

LB/DON/27/1998

Contextual compatibility in Architecture : An illustrative study.

**A dissertation presented to the department of Architecture
University of Moratuwa
For M. Sc. (Architecture) examination
and RIBA (Part II) exemption**



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

69284
um
Thesis
coll.

**Aruna . I. Balasuriya
M.Sc. 1998**

72"98"
72.01

ප්‍රකාශන
මොරටුවේ විශ්ව විද්‍යාලය, ශ්‍රී ලංකාව
මොරටුව.

69284

Acknowledgments

Dr. Ranjith Dayarathne , Senior lecturer, Faculty of Architecture, for his valuable comments and guidance.

Archit. N M P Nawarathne and archit. B M W Senadheera for whose supports were immense in guidance.

Mr. Prasanna Kulatilake and Miss Shreenika for all the support in correcting the draft.

My friend Wasanntha Chandratilake for kind and generous support in getting the text printed.

My friends Namal, Channa, Somathilake, Upul, Priyantha , Neluka and Evelyn for their numerous ways of supports.

Last but not least my father , mother and brothers for pushing me all the way in making the effort a success.



C o n t e n t s

Acknowledgements

List of illustrations

Abstract

CHAPTER ONE - Introduction

1.1 The issue

1.2 The background

1.3 Scope and limitations

1.4 Method of study

CHAPTER TWO - Concepts of context and compatibility

2.1 The concept of context

2.1.1 Complexity of the context

2.1.2 The value of deriving and establishment of the "Total context"

2.1.3 The derivation of the Total context

2.1.3.1 Physical context

2.1.3.1.1 Physical aspect

2.1.3.1.2 Functional aspect

2.1.3.2. Social context

2.1.3.3. Cultural context

2.2. The concept of compatibility

CHAPTER THREE – Architectural design approaches in the past.

3.1 Design approaches based on Political Ideologies

3.1.1 The idealist tradition

3.1.2 The self conscious tradition

3.1.3 The supersensualist tradition

3.1.4 The logical tradition

3.1.5 The un - self conscious tradition

3.1.6 The activist tradition

3.2 Design approach based on Behavioural environment

3.3 Design approach based on meaning

3.4 Wholistic approach

The review

CHAPTER FOUR -Contextual compatibility in Architecture

4.1 Formation of a theoretical basis

4.1.1 The building task

4.1.1.1 The building task as whole

4.1.2 Form

4.1.2.1 Elements

A. Mass element

B. Space element

C. Bounding surface element

4.1.2.2 Relations

A. Proximity

B. Closure

C. Interpenetrating

D. Fusion

E. Succession and continuity

F. Similarity and dissimilarity

G. Centralisation

H. Axility

I. Parallelism

J. Perspective

K. Co-ordinate system

4.1.2.3 Formal structure

4.1.2.4 Style

4.1.3 Technics

4.1.3.1 Massive system

4.1.3.2 Skeleton system

4.1.4 Interrelation between task, form, and techniques

(Study of semantical relations)

4.2 Contextual compatibility in Architecture – An overview

4.3 Illustrative cases.

4.3.1 Kandalama hotel, Dambulla, Sri Lanka.

4.3.2 Galvez house, San Angel, Mexico city.

4.3.3 La Muralla Roja, Alicante, Spain.

4.3.4 Alexandra road housing, London, England.

4.3.5 Hous at Almere, New Amsterdam.

Conclusion

Bibliography



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

1. Monmouth, England.	15.
2. Sheffield England.	15.
3. Tewkesbury, England.	16.
4. Suburbs of Bombay.	20.
5. Sydney Opera house, Australia.	25
6. Hot dog stand and Big donut drive in, Los Angeles.	25
7. Ronchamp chapel, France.	43.
8. The Castle of Pommersfeldon-Rome	43.
9. Mass elements.	44
10. Mass elements.	44
11. Space element.	44.
12. The Roman Pantheon.	45
13. The Capitoline Square in Rome.	45
14. -do-	45.
15. Plan of Nordlingen.	46



16. Giglo Castello, Toscana.	47.
17. Stairs of Sant Agnese in Piazza, Navona.	47.
18. Project for a brick house - Mies Van Der Rohe.	47.
19. Ulm with Cathedral and medieval houses.	48.
20. Brunelleschi: S Spirito in Florence.	48.
21. The Barcelona pavilion.	48.
22. Boghazkeuy, Temple I	49.
23. Cathedral at Lagos.	50.
24. Arcades around a square at Monpazier.	52.
25. The Crystal palace in London.	53.
26. Renault parts distribution center, England.	53.
27. Kandalama hotel, Dambulla, Sri Lanka.	58.
28. Galvez house, San Angel, Mexico city.	59.
29. La Muralla Roja, Alicante, Spain.	59.
30. Alexandra Road housing, London, England.	60.
31. House at Almere, New Amsterdam.	61.


 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk



ABSTRACT

It seems that most Architectural students and Architects do not want to grasp the real **length** and **breadth** of a particular problem in an occasion that they are supposed to give solutions . Even it is apparent that they tend to be held up to a particular aspect even without a reasonable over looking at the problem and try to give merely beautiful buildings as solutions.

But the fact that Architecture is not merely beautiful buildings, but a **coherent system** which can participate actively in mans' day today life, emphasis the need of a more **justifiable** and **well suited** solutions.

Certainly in every situation the designer has a great challenge to find out and create well suited Architecture or in other words **Contextually compatible Architecture**.

In this regard, formation of a particular **ideology** which helps to get a good knowledge of the **"Total context"** in the realm of particular building situation, would be immensely important . Then establishment of a theoretical basis, having **"Contextual compatibility"** as the main theme will be important in order to create an ordered living environment.