

APPLICATION OF GROUND RESISTIVITY SURVEYING IN SUBSURFACE CHARACTERIZATION

Dona Ashara Imalka Hettiarachchi

198338N

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

March 2024

APPLICATION OF GROUND RESISTIVITY SURVEYING IN SUBSURFACE CHARACTERIZATION

Dona Ashara Imalka Hettiarachchi

198338N

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Science in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

March 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Professor U.G.A. Puswewala

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date:

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research project report to,

My supervisor, Professor U.G.A. Puswewala, Senior Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa,

Course Coordinators, Professor L.I.N. Silva and Dr. A.S. Ranathunga and other staff members of the Department of Civil Engineering,

All the students, authorities and researchers who are interested in and who will be benefited from this research findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Although this project was completed mainly with the help of supervisor, it would not have been possible without the kind support and assistance of many individuals and organizations. I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to all of them.

First of all, I would like to give my special gratitude to my research project supervisor, Professor U.G.A. Puswewala, Senior Professor of the Department of Civil Engineering, whose contribution in stimulating the project and encouragement was priceless for me during this project. My sincere gratitude goes to him for the immense support he gave by guiding me and providing me with all the necessary support during this whole process. My heartiest gratitude goes to all the other lecturers of the Department of Civil Engineering too, for their supportive contribution.

I'm indebted to Irrigation Department, Sri Lanka, where I'm currently employed, for providing necessary guidance concerning project implementation and for providing data which I used for the research. I am very much thankful to Eng. W.M.P. De Silva, former director of Engineering Geology Division of Irrigation Department for the support and guidance given in implementing this project. My sincere gratitude goes to Eng. A.T.L.C. Samarasinghe, Director of Irrigation, Engineering Geology Division and Eng. (Mrs.) S. R. Weeraratne, Chief Engineer of Engineering Geology Division, Irrigation Department for their guidance and support. I express my sincere gratitude to Eng. M.Z.M. Rifad and Eng. M.H.M. Asarudeen from the Engineering Geology Division, Irrigation Department, whose support was incomparable in data processing as well as in field works.

I am also indebted to all the academic and non-academic staff of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, who gave their assistance towards the successful completion of this research project. Finally, I express my gratitude towards each and every one who gave their kind co-operation and encouragement which helped me a lot in completion of this research.

ABSTRACT

Geophysical methods have become instrumental in ground exploration as these techniques offer non-invasive and efficient means to investigate subsurface conditions without the need for extensive excavation or disruption. Ground electrical resistivity is a geophysical method which can be used for subsurface profiling in addition to borehole investigations. With the recent improvements to the resistivity surveying instruments, 2D and 3D profiling can be done and 2D profiling can be done up to a maximum depth of 100 m. However, lack of reliable correlations with ground resistivity and subsurface properties have been identified as a major drawback for implementing this method in many investigation projects. This study was based on correlating borehole data with ground electrical resistivity. For that, borehole data from several sites were used and ground electrical resistivity surveys were conducted at the same locations in order to get borehole data and resistivity values of the same locations and depths. The results were analyzed by categorizing the data according to rock type. Five major rock types were considered in this study. After the analysis, variation of core recovery, RQD and weathering grade with the variation of ground electrical resistivity have been identified for five major rock types and the results were presented graphically. For Lugeon analysis, reliable results were obtained only for Calc Gneiss. With the graphically presented results, subsurface properties can be predicted if rock type and ground electrical resistivity is known.

Keywords: Ground Electrical Resistivity, Subsurface Characterization, Geotechnical Investigations, Rock Properties

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Abbreviations.....	xiii
List of Appendices	xiv
Chapter 1	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Statement	2
1.2 Objective	3
1.3 Significance of the Research	3
1.4 Study Area	3
1.5 Limitations of the Research Study	4
Chapter 2	5
Literature Review.....	5
2.1 Geotechnical Investigations in contrast to Geophysical Explorations	5
2.1.1 Geotechnical Investigation.....	5
2.1.2 Geophysical Exploration.....	5
2.1.3 Using Geophysical Exploration Results for Geotechnical Investigations	6
2.2 Electrical Resistivity Surveying	6
2.2.1 Basic Theory	6
2.2.2 One Dimensional Resistivity Surveying	7
2.2.3 Two Dimensional Resistivity Surveying	8
2.2.4 Three Dimensional Resistivity Surveying	10
2.2.5 Advantages of Electrical Resistivity Surveying.....	10

2.2.6	Limitations of Electrical Resistivity Surveying	11
2.3	Performing Geotechnical Investigations	12
2.3.1	Rock Type	13
2.3.2	Weathering Grade	13
2.3.3	Core Recovery (CR).....	14
2.3.4	Rock Quality Designation (RQD).....	15
2.3.5	Lugeon Value	15
2.4	Previous studies	18
Chapter 3		22
Methodology		22
3.1	Establishing the Extent of the Project	22
3.2	Literature Survey	22
3.3	Collecting Borehole Data from Geotechnical Investigations.....	23
3.4	Performing Electrical Resistivity Surveys at the same sites where Boreholes were Conducted.....	23
3.4.1	Selection of the length of the survey line.....	23
3.4.2	Fixing of Electrodes on Survey Line	23
3.4.3	Carrying out the resistivity measurements	24
3.5	Analyzing Electrical Resistivity Survey Profiles of Each Site.....	27
3.6	Identifying the Critical Areas of the Subsurface and Altering Borehole Locations	30
3.7	Idealizing the Subsurface with Borehole Data and Resistivity Data.....	31
3.8	Correlating ground resistivity and subsurface characteristics	33
Chapter 4		34
Investigated Sites		34
4.1	Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Waththegedara Reservoir at Laggala.....	34
4.2	Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Thalpitigala Tunnel – Inlet portal	35
4.3	Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Diggalhela reservoir at Siyambalanduwa	36
4.4	Geotechnical Investigation at Kirama tank at Weeraketiya	36

4.5	Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Ellewewa Reservoir at Panamure – Main dam	37
4.6	Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Ellewewa Reservoir at Panamure – Saddle dam	37
4.7	Geotechnical Investigation at Kelani Flood Bund	38
Chapter 5		39
Analysis of Data		39
5.1	Analysis for Calcareous Gneiss (Calc Gneiss)	39
5.1.1	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade	39
5.1.2	Variation of Lugeon value	40
5.2	Analysis for Biotite Gneiss	40
5.2.1	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade	40
5.2.2	Variation of Lugeon value	43
5.3	Analysis for Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	44
5.3.1	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade	44
5.3.2	Variation of Lugeon value	45
5.4	Analysis for Charnokite	45
5.4.1	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade	45
5.4.2	Variation of Lugeon value	46
5.5	Analysis for Khondalite	46
5.5.1	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade	47
5.5.2	Variation of Lugeon value	47
Chapter 6		48
Results and Discussion		48
6.1	Variation of Core Recovery with Ground Electrical Resistivity	48
6.1.1	For Calc Gneiss	48
6.1.2	For Biotite Gneiss	49
6.1.3	For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	50
6.1.4	For Charnokite	51
6.1.5	For Khondalite	52
6.1.6	Core Recovery vs Resistivity	52
6.2	Variation of RQD with Ground Electrical Resistivity	53

6.2.1	For Calc Gneiss	53
6.2.2	For Biotite Gneiss	54
6.2.3	For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	55
6.2.4	For Charnokite	56
6.2.5	For Khondalite	57
6.2.6	RQD vs Resistivity.....	57
6.3	Weathering Grade with Ground Electrical Resistivity	58
6.3.1	For Calc Gneiss	58
6.3.2	For Biotite Gneiss	59
6.3.3	For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	60
6.3.4	For Charnokite	61
6.3.5	For Khondalite	62
6.3.6	Weathering grade vs Resistivity.....	62
6.4	Lugeon Value with Ground Electrical Resistivity	63
6.4.1	For Calc Gneiss	63
6.4.2	For Biotite Gneiss	64
6.4.3	For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	65
6.4.4	For Charnokite	65
6.4.5	For Khondlite	66
6.4.6	Lu value vs Resistivity	66
Chapter 7		67
Conclusions		67
Chapter 8		69
Recommendations		69
References		70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1-1	Resistivity profile obtained by a 2-dimensional resistivity survey	1
Figure 1-2	Locations of the selected investigation sites	4
Figure 2-1	Current electrodes and potential electrodes	7
Figure 2-2	Common resistivity arrays	8
Figure 2-3	Measurement scheme for the dipole-dipole electrode array	9
Figure 2-4	Lugeon Test Configuration	16
Figure 2-5	Typical ranges of electrical resistivity of earth materials	19
Figure 2-6	Relationship between Q-system factors and electrical resistivity	19
Figure 2-7	Relationship between Q-values and electrical resistivity	20
Figure 2-8	Distribution of resistivity for rock and soil formations	21
Figure 3-1	Arrangement of electrodes for an electrical resistivity survey	24
Figure 3-2	Fixing of electrodes in the survey line and connecting cables to the electrodes	25
Figure 3-3	Connecting the instrument at the center with the power supply	25
Figure 3-4	Detection of bad electrodes by the instrument	26
Figure 3-5	Carrying out electrical resistivity measurements	26
Figure 3-6	Relevant project was selected and downloaded to the computer	27
Figure 3-7	File was exported as a 'Res2Dinv' data file	27
Figure 3-8	Data file was read using Res2D software	28
Figure 3-9	Arrangement of resistivity data points were shown by the software	28
Figure 3-10	Inversion was carried out for seven iterations	29
Figure 3-11	Inversion results were shown by the software	29
Figure 3-12	RMS error reduction	30
Figure 3-13	Resistivity Profile	30
Figure 3-14	Exporting model to surfer format	31

Figure 3-15	Resistivity values were obtained using surfer software	31
Figure 4-1	Geotechnical Investigations for Proposed Waththegedara Tank, Laggala	35
Figure 4-2	Geophysical survey layout at inlet portal of Thalpitigala Tunnel	36
Figure 4-3	Resistivity Surveying at Kirama Bund	37
Figure 4-4	Ellewewa saddle dam axis	38
Figure 4-5	Resistivity Surveying at Kelani Flood Bund	38
Figure 6-1	Core Recovery vs Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	48
Figure 6-2	Core Recovery vs Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	49
Figure 6-3	Core Recovery vs Resistivity - For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	50
Figure 6-4	Core Recovery vs Resistivity - For Charnokite	51
Figure 6-5	Core Recovery vs Resistivity - For Khondalite	52
Figure 6-6	Summary of results for Core Recovery vs Resistivity	53
Figure 6-7	RQD vs Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	53
Figure 6-8	RQD vs Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	54
Figure 6-9	RQD vs Resistivity - For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	55
Figure 6-10	RQD vs Resistivity - For Charnokite	56
Figure 6-11	RQD vs Resistivity - For Khondalite	57
Figure 6-12	Summary of results for RQD vs Resistivity	58
Figure 6-13	Weathering Grade vs Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	58
Figure 6-14	Weathering Grade vs Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	59
Figure 6-15	Weathering Grade vs Resistivity - For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	60
Figure 6-16	Weathering Grade vs Resistivity - For Charnokite	61
Figure 6-17	Weathering Grade vs Resistivity - For Khondalite	62
Figure 6-18	Summary of results Weathering Grade vs Resistivity	63
Figure 6-19	Lugeon value vs Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	63
Figure 6-20	Lugeon value vs Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	64
Figure 6-21	Lugeon value vs Resistivity-For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	65
Figure 6-22	Lugeon value vs Resistivity - For Charnokite	65
Figure 6-23	Summary of results Lugeon value vs Resistivity	66

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 1-1	Selected Investigation Sites	3
Table 2-1	RQD Classification Index	15
Table 2-2	Summary of Lugeon interpretation practice	17
Table 2-3	Condition of rock mass discontinuities typically associated with different Lugeon values	17
Table 2-4	Typical ranges of resistivity for some characteristic types of rocks	18
Table 2-5	Resistivity of Igneous and Metamorphic rocks	18
Table 2-6	Resistivity ranges for alluvium formations	20
Table 3-1	Part of the Data set for CR, RQD and Weathering Grade	32
Table 3-2	Part of the Data set for Lugeon value	32
Table 5-1	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade for Calc Gneiss	39
Table 5-2	Variation of Lugeon value for Calc Gneiss	40
Table 5-3	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade for Biotite Gneiss	41
Table 5-4	Variation of Lugeon value for Biotite Gneiss	43
Table 5-5	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade for Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	44
Table 5-6	Variation of Lugeon value for Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	45
Table 5-7	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade for Charnokite	46
Table 5-8	Variation of Lugeon value for Charnokite	46
Table 5-9	Variation of CR, RQD and Weathering Grade for Khondalite	47
Table 5-10	Variation of Lugeon value for Khondalite	47
Table 6-1	Expected Core Recovery with Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	48
Table 6-2	Expected Core Recovery with Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	49
Table 6-3	Expected Core Recovery with Resistivity - For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	50

Table 6-4	Expected Core Recovery with Resistivity - For Charnokite	51
Table 6-5	Expected Core Recovery with Resistivity - For Khondalite	52
Table 6-6	Expected RQD with Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	54
Table 6-7	Expected RQD with Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	54
Table 6-8	Expected RQD with Resistivity - For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	55
Table 6-9	Expected RQD with Resistivity - For Charnokite	56
Table 6-10	Expected RQD with Resistivity - For Khondalite	57
Table 6-11	Expected Weathering grade with Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	59
Table 6-12	Expected Weathering grade with Resistivity - For Biotite Gneiss	59
Table 6-13	Expected Weathering grade with Resistivity - For Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss	60
Table 6-14	Expected Weathering grade with Resistivity - For Charnokite	61
Table 6-15	Expected Weathering grade with Resistivity - For Khondalite	62
Table 6-16	Lugeon value with Resistivity - For Calc Gneiss	64

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ABEM	Aktiebolaget Elektrisk Malmletning
CR	Core Recovery
ERT	Electrical Resistivity Tomography
GPR	Ground Penetrating Radar
RMS	Root Mean Square
RQD	Rock Quality Designation
SPT	Standard Penetration Test

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix - A	Collected data set	71