

7 References

- [1] Abandoned AlkadourR, F. A. (2017). Condition Assessment Models For Sewer Pipelines.
- [2] Vladeanu and Matthews, 2019) Baris Salman, O. S. (2012.). Risk Assessment of Wastewater CollectionLines Using Failure Models and Criticality Ratings. Journal of Pipeline Systems Engineering and Practice, Vol. 3.
- [3] Ben Ward*, D. A. (2013). A multi-objective optimization model for sewer rehabilitation considering the critical risk of. Water Science & Technology (Vol 66, No 11), pp 2410–2417.
- [4] Chugai, Z. (2008). Infrastructure condition prediction model for sustainable sewer pipelines. Journal of performance of constructed Facilities, vol 17, No3, PP130-135.
- [5] E. V. Ana, W. B. (2010, February). Modeling the structural deterioration of urban drainage pipes: the state-of-the-art in statistical methods. Urban Water Journal, 7.
- [6] Ibrahim A. Elbeltagi, E. E. (2013). Frame Work of Condition Assessment for Sewer Pipelines. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), vol 3, pp.1833-1844.
- [7] Jack Q. Zhao, S. E. (2001). Guidelines for Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation of Large Sewers.
- [8] Kaddoura, K. (2015). Automated Sewer Inspection Analysis and Condition Assessment. Montreal Canada: ResearchGate.
- [9] Kaddoura, K. (2018). Performance Modeling for Sewer Networks.
- [10] Kaddoura, K. (2018). Performance Modeling for Sewer Networks. Montreal, Quebec, Canada: Khalid Kaddoura.
- [11] Kelly Baah, B. D. (2014, November). A risk-based approach to sanitary sewer pipe asset management. science of the Total Environment.
- [12] Khalid Kaddoura, T. Z. (2016). Condition Assessment of Sewer Pipelines using Multi Attributes.. international society on trenchless technology. Beijing-china: Research Gate.
- [13] Khalid Kaddoura, T. Z. (2018). Criticality Model to Prioritize Pipeline Rehabilitation Decisions.

- [14] Khalid Kaddoura, T. Z. (2018, July). Criticality Model to Prioritize Pipeline Rehabilitation Decisions.
- [15] Maciej Kawalec, B. W. (2012). Multi-Objective Optimization for Sewer Rehabilitation Investment Planning. Serbia.
- [16] McDonald, S., & Zhao, J. (2001). Condition assessment and rehabilitation of large sewers. International Conference on Underground Infrastructure Research. Ontario-Canada: Institute for Research in Construction, National Research Council Canada,
- [17] Mohamed Elmasry, T. Z. (2018). Defect-Based ArcGIS Tool for Prioritizing Inspection of Sewer Pipelines. Journal of Pipeline Systems.
- [18] Mohammad Javad Anbari, M. T. (2017). Risk assessment model to prioritize sewer pipes inspection in wastewater collection networks. Journal of Environmental Management.
- [19] Prof S J van Vuuren. (2103). Condition Assessment of Water Services Infrastructure and the Determination of the Remaining Operational Life. Water Research Commission.
- [20] Rahman, S., & Vanier, D. J. (2004). Life cycle cost analysis as a decision support tool for managing Municipal Structures. CIB 2004 Triennial Congress (pp. pp. 1-12). Toronto, Ontario: NRC publications.
- [21] Rahman, S., & Vanier, D. J. (2004). An Evaluation of Condition Assessment Protocols for Sewer Management. Canada: National Research Council Canada. Institute for Research in construction.
- [22] Rahman, S., Vanier, D. J., & Newton, L. A. (2005). Social Cost Considerations for Municipal Infrastructure Management. Canada: National Research Council Canada. Institute for Research in construction.
- [23] Shelly.E.McDonald, Condition assessment, and rehabilitation of large sewers. Ground
- [24] Infrastructure Research. Ottawa, Canada: Institute for Research in construction.
- [25] Sinha2, T. P. (2009). Asset Valuation Model For Sewer Pipe Infrastructure Pipelines 2009: Infrastructure's Hidden Assets © 2009 ASCE.
- [26] Sweeting, R. A. (1999). A Decision Support Model for the Rehabilitation of "Non Critical Sewers". Water Sci. Tec Vol 39, PP193-200.
- [27] Taeil Park, H. K. (2012). A data warehouse-based decision support system for sewer infrastructure management. (E. B.V, Ed.) Automation in Construction.
- [28] Taeil Park, H. K. (2013). A data warehouse-based decision support system for sewer infrastructure management. Automation in construction.

- [29] V. Sousa¹, M. V. (2017). Technical Management of Sewer Networks -Sewer Networks. LESAM 2007 - 2nd Leading Edge Conference on Strategic Asset Management. Lisbon, Portugal: International Water Association.
- [30] Vikki Edmondson, M. (2018, march). A smart sewer asset information model to enable an ‘Internet of Things for operational wastewater management. Automation in Construction.
- [31] Ward, B. (2015). Integrated Asset Management Systems for Water Infrastructure.
- [32] Ward, B. a. (2013). A multi-objective optimization model for sewer rehabilitation considers Water Science & Technology, Vol 66, pp 2410–2417.
- [33] Zayed², H. F. (2010, February). Hierarchical Fuzzy Expert System for Risk of Failure of water main. Journal of Pipeline Systems Engineering and Practice, vol 1.
- [34] InfoAbdel Moteleb, Moustafa (2010) Risk-Based Decision-Making Tools for Sewer Infrastructure Management
- [35] Anbari, M. J., Tabesh, M. and Roozbahani, A. (2017) ‘Risk assessment model to prioritize sewer pipes inspection in wastewater collection networks, Journal of Environmental Management, 190, pp. 91–101. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.052.
- [36] Bakry, I. et al. (2016) ‘Condition Prediction for Cured-in-Place Pipe Rehabilitation of Sewer Mains’, Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 30(5), pp. 1–12. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0000866.
- [37] Chughtai, F. and Zayed, T. (2008) ‘Infrastructure condition prediction models for sustainable sewer pipelines’, Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 22(5), pp. 333–341. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3828(2008)22:5(333).
- [38] Environmental, T. and Index, P. (no date) ‘GLOBAL METRICS FOR THE’.
- [39] Hawari, A. et al. (2020) ‘Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence A state of the art review on condition assessment models developed for sewer pipelines’, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 93(May), p. 103721. DOI: 10.1016/j.engappai.2020.103721.
- [40] Henze, M. and Comeau, Y. (2008) ‘Wastewater Characterization’.
- [41] Laakso, T. et al. (2018) ‘Sewer condition prediction and analysis of explanatory factors’, Water (Switzerland), 10(9), pp. 1–17. DOI: 10.3390/w10091239.
- [42] Mohammadi, M. M. (2019) ‘Development of Condition Prediction Models for Sanitary SewerPipes’,(August),p.259:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335083105_Development_of_Condition_Prediction_Models_for_Sanitary_Sewer_Pipes.

- [43] Review, A. S. *et al.* (2019) ‘Sewer Pipes Condition Prediction Models ’:, pp. 1–16.
- [44] Serajiantehrani, R., Ph, D. and Asce, A. M. (2020) ‘Factors Influencing the Condition of Sewer Pipes : State-of-the-Art Review’, 11(4), pp. 1–11. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)PS.1949-1204.0000483.
- [45] Sinha, S., Angkasuwansiri, T. and Thomasson, R. (2011) Predicting the Remaining Economic Life of Wastewater Pipes: Phase 1: Development of Standard Data Structure to Support Wastewater Pipe Condition and Performance Prediction, Water Intelligence Online. DOI: 10.2166/9781780403311.
- [46] the United States Environmental Protection Agency (2015) ‘Condition assessment of undergroundpipes’(April),pp.1–12.Available <https://www3.epa.gov/region1/sso/pdfs/condition-assessment-underground-pipes.pdf>.
- [47] Vladeanu, G. (2018) ‘Wastewater pipe condition and deterioration modeling for risk-based decision-making’, (August), p. 201.
- [48] Vladeanu, G. J. and Matthews, J. C. (2019) ‘Consequence-of-failure model for risk-based asset management of wastewater pipes using AHP’, Journal of Pipeline Systems Engineering and Practice, 10(2), pp. 1–12. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)PS.1949-1204.0000370.
- [49] Anbari, M. J., Tabesh, M. and Roozbahani, A. (2017) ‘Risk assessment model to prioritize sewer pipes inspection in wastewater collection networks, Journal of Environmental Management, 190, pp. 91–101. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.05