

**OPTIMIZATION OF WATER DISTRIBUTION
NETWORKS: A CASE STUDY OF MORATUWA
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IN SRI LANKA**

Jasin Pathirana Sujani Chamila

198481U

Master of Science in Environmental Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2024

**OPTIMIZATION OF WATER DISTRIBUTION
NETWORKS: A CASE STUDY OF MORATUWA
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IN SRI LANKA**

Jasin Pathirana Sujani Chamila

198481U

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Environmental Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2024

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Signature:

Date: 22/08/2024

J. P. S. Chamila

The above candidate has carried out research for the ~~PhD/MPhil~~/Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of the supervisor: Eng. H. K. P. K. Jinadasa

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Name of the supervisor: Professor J. M.A. Manatunge

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my loving parents, whose constant support and belief in me have been the foundation of my success. To my dear husband, whose patience, encouragement, and unwavering faith have been my pillar of strength throughout this journey. And to my wonderful children, whose smiles and boundless energy have been a source of inspiration and joy. This work is for all of you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere appreciation to everyone who played a role in guiding and supporting me throughout this thesis journey. I owe a special debt of gratitude to my thesis supervisor, Prof. Jagath Manatunge, at the Environmental Engineering Division of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, whose invaluable insights and guidance were instrumental in shaping this work. His unwavering dedication to academic excellence was a constant source of motivation.

Secondly, I would like to express my gratitude to Eng. Primal Jinadasa, Deputy General Manager (Planning & Design) of the National Water Supply & Drainage Board, for sharing valuable knowledge on hydraulic modeling of water networks, which was immensely helpful in conducting this study.

Thirdly, I extend my heartfelt thanks to Eng. Thushara Liyanaarachchi for providing continuous support and sharing knowledge on GIS and hydraulic modeling.

Moreover, I appreciate my employer, the National Water Supply & Drainage Board, and its staff for enabling me to conduct this study by providing the necessary resources.

I also wish to convey my appreciation to my family for their enduring support and encouragement throughout this journey. Their belief in me provided the motivation to persevere during challenging periods, and I am truly thankful for their unwavering support.

ABSTRACT

Water distribution networks are engineered to provide consumers with an adequate quantity of water at the required pressure. The initial design demands, or water quantities to be delivered, are determined based on factors such as per capita consumption, population growth, anticipated migration, industrial development, and the presence of fire hydrants. Most of the existing water distribution networks are old and operate beyond their design horizon. Therefore, many issues have arisen in the existing systems, resulting in no-water complaints, low-pressure areas, water quality issues, limitations to 24-hour water supply, and consumer dissatisfaction. Hence, modification of the existing pipe networks is necessary to avoid the above issues. However, redesigning and relaying a new system is not practical due to financial limitations. Therefore, augmenting the existing systems has become the best solution for addressing the challenges in the distribution networks. While augmenting the existing networks, proper attention must be given to optimizing the network to minimize operation and maintenance costs, including energy costs.

The Moratuwa distribution network in Colombo District, Western Province, Sri Lanka, which is more than 50 years old, was selected for this study. Modeling was carried out using Bentley WaterGEMS software with the aid of the ArcGIS platform. Demand data needed for the modeling were obtained from the bulk flow meters of the supply reservoir of the Water Distribution Network. Simulated results of the computed model were compared with actual field data at selected locations obtained through digital pressure loggers. After calibrating the model, possible options to augment the existing network were analyzed, and prevailing issues that can be minimized were investigated. Three major scenarios were investigated in this study, focusing on the optimization of total operational costs: direct pumping with Variable Speed Drive, direct pumping using an overhead tank as a balancing tank, and entire gravity water distribution by overhead tank

Keywords: *Water Distribution Networks, Hydraulic Modelling, WaterGEMS, demand allocation, scenarios, optimization*

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR	i
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem & Justification	2
1.3. Objectives of the Study	3
1.3.1. General Objectives of the Study	3
1.3.2. Specific Objectives of the Study	3
1.4. Significance of the Study	4
1.5. Brief Summary of Chapters	4
2. LITRATURE REVIEW	6
2.1. Water Distribution System	6
2.2. Different Types of Water Distribution Networks	7
2.2.1. Dead-End Network:	7
2.2.2. Grid (Gridiron) Network	7

2.2.3. Radial Network	7
2.2.4. Ring (Circular) Network	8
2.2.5. Combined (Hybrid) Network	8
2.3. Water Distribution Methods	8
2.3.1. Gravity distribution system	8
2.3.2. Distribution with the aid of pumps	9
2.3.3. Dual system	9
2.4. Components of a Water Distribution Network	9
2.4.1. Distribution mains	9
2.4.2. Transmission mains	9
2.4.3. Reservoir and storage tank	10
2.4.4. Pump Stations	10
2.4.5. Accessories	10
2.5. Principles of Network Hydraulics	11
2.5.1. Conservation of Mass	11
2.5.2. Conservation of Energy	11
2.6. Energy Losses	12
2.6.1. Hazen-William's roughness coefficient	14
2.7. Water Distribution Model	14
2.8. WaterGEMS	15
2.9. Hydraulic Modeling using waterGEMS	15
2.9.1. Data Collection and Preparation:	16
2.9.2. Creating the Network Model:	16
2.9.3. Model Skeletonization:	16
2.9.4. Hydraulic Simulation:	18

2.9.5. Energy Management:	18
2.9.6. Calibration and Validation:	18
2.9.7. Scenario Analysis and Optimization:	18
2.9.8. Benefits of Using WaterGEMS	18
2.10. Water Demand	19
2.11. Water Demand Modeling	20
2.11.1. Estimation of deterministic water demand	21
2.11.2. Forecasting of stochastic demand	21
2.11.3. Seasonal Variation	21
2.11.4. Daily demand	22
2.11.5. Hourly variation	22
2.11.6. Baseline demands	23
2.11.7. Diurnal Pattern of Water Demand	23
2.12. Different Types of allocation of water Demand to waterGEMS Model	24
2.12.1. Point Load Data	24
2.12.2. Area Load Data	25
2.12.3. Population/Land Use Data	26
2.13. Types of Simulations	26
2.13.1. Steady-state simulation	27
2.13.2. Extended-period simulation (EPS)	27
2.14. Water Loss Analysis	28
2.15. Calibration & Validation of Models	30
2.15.1. Calibration of Water Distribution Model	30
2.15.1.1. Pressure calibration	30
2.15.1.2. Statically Analysis for Validation	31

2.16. Scenarios in WaterGEMS	32
2.17. Key Features of Scenarios	32
2.18. Components of Scenarios	32
2.19. Optimization of a Model	33
2.19.1. Optimized Model Calibration, Design and Operations	33
2.20. Life Cycle Cost	34
2.20.1. Total Life Cycle Cost Evaluation	34
2.20.2. Net Present Value (NPV)	35
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	37
3.1. Description of the Study Area	37
3.1.1. Location	37
3.2. Topography and slope	38
3.2.1. Economic Situation	38
3.2.2. Water Potential of the Area	38
3.2.2.1. Natural Water Sources	39
3.2.2.2. Rainfall	39
3.2.2.3. Human Impact and Usage	39
3.2.2.4. Existing Water Supply Description	41
3.3. Moratuwa Water Supply Scheme	41
3.3.1. Distribution Pipeline Network	44
3.4. Materials	46
3.5. Methodology	46
3.5.1. Collection of Data	47
3.5.1.1. Existing Pipe Network Details	48

3.5.1.2. Elevation Data	48
3.5.2. Hydraulic Modeling Process	48
3.5.3. Model Skeletonization	49
3.5.4. Model Input Preparation	49
3.5.5. Derivation of the Diurnal Curve and Hourly Factors (Demand Multipliers)	53
3.5.6. Assess demand of Moratuwa WDN in the year 2040	56
3.5.6.1. Project Population for 2040	56
3.5.7. Model Computation	58
3.5.8. Calibration and validation of Model	58
3.5.8.1. Calibration of Model	58
3.5.8.2. Model validation	59
3.5.9. Major Scenarios Investigated	60
3.5.9.1. Scenario 1 - Direct Pumping Using an Overhead Tank as a Balancing Tank	61
3.5.9.2. Scenario 2 - Direct Pumping with VSD	61
3.5.9.3. Scenario 3 - Entire Gravity Water Distribution by Overhead Tank	62
3.5.10. Analysis of Energy Cost for Each Scenario	62
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	63
4.1. Existing Water Supply Evaluation	63
4.2. Hydraulic Model Calibration and Validation	63
4.2.1. Calibration of Model	63
4.2.1.1. Model Calibration Based on Difference Error	64
4.2.2. Model Validation	65
4.2.3. Results of the Model	66
4.2.4. Hydraulic Analysis of Water Distribution Network – Results of Scenarios	67
4.2.4.1. Scenario 1 - Direct Pumping Using an Overhead Tank as a Balancing Tank	67
4.2.4.2. Scenario 2 - Direct Pumping with VSD	69

4.2.4.3. Scenario 3 - Entire Gravity Water Distribution by Overhead Tank	70
4.2.5. Results of Analysis of Energy Cost for Each Scenario	71
4.3. Economic and Financial Evaluation for Moratuwa WDN under Scenarios	73
4.3.1. Calculating the Demand for year 2040	73
4.3.2. Hydraulic Performance of the Moratuwa WDN for the Demand of year 2040	76
4.3.2.1. Scenario 1 (Direct Pumping Using an Overhead Tank as a Balancing Tank for the year 2040)	76
4.3.2.2. Scenario 2 (Direct Pumping with VSD) for the year 2040)	77
5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	78
5.1. Conclusion	78
5.2. Recommendation	78
REFERENCES	81
APPENDICES	87

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 : Correlation Coefficient	32
Table 3.1: Pipe Details of Moratuwa WDN	44
Table 3.2 : Tools used for the study	46
Table 3.3 : Consumption Details of Moratuwa WDN	50
Table 3.4 : Consumption Summary Details of Moratuwa WDN	51
Table 3.5 : NRW Value of Moratuwa WDN	51
Table 3.6 : Total Consumption of Moratuwa WDN	52
Table 3.7 : Population growth rate	56
Table 3.8 : Per-Capita Domestic Water Consumption	57
Table 3.9 : UFW Ratio	57
Table 3.10 : Percentage of water usage from 2012 -2040	57
Table 3.11 : C Value for different materials, diameters and ages of pipes	58
Table 4.1 : Calibration of junction pressure based on accuracy criteria	64
Table 4.2 : Calculation Summary Graph of the WaterGEMS Model	66
Table 4.3 : graphical representation of the NPV values for Scenario 1 and Scenario 2	73
Table 4.4 : Calculation of Demand in the year 2040	75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 : Water Balance	29
Figure 2.2 : Key Cost Components of the Total Life Cycle Cost of a Water Distribution Network (WDN)	35
Figure 3.1 : Key Map of Study Area	37
Figure 3.2 : Land used Pattern of Moratuwa WDN	40
Figure 3.3 : Schematic Diagram of Moratuwa WDN	42
Figure 3.4 : Distribution Boundary of Moratuwa WDN	43
Figure 3.5 : Existing Zones of Moratuwa WDN	45
Figure 3.6 : Framework of the research process	47
Figure 3.7 : LoadBuilder Wizard	53
Figure 3.8 : Diurnal curve for Domestic	54
Figure 3.9 : Diurnal curve for Commercial	55
Figure 3.10 : Residential demand pattern after entering to the WAtErGEMS model	55
Figure 3.11 : Pressure Logger Locations	60
Figure 3.12 : Details of control node	61
Figure 4.1 : Correlation between observed and simulated of parameters	66
Figure 4.2 : Simulated Total Water Demand of Moratuwa WDN	67
Figure 4.3 : pressure variation of distribution network under scenario 1 during peak hours and off-peak hours	68
Figure 4.4 : pressure variation of distribution network under scenario 2	69
Figure 4.5 : pressure variation of distribution network under scenario 2	70
Figure 4.6 : Energy Pricing Window	71
Figure 4.7 : Energy Cost for Scenario 1	71
Figure 4.8 : Energy Cost for Scenario 2	72
Figure 4.9 : Energy Cost for Scenario 3	72
Figure 4.10 : pressure variation of distribution network under scenario 1 for 2040	76
Figure 4.11: pressure variation of distribution network under scenario 2 for 2040	77

ABBREVIATIONS

WDN	-	Water Distribution Network
GIS	-	Geographic Information Systems
N	-	North
NWSDB	-	National Water Supply & Drainage Board
NRW	-	Non-Revenue Water
UFW	-	Unaccounted-for Water
PVC	-	Polyvinyl Chloride
HDPE	-	High Density Polyethylene
DI	-	Ductile Iron
AHFR	-	Average Hourly Flow Rate
ADD	-	Average Daily Demand
AHF	-	Average Hourly Flow
EPS	-	Extended Period Simulation
DEM	-	Digital Elevation Model
MSL	-	Mean Sea Level
dia.	-	Diameter