

**PRIVATE INVESTMENT IN POWER SECTOR AND  
DEVIATIONS FROM LEAST COST PLAN**

**K V S Madhavi Kudaligama**

Master of Engineering

072439



University of Moratuwa

September, 2000

**72439**

## Executive Summary

---

This report describes and suggests trade-off methods for Generation Expansion Planning Studies carried out for the period of 2000-2017. The project examines the effect of bringing forward a project which would otherwise be included at a latter year in the optimal plan and bringing in a project which is not within the least cost plan. In addition to that techniques for assessing the impact of such deviations were developed and the affects on prices were examined.

The studies presented in this report were conducted under three-block load duration curve: the base the peak and the mid peak. The existing hydroelectric plants were used as a single composite plant.

The thermal plants considered were Coal, Combined Cycle, Gas Turbines, oil-fired steam and diesel plants running on residual oil. Existing thermal plant were represented by six composite thermal plants. It was assumed that plant additions were done in the beginning of the year and no 1 of the plants were retired during the planning period.

The sensitivity of the recommended generating plant additions to the changes in commissioning year is presented in the report.

The major recommendation is: The overall effect of bringing forward are, therefore different from case to case and involve many subtle issues that have to be carefully evaluated. Certain modifications to the least cost plan have a relatively healthy effect overall, but others may not.

An expansion plan to bring forward or bring in an excluded project on the base of an optimal least cost expansion plan is a trade-off plan. Mathematically the new plans derived here are sub optimal plans. Since there is no such thing as a unique sub optimal plan it is wise that as decision makers generation planners have to evaluate the many possible outcomes derived like above.

Generally, a plan to bring forward or bring in a private project is good if conflicts among private investors are eliminated, utility investment is reduced and the total cost increases are not excessive.

## Acknowledgments

I am most grateful and privileged to have Dr. Priyantha DC Wijetunga, Senior Lecturer, University of Moratuwa as the supervisor. His kind and encouraging assistance with regard to the problem formulation, constructing and comments on the results is greatly appreciated.

I am deeply indebted to all the lecturers, colleagues and friends, too numerous to mention here, who have contributed so generously in various stages of this project over few months. Special mention should make to the engineers in the Generation Planning Branch, CEB namely WJLS Fernando, TAK Jayasekara, PVIP Jayatissa and TN Sandasiri.

Many thanks are owed especially to the Head and the Staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering University of Moratuwa, colleagues of the 1<sup>st</sup> batch of the M.Eng/PG Diploma Course in Electrical Engineering and non executive staff of the Generation Planning Branch, CEB for assistance in reviewing, complying and editing this report.

Finally this work is dedicated to my beloved parents who have always been with me in every hurdle I cleared.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

KVSM Kudaligama  
September 2000

# CONTENTS

	Page
Contents	i
Annexes	ii
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Acronyms	iii
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>E - 1</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1 - 1</b>
1.1 Power Sector Privatisation in developing Countries	1 - 1
1.2 Some Features of the Power Sector in Sri Lanka	1 - 1
1.2.1 Structure	1 - 1
1.2.2 Growth	1 - 1
1.2.3 Technical Performance	1 - 2
1.2.4 Financial Performance	1 - 3
1.2.5 Debt Crisis	1 - 4
1.2.6 Requirement for Capital	1 - 4
1.3 Private Sector Power	1 - 5
1.4 The BOO/BOT	1 - 6
1.5 The Project	1 - 6
<b>2. Input Data</b>	<b>2 - 1</b>
2.1 Load Data	2 - 1
2.1.1 Observations on Existing Electricity Demand	2 - 1
2.1.2 Assessing the future Electricity Demand	2 - 1
2.2 Existing System	2 - 3
2.2.1 Hydro Generation	2 - 3
2.2.2 Thermal Generation	2 - 5
2.2.3 Hydro Energy Availability	2 - 6
2.3 Screening of Generation Options	2 - 7
2.4 Power Candidates	2 - 7
2.4.1 Thermal Candidates with Feasibility Studies Available in CEB	2 - 8
<b>3. Tools and Programming</b>	<b>3 - 1</b>
3.1 Study Parameters	3 - 1
3.2 Simulation Package LINDO	3 - 2
3.3 Methodology	3 - 2
3.4 Problem Formulation	3 - 4
3.4.1 Base Case	3 - 4



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>4 - 1</b>
4.1	Case I – Optimum Plan	4 - 1
4.2	Base Case Output	4 - 1
4.3	Case II – Combined Cycle Forced in Stage 3	4 - 4
4.4	Case III – Gas Turbine Forced in Stage 2	4 - 4
4.5	Case IV – Diesel Turbine Forced in Stage 4	4 - 5
4.6	Case V – Diesel Turbine Forced in Stage 3	4 - 5
<b>5.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>5 - 1</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>R - 1</b>

## Annexes

	Page	
A1	Popular Computer Packages for Electricity Planning	A1 - 1
A2	Screening of Generation Options	A2 - 1
A3	Computer Program – Base Case	A3 - 1
A4	Base Case - Output	A4 - 1

## List of Tables

1.1	Gross System Losses and Load Factor in the Past Few Years	1 - 3
2.1	Load Forecast	2 - 2
2.2	Demand Forecast Used for the Study	2 - 2
2.3	Existing and Committed Hydro Power Plants	2 - 4
2.4	Details of Existing and Committed Thermal Plants	2 - 6
2.5	Composite Existing and Committed Thermal and Hydro Plants	2 - 6
2.6	Hydro Energy Availability	2 - 7
2.7	Characteristics of Thermal generating Plants Considered as expansion Candidates	2 - 9
3.1	Characteristics of Fuel used	3 - 1
4.1	Optimal Plan	4 - 1
4.2	Output Cost per each Plant	4 - 2
4.3	Combined cycle Forced in Year 2012	4 - 4
4.4	Gas Turbine Forced in Year 2008	4 - 5
4.5	Diesel Turbine Forced in Year 2016	4 - 5
4.6	Diesel Turbine Forced in Year 2012	4 - 6
5.1	Summary	5 - 1

## List of Figures

1.1	Growth Rates of GDP and Electricity Sales in the Recent Past	1 - 2
1.2	Plant Factors by Power Stations	1 - 2
1.3	Revalued Percentage Rate of Return in the Past 10 Years	1 - 3
1.4	Investment Plan 2000-2009	1 - 4
2.1	Change in Daily Load Curve Over the Years	2 - 1
2.2	Load Duration Curves Used for the Study	2 - 3
2.3	Specific Cost of Candidate Thermal Plants Discount Rate = 10%	2 - 7
5.1	Summary in graphical Form	5 - 1

## Acronyms

CEB	-	Ceylon Electricity Board
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
T&D	-	Transmission and Distribution
MW	-	Mega Watt
ADB	-	Asian Development Bank
WB	-	World Bank
BOO	-	Build, Own and Operate
BOT	-	Build, Operate and Transfer
LF	-	Load Factor
SYSIM	-	System Simulation Model
CIF	-	Cost, Insurance and Freight
HHV	-	Higher Heating Value
LINDO	-	Liner, Interactive and Discrete Optimiser
LDC	-	Load Duration Curve
US\$	-	American Dollars



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk