

# **EVALUATION OF REHABILITATION METHODS FOR POST-MINE CLOSURE: A CASE OF KURUNEGALA IN SRI LANKA**

R. W. M. R. Geethamali

198535P

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Environmental Planning

Department of Town and Country Planning

Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2023

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr. Chathura Kovida De Silva

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date:

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I appreciate having the opportunity to work on this and other relevant projects with everyone. My dissertation committee members have all given me a great deal of professional and personal advice and have taught me a lot about both scientific research and life in general. I want to express my gratitude to the supervisor, Dr. Chathura Kovida De Silva. He has taught me more than I could ever credit him with here as my mentor and instructor.

## **ABSTRACT**

The mining industry is unable to afford to contact designing processes for the mine's closure using the same mentality and perspective as in the past, especially given the recent rise in global awareness of the environmental impact of mineral extraction. Minimal mine rehabilitation is rarely carried as mine closure in the local context. Most people don't even continue with adequate recovery, and as a result of that post mine lands are neglected with unstable rock, open craters and barren lands are a common feature at the stage of mine closure as abandoned mine sites. The results of the situational analysis in Sri Lanka and reviewing international practices of mine rehabilitation reveal possibilities of establishing appropriate rehabilitation. It is necessary to consider many different rehabilitation levels with appropriateness to re-use the mined lands in a sustainable manner. A survey questionnaire was developed with expert opinion based on the identified criteria and alternatives of appropriate rehabilitation as mine closure. The judgments of criteria weights are collected from a group of experts that are using questionnaires with Delphi method. The survey questionnaire was administered to officers of GSMB. A total of 20 expert panels was selected to determine the criteria and weights of the rehabilitation level of post mine closure. The overall ranks of the hierarchy priorities of criteria and alternatives of rehabilitation levels were a result of the AHP analysis to build the framework. The result of the research is a methodological framework accordance with weightage for each criterion and its respective alternatives. Clearing Hazards, Compatibility and Future Developments are the different rehabilitation levels that can be achieved the most appropriate rehabilitation activities according to the expert's opinion. The proposed framework can be utilized as a decision making tool in mine closure prioritization models, or it can be used when planning to rehabilitate abandoned mines where what distinguishes them from mining activities.

**Keywords:** Rehabilitation, Mining, Mineral, Appropriateness, Mine Closure

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                                                                   |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Declaration .....                                                                                                 | i   |
| Acknowledgement.....                                                                                              | ii  |
| Abstract .....                                                                                                    | iii |
| Table of Contents .....                                                                                           | iv  |
| List of Figures .....                                                                                             | vii |
| List of Tables.....                                                                                               | ix  |
| List of Abbreviations.....                                                                                        | x   |
| Chapter 1 .....                                                                                                   | 1   |
| Introduction .....                                                                                                | 1   |
| 1.1 Background of the Study .....                                                                                 | 1   |
| 1.2 Research Problem.....                                                                                         | 5   |
| 1.3 Objectives .....                                                                                              | 6   |
| 1.4 Conceptual Framework .....                                                                                    | 7   |
| Chapter 2 .....                                                                                                   | 8   |
| LITERATURE REVIEW.....                                                                                            | 8   |
| 2.1 Introduction .....                                                                                            | 8   |
| 2.1.1 Environmental Impacts of Mineral Resource Exploitation and Use as<br>Natural Resources Utilization. ....    | 8   |
| 2.2 The Stages of Mining Life Cycle .....                                                                         | 11  |
| 2.3 Mined Land Rehabilitation as a Mitigation method for Effects of Mineral<br>Exploitation.....                  | 13  |
| 2.4 International practices, rules and regulations of mined lands rehabilitations<br>in post mining closure. .... | 14  |
| 2.4.1 Background of Post mine closure activities of Canada.....                                                   | 16  |
| 2.4.2 Mine Land Rehabilitation Practices of Australia .....                                                       | 16  |
| 2.4.3 The Mined Land Rehabilitation in Brazil .....                                                               | 17  |
| Chapter 3 .....                                                                                                   | 20  |

|                                                                                             |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Methodology .....                                                                           | 20 |
| 3.1 Introduction .....                                                                      | 20 |
| 3.2 Research Design .....                                                                   | 20 |
| 3.2.1 Data Collection.....                                                                  | 22 |
| 3.2.2 Data Analysis .....                                                                   | 23 |
| 3.2.2.1 Calculating the Consistency Ratio .....                                             | 26 |
| Chapter 4.....                                                                              | 27 |
| SITUATION ANALYSIS.....                                                                     | 27 |
| 4.1 Chronology Analysis .....                                                               | 27 |
| 4.2 Current Rehabilitation Practices of Sri Lanka.....                                      | 30 |
| 4.3 Best Rehabilitation Practices of Sri Lanka .....                                        | 35 |
| 4.3.1 Clay pit Closure - Bolagala Floating Agro Tourism Resort in Katana.....               | 35 |
| 4.3.2 Abandoned mining land restoration project in Gampaha Region .....                     | 36 |
| 4.3.3 Mine closure as a Buddhist temple with flower garden.....                             | 39 |
| Chapter 5.....                                                                              | 41 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....                                                                | 41 |
| 5.1 Identification of mine closure process.....                                             | 41 |
| 5.2 Relevant criteria identification for assessing alternatives .....                       | 42 |
| 5.3 Standization of Criteria For the purpose of Rehabilitation Alternative Evaluation ..... | 47 |
| 5.4 Criterion evaluation against the alternatives for forming a decision matrix             | 52 |
| 5.4 Pairwise Comparison of matrix.....                                                      | 53 |
| 5.4.1 Criterion evaluation against the alternatives for forming a decision matrix.          | 53 |
| 5.5 Synthesis of criteria weights .....                                                     | 55 |
| 5.5.1 Weights of factors related to clearing hazards .....                                  | 55 |
| 5.5.2 Weights of factors related to Compatibility .....                                     | 55 |
| 5.5.3 Weights of criteria related to future developments .....                              | 56 |
| 5.6 Validation of Optimal Rehabilitation Solution for Sustainable Mine Closure              | 56 |
| Chapter 6.....                                                                              | 64 |
| CONCLUSION .....                                                                            | 64 |

|                                          |    |
|------------------------------------------|----|
| 6.1 Scope and Limitations.....           | 66 |
| 6.2 Contribution to Practice .....       | 66 |
| 6.3 Suggestions for Future Research..... | 67 |
| References .....                         | 68 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>Figure</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                 | <b>Page</b> |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Figure 1.1    | Non Rehabilitated (Abandoned) Mines                                                                | 2           |
| Figure 1.2    | Public complains of Mineral Extraction - 2021                                                      | 5           |
| Figure 1.3    | Map of Public Complains for Mineral<br>Extraction in Sri Lanka - 2021                              | 10          |
| Figure 1.4    | Conceptual Framework of the Research                                                               | 8           |
| Figure 2.1    | Mining Life Cycle of a Mine                                                                        | 13          |
| Figure 2.2    | Mine Life Cycle                                                                                    | 14          |
| Figure 2.3    | Post mining Land Uses – Surface Mining                                                             | 17          |
| Figure 2.4    | Post Mining Land Uses – USA                                                                        | 18          |
| Figure 2.5    | Rehabilitated Lands – Australia                                                                    | 20          |
| Figure 4.1    | Distribution of Mines - Issued Mining Licenses, 2015                                               | 35          |
| Figure 4.2    | Distribution of Mines - Issued Mining Licenses, 2016                                               | 36          |
| Figure 4.3    | Rehabilitated and non-rehabilitated closed mines<br>up to 2015 -31.08.2021                         | 37          |
| Figure 4.4    | Rehabilitated and non-rehabilitated closed mines<br>2015 -2021                                     | 38          |
| Figure 4.5    | Abundant Quarry of Mahamailankulam in Vavuniya                                                     | 39          |
| Figure 4.6    | Bolagala Floating Agro Tourism Resort in Katana                                                    | 40          |
| Figure 4.7    | Dorouwa Quarry – Gampaha                                                                           | 41          |
| Figure 5.1    | The problem is organized as a decision hierarchy through<br>the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) | 55          |
| Figure 5.2    | Weights of Sub Criteria                                                                            | 57          |

|            |                              |    |
|------------|------------------------------|----|
| Figure 5.3 | Weights of Sub Criteria      | 58 |
| Figure 5.4 | Study Area 01 – L1           | 62 |
| Figure 5.5 | Study Area 01 – L2           | 62 |
| Figure 5.6 | Study Area 01 – L3           | 63 |
| Figure 6.1 | Flow Chart for Applicability | 85 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>Table</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                     | <b>Page</b> |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Table 3.1    | Research Operationalized Table                                                         | 23          |
| Table 3.2    | Interviewed Expert Panel of GSMB                                                       | 24          |
| Table 3.3    | Set of Selected Criteria and sub Criteria                                              | 28          |
| Table 4.1    | Details of the Site - Dorouwa Quarry - Gampaha                                         | 42          |
| Table 5.1    | Identified Levels of Mine Closure                                                      | 46          |
| Table 5.2    | Description and Need of the Criteria                                                   | 47          |
| Table 5.3    | Criteria Standardization                                                               | 52          |
| Table 5.4    | Pairwise-Comparison Scale                                                              | 56          |
| Table 5.5    | Preferences and the weights of the<br>Geo Environmental Criteria for Each Alternatives | 57          |
| Table 5.6    | Preferences and the weights of the<br>Socio Economic Criteria for Each Alternatives    | 58          |
| Table 5.7    | Characteristics of the studied mined lands                                             | 61          |
| Table 5.8    | Synthesis of criteria weights for L1                                                   | 64          |
| Table 5.9    | Synthesis of criteria weights for L2                                                   | 65          |
| Table 5.10   | Synthesis of criteria weights for L3                                                   | 66          |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Description                        |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| AHP          | Analytical Hierarchy Process       |
| MCDA         | Multi Criteria Decision Analysis   |
| GSMB         | Geological Survey and Mines Bureau |
| MSC          | Mineral Survey of Ceylon           |
| IML          | Industrial Mining License          |
| UDA          | Urban Development Authority        |
| PEA          | Provincial Environmental Authority |
| CEA          | Central Environmental Authority    |