

LB/TH/38/2025
TH5955

**NUTRIENT REMOVAL FROM MUNICIPAL
WASTEWATER USING WASTE ALUM SLUDGE**

R N Mudalige

(228081G)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

November 2024

NUTRIENT REMOVAL FROM MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER USING WASTE ALUM SLUDGE

R N Mudalige

228081G

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

November 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature

Signature:

Date: 05/08/2025

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD/MPhil/Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. J.M.A. Manatunge

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 05/08/2025

Name of Supervisor: Prof. M.W. Jayaweera

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 05/08/2025

UOM Verified Signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my research supervisor, Prof. J.M.A. Manatunge, Professor at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for his unwavering support, insightful guidance, and continuous motivation throughout the course of this research. His extensive knowledge and encouragement have been instrumental in the successful completion of this thesis. I also extend my sincere thanks to my co-supervisor, Prof. M.W. Jayaweera, Professor at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for his invaluable support, constructive feedback, and vital contributions that greatly enhanced the quality of this work.

I am deeply grateful to the Environmental Engineering Laboratory at the University of Moratuwa for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this research. I would also like to thank the Department of Civil Engineering for its constant guidance and the Environmental Engineering Lab staff for their invaluable assistance and technical support throughout the study.

Additionally, I express my sincere appreciation to the Department of Materials Science and Engineering and its staff for their support in providing access to testing facilities, which were crucial for the successful execution of this research.

I would like to acknowledge my colleagues at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for their collaborative spirit, helpful discussions, and interest in exploring the subject alongside me. Their support has been an important part of this journey.

Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my parents for their endless understanding, encouragement, and support. Their unwavering belief in my abilities has been the foundation of my academic pursuits, and without their sacrifices, this achievement would not have been possible.

ABSTRACT

Nutrient Removal from Municipal Wastewater using Waste Alum Sludge

Phosphorus pollution in water bodies is a significant environmental concern, contributing to eutrophication and the degradation of aquatic ecosystems. Traditional phosphorus removal techniques, such as chemical precipitation and biological phosphorus removal (BPR), are effective but often costly and environmentally unsustainable. This study investigates the feasibility of using alum sludge, a byproduct of water treatment plants, as a cost-effective and sustainable adsorbent for phosphorus removal from wastewater.

Comprehensive characterization of alum sludge samples from three water treatment plants as Ambatale, Biyagama, and Kandana was conducted to evaluate their physical, chemical, and morphological properties. High aluminum content (19.51% to 29.52%) and amorphous structures were identified as key factors contributing to phosphorus adsorption, with moisture content affecting adsorption efficiency. The potential for phosphorus release was minimal, confirming the chemical stability of alum sludge and its suitability for reuse in wastewater treatment.

Phosphorus removal experiments using synthetic wastewater revealed that removal efficiency was highest at slightly acidic pH levels (6–6.5). Time-dependent studies indicated rapid adsorption within the first two hours, with equilibrium reached thereafter. Langmuir isotherm analysis demonstrated monolayer adsorption behavior, with Biyagama WTP sludge achieving the highest maximum adsorption capacity (7.96 mg/g), followed by Kandana (6.45 mg/g) and Ambatale (5.69 mg/g). These results underscore the influence of source-specific sludge characteristics on adsorption performance.

The findings highlight the potential of alum sludge as a low-cost, effective, and environmentally sustainable material for phosphorus removal in wastewater treatment. Further research is recommended to explore large-scale applications, hybrid treatment systems, and the regeneration of used sludges to enhance their viability. This study contributes to advancing circular economy principles by repurposing waste for environmental remediation.

Keywords: Alum sludge, Phosphorus, Adsorption, Synthetic Wastewater

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------|
| Declaration | i |
| Acknowledgement..... | ii |
| Abstract | iii |
| Table of Contents | iv |
| List of Figures | viii |
| List of Tables..... | ix |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. Background | 1 |
| 1.2. Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3. Objectives of the Study | 3 |
| 1.4. Research Significance | 3 |
| 1.5. Scope of the Study..... | 4 |
| 2. Literature review | 5 |
| 2.1. Introduction to Phosphorus Pollution in Water Bodies..... | 5 |
| 2.2. Phosphorus Removal Techniques..... | 6 |
| 2.3. Production and Characteristics of Alum Sludge | 12 |
| 2.3.1. Production Process | 12 |
| 2.3.2. Characteristics of Alum Sludge | 13 |
| 2.4. Different Applications of Alum Sludge | 19 |
| 2.4.1. Soil Amendment for Enhanced Productivity | 20 |
| 2.4.2. Innovations in Construction Materials..... | 21 |
| 2.4.3. Circularity in Wastewater Treatment..... | 22 |
| 2.4.4. Sustainable Management of Landfills..... | 23 |
| 2.4.5. Phosphorus Removal from Water | 23 |
| 2.5. Mechanisms of Phosphorus Removal Using Alum Sludge..... | 24 |
| 2.5.1. Adsorption..... | 24 |
| 2.6. Operational Parameters Influencing Alum Sludge Performance | 27 |
| 2.6.1. pH Levels | 27 |
| 2.6.2. Dosage of Alum Sludge | 28 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 2.6.3. | Contact Time | 29 |
| 2.6.4. | Temperature | 30 |
| 2.6.5. | Sludge Characteristics | 31 |
| 2.6.6. | Mixing and Agitation | 32 |
| 2.6.7. | Presence of Competing Ions and Organic Matter | 33 |
| 2.7. | Sustainability and Environmental Considerations of Using Alum Sludge . | 34 |
| 2.7.1. | Waste Utilization and Circular Economy | 34 |
| 2.7.2. | Environmental Benefits..... | 34 |
| 2.7.3. | Potential Environmental Risks..... | 35 |
| 2.7.4. | Lifecycle Assessment..... | 35 |
| 2.8. | Comparative Analysis with Other Phosphorus Removal Methods | 35 |
| 2.9. | Future Directions and Applications..... | 37 |
| 2.9.1. | Advanced Modification of Alum Sludge | 38 |
| 2.9.2. | Integration with Other Treatment Technologies | 38 |
| 2.9.3. | Resource Recovery and Circular Economy | 38 |
| 2.9.4. | Policy and Regulatory Support | 39 |
| 2.9.5. | Global Applications and Scalability | 39 |
| 3. | Methodology | 40 |
| 3.1. | Preparation of Alum Sludge Samples..... | 40 |
| 3.1.1. | Sampling Procedure | 40 |
| 3.1.2. | Sample Drying | 41 |
| 3.1.3. | Crushing and Sieving of the Samples | 41 |
| 3.2. | Characterisation of Alum Sludge Samples..... | 42 |
| 3.2.1. | Moisture Content Determination | 42 |
| 3.2.2. | Water Solubility Assessment | 42 |
| 3.2.3. | pH Measurement | 43 |
| 3.2.4. | Surface Morphology and Elemental Composition..... | 43 |
| 3.2.5. | Chemical Composition Analysis..... | 43 |
| 3.3. | Potential for Phosphorus Release in Alum Sludge Samples | 44 |
| 3.3.1. | Acid Extraction Method..... | 44 |
| 3.4. | Determination of Phosphorus Concentration | 45 |
| 3.4.1. | Vandomolybdophosphoric Acid Colorimetric Method | 45 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 3.4.2. | Persulphate Oxidation Method of Removing Excessive Colour..... | 47 |
| 3.5. | Preparation of Synthetic Wastewater | 47 |
| 3.6. | Evaluating the Phosphorus Removal Ability of Alum Sludge..... | 49 |
| 3.7. | Evaluating Phosphorus Removal Efficiency Over Time | 50 |
| 3.8. | Maximum Adsorption Capacity of Alum Sludge..... | 51 |
| 3.8.1. | Adsorption Capacity Calculation | 51 |
| 3.8.2. | Adsorption Isotherms | 52 |
| 3.9. | Influence of pH on Phosphorus Adsorption Efficiency | 53 |
| 4. | Results and Analysis | 54 |
| 4.1. | Characteristics of Alum Sludge..... | 54 |
| 4.1.1. | Moisture Content and Water Solubility | 54 |
| 4.1.2. | pH of Sludge | 55 |
| 4.1.3. | Elemental Composition of Alum Sludge | 55 |
| 4.1.4. | SEM Analysis of Alum Sludge Samples | 56 |
| 4.2. | Potential for Phosphorus Release in Alum Sludge Samples | 57 |
| 4.3. | Evaluating the Phosphorus Removal Ability of Alum Sludge..... | 58 |
| 4.4. | Evaluating Phosphorus Removal Efficiency Over Time | 59 |
| 4.5. | Maximum Adsorption Capacity of Alum Sludge..... | 61 |
| 4.5.1. | Adsorption Capacity..... | 61 |
| 4.5.2. | Langmuir Isotherm Fitting..... | 62 |
| 4.5.3. | Freundlich Isotherm Fitting | 64 |
| 4.6. | Influence of pH on Phosphorus Adsorption Efficiency | 66 |
| 5. | Discussion | 68 |
| 5.1. | Characteristics of Alum Sludge..... | 68 |
| 5.1.1. | Moisture Content and its Impact on Adsorption | 68 |
| 5.1.2. | Chemical Composition..... | 68 |
| 5.1.3. | Morphological Analysis | 68 |
| 5.2. | Potential for Phosphorus Release in Alum Sludge Samples | 69 |
| 5.2.1. | Factors Influencing Phosphorus Release | 70 |
| 5.3. | Phosphorus Removal Ability of Alum Sludge | 71 |
| 5.4. | Evaluating Phosphorus Removal Efficiency Over Time | 71 |
| 5.4.1. | Rapid Adsorption Phase..... | 71 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 5.4.2. | Equilibrium Phase | 72 |
| 5.4.3. | Absence of Desorption | 72 |
| 5.4.4. | Comparative Analysis with Literature | 72 |
| 5.5. | Maximum Adsorption Capacity of Alum Sludge..... | 73 |
| 5.5.1. | Maximum Adsorption Capacities of the Sludge Samples..... | 73 |
| 5.5.2. | Langmuir Constant (b) and Adsorption Affinity | 73 |
| 5.5.3. | Isotherm Model Comparison | 74 |
| 5.6. | Influence of pH on Phosphorus Adsorption Efficiency | 74 |
| 5.6.1. | Mechanisms of pH Influence | 75 |
| 5.6.2. | Comparative Analysis with Literature | 75 |
| 5.6.3. | Implications for Wastewater Treatment..... | 76 |
| 5.7. | Comparison with Other Phosphorus Removal Techniques..... | 76 |
| 5.7.1. | Chemical Precipitation | 77 |
| 5.7.2. | Biological Phosphorus Removal (BPR)..... | 77 |
| 5.7.3. | Advanced Adsorption Techniques | 77 |
| 5.7.4. | Hybrid Approaches | 78 |
| 5.8. | Limitations of the Study | 78 |
| 5.9. | Identified Gaps and Directions for Future Research | 79 |
| 6. | Conclusions | 81 |
| 7. | Recommendations | 82 |
| 8. | References | 83 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 2.1: SEM image of alum sludge | 18 |
| Figure 3.1: Collected alum sludge samples..... | 41 |
| Figure 3.2: Acid Extraction..... | 45 |
| Figure 3.3: UV-VIS Spectrophotometer | 46 |
| Figure 4.1: SEM image of Ambatale Sludge | 56 |
| Figure 4.2: SEM image of Biyagama Sludge | 56 |
| Figure 4.3: SEM image of Kandana Sludge | 56 |
| Figure 4.4: Phosphorus removal capacity of different sludge samples for different P concentrations | 59 |
| Figure 4.5: Remaining P concentration variation with time | 60 |
| Figure 4.6: C_e/q_e vs C_e graph for Ambatale WTP sludge (A)..... | 62 |
| Figure 4.7: C_e/q_e vs C_e graph for Biyagama WTP sludge (B) | 63 |
| Figure 4.8: C_e/q_e vs C_e graph for Kandana WTP sludge (C)..... | 63 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 2.1: Different Phosphorus Removal Techniques | 6 |
| Table 2.2: Adsorbent Materials used in Past Studies | 9 |
| Table 2.3: Typical sludge components and their impact on reuse | 13 |
| Table 2.4: Chemical components of typical alum sludge | 14 |
| Table 2.5: Physicochemical characteristics of alum sludge..... | 14 |
| Table 2.6: Other mechanisms of phosphorus removal using alum sludge..... | 26 |
| Table 2.7: Comparative analysis of phosphorus removal methods..... | 36 |
| Table 3.1: Locations of the sludge collected WTPs..... | 40 |
| Table 4.1: Physical properties of alum sludge samples | 54 |
| Table 4.2: Chemical composition of alum sludge samples..... | 55 |
| Table 4.3: Released P percentages of sludge samples into different solutions | 57 |
| Table 4.4: Capacity of alum sludge samples in P removal | 58 |
| Table 4.5: Equilibrium concentrations of each sludge sample | 61 |
| Table 4.6: Adsorption capacity of each sludge sample..... | 62 |
| Table 4.7: Langmuir parameters of each sludge sample..... | 63 |