

**ESTABLISHING A BIM FRAMEWORK FOR
INTEGRATING PASSIVE DESIGN STRATEGIES FOR
DESIGNING ENERGY-EFFICIENT RESIDENTIAL HIGH-
RISE BUILDINGS IN SRI LANKA**

Panagoda Widanalage Udara Sachinthana Perera

228086C

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2024

**ESTABLISHING A BIM FRAMEWORK FOR
INTEGRATING PASSIVE DESIGN STRATEGIES FOR
DESIGNING ENERGY-EFFICIENT RESIDENTIAL HIGH-
RISE BUILDINGS IN SRI LANKA**

Panagoda Widanalage Udara Sachinthana Perera

228086C

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of
Science in Civil Engineering.

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2024

DECLARATION

I hereby witness that this thesis represents my original research work conducted after registration for the degree of M.Sc. at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. It has not been submitted elsewhere or for any degree or diploma, and the collaborative contributions and previous work related to the current study have been stated and properly acknowledged.

Also, I hereby grant a non-exclusive right to the University of Moratuwa and its agents to archive and make accessible this dissertation, in whole or in part, in print, electronic, or another medium. However, I retain all the ownership to use this content in whole or part in publications (Article/ Book).

Signature:

Date: 25.11.2024

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's degree under my supervision

Name of the supervisor: Prof. C. S. Lewangamage

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 26.11.2024

Name of the supervisor: Dr. A. U. Weerasuriya

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 26.11.2024

Name of the supervisor: Dr. R. Ruparathna

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 26.11.2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I dedicate this thesis to the following individuals whose unwavering support and guidance have been imperative for the success of my Master's research:

- To my esteemed supervisors, Prof. C.S. Lewangamage, Dr. Asiri Weerasuriya, and Dr. Rajeev Ruparathna, whose mentorship and expertise paved the way for the accomplishments of my Master's degree. Dr. Asiri's immense support enhanced my scientific thinking and writing, guiding me to a successful completion. Prof. Sujeewa, my thesis supervisor, supported me by coordinating progress reviews, ensuring the smooth progression of my academic endeavors. Dr. Rajeev's insights into building information modeling expanded the horizons of my research scope.
- To Eng. Ishan Tharaka, for his contribution to preparing the early version of the questionnaire survey and the data collection.
- To Dr.(Ms) Nilanka Weerasinghe (UOM), Dr. Rajeev Mallawarachchi (SLTC), Dr. Senaka Dharmatilleke (Havelock City), and Mr. Rohitha (Havelock City), whose assistance in the field measurement campaign was invaluable. I thank all the undergraduates who helped me collect field measurement data.
- To Dr. Hasitha Hidallanagamage and Dr. Nimal Wijerathna for their support and insightful feedback during the progress reviews.
- To Eng. Pasindu Meddage and Eng. Imesh Ekanayake, whose assistance in understanding and developing machine learning models to enrich my research.
- To my beloved parents and friends, your unwavering support and encouragement have strengthened me throughout my MSc journey.

P.W.U.S. Perera

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

ABSTRACT

Sri Lanka expects a surge in demand for residential high-rise buildings (RHBs). Energy-intensive heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems are relied on for the indoor thermal comfort of these buildings. The HVAC dependency can be minimized by integrating Passive Design Strategies (PDS) that leverage natural resources to control heat transfer and ventilation. Despite their potential, the efficacy of PDS is sparsely studied for Sri Lankan buildings. Addressing this knowledge gap, this study introduces a novel Building Information Modeling (BIM)-based Building Performance Analysis (BPA) framework for an energy-efficient building design workflow. Eight popular PDS were identified through a questionnaire survey among local building designers. These strategies were then applied to a typical RHB in three distinct sub-climates in Sri Lanka. The comparison between the survey findings and simulation results highlights a discrepancy between the popularity of PDS and their actual performance, emphasizing the need for enhanced awareness among Sri Lankan building designers. The local and global sensitivity analyses revealed low e-coating glasses and solar reflective wall paints as the energy-efficient PDS for Colombo and Kandy. In contrast, low conductivity walls and multiple/thick glazing are the most effective PDS for Nuwara Eliya. The multi-objective optimization of this study demonstrated that the optimum PDS design could substantially reduce operational energy consumption by 41.4%, 61%, and 29.4% and investment costs by 7.5%, 4%, and 4% compared to original designs in Colombo, Kandy, and Nuwara Eliya. Importantly, prioritizing low operational energy PDS design over the investment cost proves crucial for achieving sustainable metrics, such as carbon emission reduction and green rating scores. While the output of this study sets basic guidelines for Sri Lankan building designers in integrating passive design strategies for RHBs, the novel BIM-based BPA framework stands as a solution for existing technical gaps in BIM-based building design workflows.

Keywords: Passive design strategies, Building Information Modelling, Energy Simulation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
Annexure	xiv
Chapter 1	1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Scope and Objectives	3
1.2 Methodology	4
1.3 Arrangement of the Thesis	5
CHAPTER 2	7
2. Literature Review	7
2.1 Passive Design Strategies in Tropical Climates	7
2.1.1 Application of a single PDS	7
2.1.2 Application of Multiple Passive Design Strategies	8
2.1.3 Dependency of climatic parameters for PDS effectiveness	10
2.2 Studies on BIM-based design workflows for BPA	12
2.2.1 Studies on BIM to Building Performance Simulation Model Translation	13

2.2.2	Studies on BIM-integrated Performance Analysis.....	15
2.2.3	Studies on BIM-integrated building performance analysis and output visualization	16
2.3	Summary of the chapter	19
CHAPTER 3		20
3.	Selection of passive design strategies	20
3.1	National guideline for Passive Design Strategy selection.....	20
3.2	Questionary survey.....	23
3.3	Selected Passive Design Strategies	24
3.3.1	Window overhangs (OH) and side fins (SF).....	24
3.3.2	Green walls (GW)	25
3.3.3	Reflective exterior surfaces (EC)	25
3.3.4	Thick/multiple glazing (GU).....	25
3.3.5	High thermal mass walls (WS)	26
3.3.6	External wall insulation/ Low conductivity walls (CW).....	26
3.3.7	Low e-coating on glasses (WC)	26
3.4	Summary of the chapter	28
Chapter 4		29
4.	BIM-based BPA framework for selecting the optimum PDS design option	29
4.1	BIM template	31
4.2	Energy simulation sub-framework.....	32
4.2.1	gbXML translator.....	33

4.2.2	Validation of gbXML translator.....	35
4.2.3	Analysis Case Generator	36
4.3	Daylight simulation sub-framework	38
4.4	Multi-objective optimization module.....	38
4.5	Results Integrator	39
4.6	Building design workflow using BIM-based BPA framework.....	40
4.7	Summary of the chapter	41
CHAPTER 5		43
5.	Case-Study Building and climate data	43
5.1	Baseline Settings of the BIM Model and Building Energy Model	43
5.1.1	Validation of building energy model (BEM)	46
5.2	Energy Prediction using Metamodel	47
5.3	Weather data.....	51
5.4	Verification of PDS variable thresholds from daylight simulations	52
5.5	Summary of the chapter	55
CHAPTER 6		56
6.	Analysis of results	56
6.1	Sensitivity Analysis.....	58
6.1.1	Local sensitivity analysis (LSA) using partial derivatives.....	58
6.1.2	Global sensitivity analysis using the Morris method.	62
6.2	Sensitivity Analysis aided recommendations for selecting the best energy-saving PDS combinations for local climates.....	65

CHAPTER 7	70
7. Multi-Objective Optimization.....	70
7.1 Choosing optimum Passive Design Strategies (PDS) design - Multi-objective Optimization approach	70
7.1.1 1st Objective function – Minimize Investment Cost (IC).....	71
7.1.2 2nd Objective function – Minimize Operational Energy Consumption 72	
7.1.3 Multi-objective optimization.....	73
7.2 Calculation of sustainability metrics for non-dominated solutions.....	77
7.2.1 Life Cycle Carbon Emission Reduction (LCCER)	77
7.2.2 Green Building Rating Score	78
7.3 Selection of the PDS Design	79
7.3.1 LINMAP option	79
7.3.2 Lowest Operational Energy option	80
7.3.3 Lowest Investment Cost Option.....	81
CHAPTER 8	87
8.1. Limitations of the Study.....	87
8.2. Conclusions and recommendations.....	89
References.....	91
Annexure 01 – Details of the baseline building.....	103
Annexure 02 – descriptive statistics of ml-model training dataset	105
Annexure 03 – global warming potential data of building elements	106

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1: Scope of the study.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Figure 2: Graphical representation of the research methodology.</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Figure 3: Summary of BIM-based design stages and existing research types.</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Figure 4: Graphical representation of guidelines to select PDS in Sri Lankan Buildings (Source: (Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority, 2019))......</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Figure 5: Most popular PDS among local designers and votes received for each PDS.</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Figure 6: Ideal BIM-based BPA-aided building designing workflow.</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>Figure 7: Interface of BIM template prepared in Autodesk Revit.</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Figure 8: Illustration of energy simulation and daylight simulation sub-frameworks based on the BIM model.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Figure 9: User interface of the gbXML translator.....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Figure 10: Data mapping from gbXML classes to IDF classes.</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Figure 11: User interface of analysis case generator.</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>Figure 12: User interface of multi-objective optimization middleware tool.</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Figure 13: Dynamo script and user-interface.</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Figure 14: Proposed BIM-based design workflow.</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Figure 15: a) 3D view of the BIM model, b) 3D view of a typical floor 3) detailed plan view of a typical floor (All dimensions are in millimeters).</i>	<i>45</i>

Figure 16:a) Frequency of the difference between measured and simulated temperature ($T_{observed} - T_{simulated}$), and b) comparison between simulated and measured temperatures.	47
Figure 17: Predicted vs. Simulated EUI for Colombo: training(a) and testing(b), Kandy: training(a) and testing(b) , Nuwara Eliya: training(a) and testing(b) dataset.	50
Figure 18: Tropical region, Sri Lanka's location, and elevation variation throughout the country.....	51
Figure 19: Key climate characteristic of Colombo, Kandy and Nuwara Eliya - a) Monthly mean of hourly average dry-bulb temperature over 12 months, b) hourly average of dry-bulb temperature variation over a typical day, c) Monthly mean of hourly relative humidity, d) Direct Normal Radiation of a design day.	52
Figure 20:Results of the daylight performance analysis where shades of blue color indicates the floor area withing 110-5400 lux levels on a design day. a) Results of Colombo, b) Results of Kandy, c) Results of Nuwara Eliya, d) an example of yearly - sun path variation over the building site	54
Figure 21: Energy saving percentage of each PDS at its extreme variable value vs. popularity rank in the questionnaire survey.	57
Figure 22: Summary of local analysis	61
Figure 23: The covariance plot (σ vs μ^*) of eight passive design strategies in Colombo (0A) (black triangles), Kandy (1A) (Red squares), and Nuwara Eliya (3A) (Blue circles).....	65
Figure 24: EUI Summary of different PDS combinations in three climate zones.	68
Figure 25: Graphical representations of (Updated BIM models) of the best energy saving PDS combinations.	69
Figure 26: Convergence Plots of objective functions: Colombo - (a) Operational energy and (b) Investment Cost, Kandy- (b) Operational energy and (c) Investment Cost, Nuwara Eliya- (e) Operational energy and (f) Investment Cost	74

Figure 27: All solutions searched in MOO, Non-dominated solutions and the original design case for a) Colombo, b) Kandy and c) Nuwara Eliya. 76

Figure 28: Updated BIM model visuals of selected PDS design options for Colombo. a) Selected PDS design option 01, b) Selected PDS design option 02, c) Selected PDS design option 03. 82

Figure 29: Updated BIM model visuals of selected PDS design options for Kandy. a) Selected PDS design option 01, b) Selected PDS design option 02, c) Selected PDS design option 03. 83

Figure 31 : Updated BIM model visuals of selected PDS design options for Nuwara Eliya. a) Selected PDS design option 01, b) Selected PDS design option 02, c) Selected PDS design option 03..... 84

Figure 31: Selected PDS design options, non-dominated solutions, and baseline design of Colombo (a), Kandy(b) and Nuwara Eliya (c)..... 86

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 1: Summary of a literature review on Passive Design Strategies.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Table 2: Summary of the studies on BIM-based design workflows</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Table 3: List of PDS outlined in the local guideline.....</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Table 4: Summary of selected Passive Design Strategies (PDS).....</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Table 5: Visual representation of test cases</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>Table 6: Summary of construction properties of the baseline building</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>Table 7: Performance indices of ML model training and testing</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Table 8: Summary of daylight calculations of three climates selected for this study (According to LEED v3).....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Table 10: Summary of the Morris metrics</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>Table 11: Summary of recommended PDS</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Table 12: Summary of PDS design options.</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>Table 13: Multi-objective optimization parameters.....</i>	<i>73</i>

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

RHB – Residential High-rise Building

PDS – Passive Design Strategies

BIM- Building Information Modelling

BPA – Building Performance Simulation

EUI – Energy Use Intensity

LSA – Local Sensitivity Analysis

GSA – Global Sensitivity Analysis

LHS – Latin Hypercube Sampling

OH – Window Overhang

SF- Window Sidesfin

EC – Reflective Walls

GU – Multiple/thick glazing

WS – High thermal walls

CW - Low conductivity walls

WC – Low-E glazing

WAPE – Weighted Absolute Percentage Error

MAE – Mean Absolute Error

MSE – Mean Squared Error

OE – Operational Energy

IC – Investment Cost

HVAC – Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning

EE – Elementary Effect

CC – Construction Cost

MOO – Multi-Objective Optimization

R^2 – Coefficient of Determination

$E_{\text{equipment}}$ – Annual Energy Demand for equipment

E_{lighting} – Annual Energy Demand for lighting

E_{hvac} – Annual Energy Demand for HVAC

CC_r – Construction Cost of r^{th} PDS design

MC_r – Material Cost of r^{th} PDS design

OC – Construction and Material Costs of the rest of the building element

FA – Total area of a typical floor

D_i – Euclidian Distance

f_{IC} – Investment Cost function

f_{OE} – Operational Energy function

NDS – Non-Dominated Solution

EF- Emission Factor

EPD – Environmental Product Declaration

AUC – Additional Upfront Carbon Emission

ANNEXURE

Annexure 01 – Details of the baseline building	103
Annexure 02 – descriptive statistics of ml-model training dataset	105
Annexure 03 – global warming potential data of building elements	106