SafetySpeedLimit_braking controller after	•
training Fig. 6(e)	Output control surface for the braking controller	
Fig. 6(f)	Root mean squared error for training ANFIS_braking controller	. 31
Fig. 6(g)	Root mean squared error for checking ANFIS_braking controller	. 31
Fig. 7(a)	Membership functions of CollisionCondition_steering controller	. 34
Fig. 7(b)	Membership functions of RelativeDistance_steering controller	. 34
Fig.7(c)	Membership functions of MSSwitch_steering controller	. 34
Fig. 7(d)	Membership functions of SteeringDirection_steering controller	35
•	Membership functions for CollisionCondition_steering controller after	26
training Fig. 8(b) training	Membership functions for RelativeDistance_steering controller after	
	Membership functions for MSSwitch steering controller after training.	

Figure		Page
	Membership functions for SteeringDirection_steering controller after	
training Fig. 8(e)	Output control surface for the steering controller	37
• , ,	Root mean squared error for training ANFIS_steering controller	
	Root mean squared error for checking ANFIS_steering controller	
	Reference frames and position vectors	
	Vehicle model	
	Main Simulink block model for the simulation	
	Sub-system controller block for the vehicle model-1(2)	
Fig.10(c)	Main vehicle model sub-system with world-coordinate frame	
transform	ations Trajectories of the vehicles in near side-end collision scenario	46 49
	Change of relative distance between the two vehicles	
	Steering command (vehicle-1)	
	Steering command (vehicle-2)	
-	Deceleration profile (vehicle-1)	
	Deceleration profile (vehicle-2)	
• '	Trajectories of the vehicles in near rear-end collision scenario	
	Change of relative distance between the two vehicles	
• • •	Steering command (vehicle-1)	
	Steering command (vehicle-2)	
• , ,	Deceleration profile (vehicle-1)	
	Deceleration profile (vehicle-2)	
	Trajectories of the vehicles in near head-on collision scenario	
Fig.13(b)	Change of relative distance between the two vehicles	55
	Steering command (vehicle-1)	
Fig.13(d)	Steering command (vehicle-2)	56
Fig.13(e)	Deceleration profile (vehicle-1)	57
Fig.13(f)	Deceleration profile (vehicle-2)	57
Fig. 14	Oopic R+ controller board	59
Fig. 15	Hitec HS-422 standard deluxe servo motors	60
Fig. 16	RF communication modules: receiver and transmitter	61
	Beam pattern of the SRF235 'pencil beam' ultrasonic sensor	62

Figure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page
Fig 18	SRF235 'pencil beam' ultrasonic sensor	. 62
Fig 19	Digital compass and external pin connections	63
Fig. 20	The optical encoder and code wheel	64
Fig. 21 modules	Circuit diagram for RF communication between the PC and onboard RF	
Fig. 22	Display for checking existing baud rate of the receiver	
Fig. 23	Display after adjusting the baud rate to 9600 bps	66
Fig. 24	Pull-up resistors on HEDS-9040 encoder module outputs	67
Fig. 25	Prototype platforms with assembled components	68

List of Tables

Table	P	age
Table 1	Typical parameters (nominal) for each vehicle system	48