

BEHAVIOUR OF LINK SLAB WITH FRP REBARS IN JOINTLESS BRIDGE DECKS

W. K. B. L. Kurukulasooriya

219750G

Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

August 2025

BEHAVIOUR OF LINK SLAB WITH FRP REBARS IN JOINTLESS BRIDGE DECKS

W. K. B. L. Kurukulasooriya

219750G

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

August 2025

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 25th August 2025

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisors:

Signature of the Supervisors:

Prof. (Mrs.) J.C.P.H. Gamage

Dr. Nadun Alwis

Date: 25th August 2025

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my family, whose unwavering support, encouragement and love have been my greatest strength throughout this journey. Their unwavering belief in my potential has inspired me to overcome challenges and strive for excellence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is with great pleasure that I present this thesis as part of my M.Sc. research project in the field of bridge design. The successful completion of this study would not have been possible without the invaluable support and guidance of many individuals.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. (Mrs.) J. C. P. H. Gamage and Dr. Nadun Alwis, for their continuous support, expert guidance, and encouragement throughout this research. Their insightful advice and constructive feedback were instrumental in shaping this work. I am especially grateful to Dr. Nadun Alwis for his exceptional assistance in sourcing and coordinating the delivery of GFRP bars, which are not readily available in Sri Lanka. Special appreciation is extended to Dr. Julien Saade from Mateenbar for generously providing the GFRP bars free of charge.

I would also like to extend my sincere thanks to the non-academic staff of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for their unwavering support during the experimental phase of this study. Their technical assistance and commitment greatly contributed to the success of the laboratory work.

My appreciation also goes to the M.Sc. course coordinator, research coordinator, academic staff, and my fellow students for their cooperation and support in various capacities throughout the course.

Finally, I am profoundly thankful to my family for their constant encouragement, patience, and unwavering belief in me, which inspired me to persevere and complete this research successfully.

Kurukulasooriya W. K. B. L.

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

25.08.2025

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the design, structural behaviour and performance of bridge link slabs reinforced with conventional steel and Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) bars that play a vital role in the development of modern jointless bridge systems. Link slabs are increasingly used to provide continuity between adjacent spans or between the deck and abutments, effectively eliminating traditional expansion joints. This jointless approach enhances the ride quality, reduces long-term maintenance requirements, and significantly improves the durability and structural integrity of bridge systems.

The study specifically focuses on the application of GFRP reinforcement in link slabs, aiming to overcome the limitations associated with steel reinforcement, particularly corrosion-induced deterioration. GFRP bars offer notable advantages, including corrosion resistance, a high tensile strength to weight ratio, and non-magnetic properties. However, their relatively low modulus of elasticity introduces challenges in terms of crack control, deformability, and anchorage performance.

The research methodology comprises experimental testing of link slabs reinforced with both steel and GFRP bars to analyse their flexural behaviour under the moving load from heavy vehicular traffic which is considered as HB load in British codes. Numerical modelling using Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is employed to validate the experimental results and explore additional parameters. Furthermore, a detailed cost analysis is conducted to evaluate the practical feasibility of implementing GFRP reinforced link slabs in the construction industry.

Ultimately, this study aims to demonstrate the viability of GFRP as a durable, low maintenance alternative to traditional steel reinforcement in link slabs, thereby contributing to the advancement of resilient, long-lasting and sustainable bridge infrastructure.

Keywords: Link-slab, GFRP, Bridge-deck, FEA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	x
List of Tables.....	xiv
List of Abbreviations.....	xv
List of Appendices	xvi
Chapter 1	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	4
1.3 Aim and Objectives.....	4
1.3.1 Aim.....	4
1.3.2 Objectives.....	4
1.4 Research Scope	4
1.5 Significance of the Research.....	4
1.6 Thesis Outline	5
Chapter 2	6
Literature Review.....	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Conventional Steel Reinforced Link Slab.....	6
2.3 GFRP Reinforcement Bars.....	7
2.4 Behaviour and Performance of Link Slab.....	8
2.5 Maintenance of Link Slab	8
2.6 Analysis of Link Slab.....	9
2.7 Numerical Modelling of Link Slab	10
2.8 Various Types of Links Slabs	11

2.9 Summary	12
Chapter 3	13
Research Methodology.....	13
3.1 Introduction	13
3.2 Literature Review.....	13
3.3 Assess the Behaviour of Link Slab using First Principles	14
3.4 Physically Model the Link Slab and Conduct the Lab Experiments	14
3.4.1 Materials Required for Experiments	14
3.4.2 Concrete Pouring Equipment.....	16
3.4.3 Structural Testing Machines and Strain Gauges	17
3.4.4 Labour Work	18
3.5 Develop Numerical Models using ABAQUS CAE FEM Software	18
3.5.1 Introduction	18
3.5.2 FEM Software Package.....	18
3.6 Analyse the Behaviour of Link Slab and Cost Comparison	19
Chapter 4	20
Preliminary Analysis Method	20
4.1 Behaviour of the Link Slab	20
4.2 Behaviour of Link Slab in Transverse Direction	20
4.3 Behaviour of Link Slab in Longitudinal Direction	20
4.4 Moment due to Girder Rotation – M1	21
4.5 Moment due to Settlement – M2.....	21
4.6 Moment due to Dead and Live Loads – M3	22
4.7 Results from Preliminary Analysis	22
4.7.1 Moments due to Girder Rotation – M1	22
4.7.2 Moments due to Girder Settlement – M2.....	23
4.7.3 Moment due to Dead and Live loads – M3.....	23
4.7.4 Resultant Moments (Sagging) – From Preliminary Analysis	24
4.7.5 Resultant Moments (Hogging at Edge) – From Preliminary Analysis	24
4.8 Conclusion for the Preliminary Analysis	24
Chapter 5	26
Experimental Approach	26

5.1 Typical Link Slab of Expressway Projects in Sri Lanka.....	26
5.2 Prototype of Link Slab	27
5.3 Method of Experiments.....	28
5.4 Series of Experiments	30
5.5 Crafting the Form Work.....	31
5.6 Steel Reinforcements	32
5.7 GFRP Reinforcements	33
5.8 Casting	33
5.9 Experiments.....	35
5.10 Testing of Materials	38
5.10.1 Compression Test for Concrete Cubes.....	38
5.10.2 Compression Test for Concrete Cylinders	38
5.10.3 Splitting Test for Concrete Cylinders	39
5.10.4 Tensile Strength Test for Steel Reinforcement Bars.....	39
5.10.5 Tensile Strength Test for GFRP Reinforcement Bars.....	39
5.11 Results of the Experiments - Beams	40
5.11.1 Results for the Beam 01-A – 2000mm.....	40
5.11.2 Results for the Beam 02-A – 2000mm.....	42
5.11.3 Results for the Beam 03-A – 2700mm.....	43
5.11.4 Results for the Beam 04-A – 2700mm.....	44
5.11.5 Results for the Beam 01-B – 2000mm.....	45
5.11.6 Results for the Beam 02-B – 2000mm.....	46
5.11.7 Results for the Beam 03-B – 2700mm.....	47
5.11.8 Results for the Beam 04-B – 2700mm.....	49
5.12 Results of the Experiments – Concrete Cubes	49
5.13 Results of the Experiments – Concrete Cylinders Compression Test	50
5.14 Results of the Experiments – Concrete Cylinders Splitting Test.....	52
5.15 Results of the Experiments – Tensile Strength Test for Steel.....	54
5.16 Results of the Experiments – Tensile Strength Test for GFRP.....	56
Chapter 6	58
Numerical Modelling Approach	58
6.1 Defining the 3D FE Model.....	58

6.2 Material Properties	58
6.3 Concrete Damaged Plasticity (CDP) Model	58
6.4 Assembly and Interaction.....	59
6.5 Meshing.....	60
6.6 Loads and Boundary Conditions.....	61
6.7 Results from the FE Model	61
Chapter 7	62
Comparison and Validation of Results	62
7.1 Comparison of the Tensile Strength of Steel and GFRP Reinforcement Bars	62
7.2 Validation and Comparison of Numerical Model Results with Experimental results	63
7.2.1 Beam 2000mm – Steel RF	63
7.2.2 Beam 2000mm – GFRP RF	65
7.2.3 Beam 2700mm – Steel RF	67
7.2.4 Beam 2700mm – GFRP RF	69
7.3 Calculation of Load Carrying Capacities based on Design Code Approach ...	71
7.3.1 Design Moments and Shear Forces.....	71
7.3.2 Load Carrying Capacities of Steel Beams	73
7.3.3 Load Carrying Capacities of GFRP Beams	76
7.4 Summary of the Results	81
7.4.1 Prototype of the Link Slabs.....	81
7.4.2 Material Testing	83
Chapter 8	85
Cost Comparison of Steel and GFRP Reinforced Link Slabs	85
8.1 Introduction.....	85
8.2 Cost Comparison.....	85
8.3 Expenses of the Research Project	86
Chapter 9	88
Conclusions and Recommendations	88
9.1 Summary	88
9.2 Conclusions.....	89
9.3 Recommendation for Future Research.....	90

REFERENCES	91
------------------	----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1.1	Viaduct with Link Slab	1
Figure 1.2	Viaduct with Girder Post-tensioning	1
Figure 1.3	Construction of Link Slab in Jointless Bridge Deck	3
Figure 1.4	Some Types of Jointless Bridge Decks	3
Figure 2.1	Substructure Deterioration due to a Failed Joint	6
Figure 2.2	FRP Rebars	7
Figure 2.3	Stress Strain Curves of Materials (Pilakoutas et al., 2011)	7
Figure 3.1	Research Methodology	13
Figure 3.2	Texture of Timber Species.....	14
Figure 3.3	Stock Piles of Coarse and Fine Aggregates.....	15
Figure 3.4	Admixtures for Concrete	16
Figure 3.5	Concrete Pouring Equipment.....	17
Figure 3.6	Structural Testing Machines and Frames	17
Figure 3.7	Properties of the Strain Gauges	18
Figure 3.8	ABAQUS/CAE 2019 FE Software Package	19
Figure 4.1	Link Slab Model for Transverse Direction Generated from MIDAS Civil Software	20
Figure 4.2	Moment due to Girder Rotation.....	21
Figure 4.3	Moment due to Settlement.....	21
Figure 4.4	Link Slab Model for Longitudinal Direction.....	22
Figure 5.1	Typical Link Slabs of Expressway Projects in Sri Lanka.....	26
Figure 5.2	Cross Section Dimensions of the Prototype of Link Slab	28
Figure 5.3	Plan View of the Prototype of Link Slab.....	28
Figure 5.4	Four Point Bending Test.....	28
Figure 5.5	Structural Testing Frame	29
Figure 5.6	Loading Points for Two Link Slab Types	29
Figure 5.7	Tokyo Sokki TDS 530 Data Logger.....	30
Figure 5.8	Form Work of the Specimens	32
Figure 5.9	Steel Reinforcements for the Beams.....	32

Figure 5.10 Fixing Strain Gauges to the Steel Reinforcements	32
Figure 5.11 GFRP Reinforcements for the Beams.....	33
Figure 5.12 Pot Mixer and the Slump Test	33
Figure 5.13 Casting Test Cubes and Cylinders.....	34
Figure 5.14 Pouring Concrete	34
Figure 5.15 Curing of the Beams	35
Figure 5.16 Loading Points, Support Locations and Beam Numbers.....	35
Figure 5.17 Fixed End Connections at the end of the Beam.....	36
Figure 5.18 Final Arrangement for Testing	37
Figure 5.19 Recording the Experiment Data.....	37
Figure 5.20 Testing of Concrete Cubes.....	38
Figure 5.21 Testing of Concrete Cylinders	38
Figure 5.22 Splitting Test of Concrete Cylinders	39
Figure 5.23 Tensile Strength Test for Steel Reinforcement Bars	39
Figure 5.24 Tensile Strength Test for GFRP Reinforcement Bars	40
Figure 5.25 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 01-A - 2000mm.....	40
Figure 5.26 Load vs Strain Curve – Beam 01-A - 2000mm	41
Figure 5.27 Crack Patterns of Beam 01-A – 2000mm.....	41
Figure 5.28 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 02-A - 2000mm.....	42
Figure 5.29 Load vs Strain Curve – Beam 02-A - 2000mm	42
Figure 5.30 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 03-A - 2700mm.....	43
Figure 5.31 Load vs Strain Curve – Beam 03-A - 2700mm	44
Figure 5.32 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 04-A - 2700mm.....	44
Figure 5.33 Load vs Strain Curve – Beam 04-A - 2700mm	45
Figure 5.34 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 01-B - 2000mm.....	46
Figure 5.35 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 02-B - 2000mm	46
Figure 5.36 Load vs Strain Curve – Beam 02-B - 2000mm	47
Figure 5.37 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 03-B - 2700mm	48
Figure 5.38 Load vs Strain Curve – Beam 03-B - 2700mm	48
Figure 5.39 Load vs Deflection Curve – Beam 04-B - 2700mm.....	49
Figure 5.40 Testing Concrete Cubes.....	49
Figure 5.41 Load vs Deflection Curve - Compression Test for Concrete	51

Figure 5.42 Stress vs Strain Curve - Compression Test for Concrete	51
Figure 5.43 Predicted Value for Elastic Modulus of Concrete	52
Figure 5.44 Load vs Deflection Curve – Splitting Test for Concrete	53
Figure 5.45 Splitting Stress vs Strain Curve – Splitting Test for Concrete	53
Figure 5.46 Load vs Deflection Curve – Tensile Strength Test for Steel	54
Figure 5.47 Stress vs Strain Curve – Tensile Strength Test for Steel	55
Figure 5.48 Predicted Value for Elastic Modulus of Steel.....	55
Figure 5.49 Load vs Deflection Curve – Tensile Strength Test for GFRP	56
Figure 5.50 Stress vs Strain Curve – Tensile Strength Test for GFRP	56
Figure 5.51 Predicted Value for Elastic Modulus of Steel.....	57
Figure 6.1 Defining the Parts of 3D FE Model.....	58
Figure 6.2 Assembly of the ABAQUS Model	60
Figure 6.3 General Interactions and Embedded Constraint of the ABAQUS Model	60
Figure 6.4 Meshing of the ABAQUS Model	61
Figure 6.5 Loads and Boundary Conditions of the ABAQUS Model	61
Figure 7.1 Load vs Deflection Curves – Steel vs GFRP bars	62
Figure 7.2 Stress vs Strain Curves – Steel vs GFRP bars	63
Figure 7.3 Load vs Deflection Curves-Beam 2000mm – Steel RF	63
Figure 7.4 Load vs Strain (Concrete) Curves-Beam 2000mm – Steel RF.....	64
Figure 7.5 Load vs Strain (Steel) Curves-Beam 2000mm – Steel RF	64
Figure 7.6 Load vs Deflection Curves-Beam 2000mm – GFRP RF	65
Figure 7.7 Load vs Strain (Concrete) Curves-Beam 2000mm – GFRP RF.....	66
Figure 7.8 Load vs Strain (Steel) Curves-Beam 2000mm – GFRP RF	66
Figure 7.9 Load vs Deflection Curves-Beam 2700mm – Steel RF	67
Figure 7.10 Load vs Strain (Concrete) Curves-Beam 2700mm – Steel RF.....	68
Figure 7.11 Load vs Strain (Steel) Curves-Beam 2700mm – Steel RF	68
Figure 7.12 Load vs Deflection Curves-Beam 2700mm – GFRP RF.....	69
Figure 7.13 Load vs Strain (Concrete) Curves-Beam 2700mm – GFRP RF.....	70
Figure 7.14 Load vs Strain (Steel) Curves-Beam 2700mm – GFRP RF	70
Figure 7.15 SAP2000 Model – Simply Supported Conditions.....	71
Figure 7.16 SAP2000 Model – Fixed End Conditions	71

Figure 7.17 Bending Moment and Shear Force Diagrams for Simply Supported Conditions	72
Figure 7.18 Bending Moment and Shear Force Diagrams for Fixed End Conditions	72
Figure 7.19 Equations to Calculate Ultimate Moment Capacity – BS5400 Part 4:1990	74
Figure 7.20 Stress-Strain Distribution of Beams at Ultimate Conditions.....	77
Figure 7.21 Strength Reduction Factor as Function of Reinforcement Ratio.....	80
Figure 7.22 Comparison of the Behaviour of Steel and GFRP Reinforced Beams ...	83

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 1.1	Comparison between Two Types of Viaducts	2
Table 3.1	Material Properties of Lanwa QT Bars	15
Table 3.2	Material Properties of Mateenbars	16
Table 4.1	Results-Moments due to Girder Rotation	22
Table 4.2	Result - Resultant Moments (Sagging)	24
Table 4.3	Result - Resultant Moments (Hogging at Edge)	24
Table 5.1	Details of Two Types of the Link Slabs	27
Table 5.2	Two Phases of the Experiments	30
Table 5.3	Bar Schedule for the Reinforcements	31
Table 5.4	Proportions of the Concrete Mixed Design	31
Table 5.5	Cross Section Dimensions of the Specimens-Phase A (Steel RF).....	35
Table 5.6	Cross Section Dimensions of the Specimens-Phase B (GFRP RF)	36
Table 5.7	Cube Testing Results for Phase A (Steel Bars).....	49
Table 5.8	Cube Testing Results for Phase B (GFRP Bars).....	50
Table 6.1	Material Properties in Numerical Analysis.....	59
Table 6.2	Properties for Concrete Damage Plasticity (CDP) Model	59
Table 7.1	Summary of the Results from SAP2000 Model	73
Table 7.2	Ultimate Load Carrying Capacities of Steel Reinforced Beams (BS5400 Part 4:1990)	76
Table 7.3	Ultimate Load Carrying Capacities (ACI 440.1R-15)	81
Table 7.4	Summary of Ultimate Load Carrying Capacities.....	81
Table 7.5	Summary of Concrete Strains	82
Table 7.6	Summary of Steel /GFRP Strains.....	82
Table 7.7	Summary Properties of Steel.....	83
Table 7.8	Summary of Properties of GFRP	84
Table 7.9	Summary Properties of Concrete	84
Table 8.1	Cost Comparison of Steel and GFRP reinforced link slabs	85
Table 8.2	Expenses of the Research Project	86

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
FRP	Fibre Reinforced Polymer
GFRP	Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
UTM	Universal Testing Machine
ECR	Epoxy Coated Rebar
ECS	Epoxy Coated Steel
HSR	Highway Schedule of Rates
HPFRCC	High Performance Fibre Reinforced Cementitious Composite
ECC	Engineered Cementitious Composites
SHCC	Strain Hardening Cementitious Composite
ABC	Accelerated Bridge Construction
FE	Finite Element

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix - A	Results from Tensile Strength Test - Steel	I
Appendix - B	Results from Tensile Strength Test - GFRP	III
Appendix - C	Result Tables-Steel Reinforced Beam-2000mm	V
Appendix - D	Result Tables-GFRP Reinforced Beam-2000mm	XXI
Appendix - E	Result Tables-Steel Reinforced Beam-2700mm	XXXIV
Appendix - F	Result Tables-GFRP Reinforced Beam-2700mm	XLVII