



MPhil Research Thesis

**DYNAMIC OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE FOR
LOW ALTITUDE AUTONOMOUS UAVS
USED IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE**

D.D. Pasqual

208085E

Supervisors:

Dr.(Ms) Sulochana Sooriyaarachchi

Prof. Chandana Gamage

30/08/2024

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA - SRI LANKA

Declaration

I, Dilini Pasqual declare that this is my own research work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously published submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

.....

30/08/2024
.....

MPhil candidate

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the Supervisor(s):

Date:

.....

30.08.2024
.....

Dr.(Ms) Sulochana Sooriyaarachchi

.....

30.08.2024
.....

Prof. Chandana Gamage

Abstract

Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) have numerous applications, including in the fast-developing precision agricultural domain. Most of these applications require UAVs to fly at low altitudes for optimal operation. The complex dynamic nature presented by moving obstacles in agricultural fields, increases collision risk for low altitude UAVs, which is a safety issue not only for UAV but also for workers, infrastructure, and machinery in the field. Although obstacle avoidance by autonomous UAVs has been a research topic throughout the past decade, the development of systems for collision avoidance with dynamic obstacles has not progressed to a level required for implementation in real-world complex environments where multiple obstacles are present. Therefore, this research was carried out to develop an obstacle avoidance system for low-altitude autonomous UAVs to avoid collisions in the presence of multiple dynamic obstacles. Furthermore, the research is contributing to the field of UAV obstacle avoidance by addressing two critical challenges: proper environmental perception to achieve safe navigation in cluttered environments with narrow gaps and dynamic obstacle avoidance considering their future trajectories. The developed UAV obstacle avoidance system mainly consists of two subsystems as Environmental Modeling Subsystem and Collision Avoidance Subsystem. The Environmental Modeling Subsystem uses ellipsoidal bounding box approximation based approach to model the environment. Collision Avoidance Subsystem is developed based on collision cone method that considers the obstacle future trajectory estimation to detect collisions and plan collision avoidance maneuver. Results of the experiments proved the developed obstacle avoidance system is capable of successfully avoiding collisions in a highly cluttered environment with moving obstacles due to modeling obstacles in the environment closer to its true dimensions and the careful consideration of estimated future trajectory of obstacles for collision detection and avoidance planning.

Keywords:

UAV, Collision avoidance, Dynamic, Obstacle detection, Obstacle avoidance, Precision Agriculture

Acknowledgment

I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Chandana Gamage and Dr. Sulochana Sooriyaarachchi, for their invaluable guidance and support throughout this research. Without their insightful feedback and encouragement this work would not have been possible.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding provided for this research by the Sri Lankan National Research Council under the grant NO:19-040 titled "Development of a Network of Subsoil Sensors and Drones for Crop Surveillance" since 10th December 2020.

My sincere thanks go to the academic and non-academic staff of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering for their assistance with administrative matters and resource provision.

I would also like to thank my undergraduate supervisors, Prof. Ruwan Gopura and Dr. Pubudu Ranaweera, for inspiring my passion for research and encouraging me to pursue this path.

Finally my heartfelt gratitude goes to my family and close friends for their unwavering support and encouragement.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	3
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Contributions	4
1.5 Publications	4
1.6 Summary	4
2 Literature Review	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Perception	7
2.3 Environmental Modeling	10
2.3.1 Obstacle bounding box approximation	10
2.3.2 Dynamic occupancy grid map	10
2.4 Collision Avoidance	12
2.4.1 Introduction to collision prediction and collision avoidance . .	12
2.4.2 Artificial potential-field methods (APF)	13
2.4.3 Geometric methods	14
2.4.4 Optimization-based methods	15
2.4.5 Sense and avoid methods	15
2.4.6 Summary on collision prediction and avoidance	16

2.5	State-of-the-art in Drone Dynamic Obstacle Avoidance	16
2.5.1	Targeted obstacle characteristics	18
2.5.2	Environmental perception	18
2.5.3	Future trajectory prediction	19
2.5.4	Collision avoidance	20
2.5.5	Summary of state-of-the-art in drone dynamic obstacle avoidance	20
2.6	Summary	23
3	Methodology	25
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Environmental Modeling Subsystem	27
3.2.1	Point Cloud Preprocessing	28
3.2.2	Point Cloud Clustering	29
3.2.3	Bounding Box Formation	29
3.2.4	Obstacle State Estimation	31
3.3	Collision Avoidance Subsystem	32
3.3.1	Collision Detection	34
3.3.2	Collision Avoidance Planning	38
3.4	Summary	44
4	Implementation	45
4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Research Simulation Setup	45
4.3	Overall System Implementation	49
4.4	Environmental Modeling Subsystem	50
4.5	Collision Avoidance Subsystem	53
4.6	Drone Localization and Maneuvering	54
4.7	Summary	55
5	Experiments and Results	57
5.1	Introduction	57

5.2	Experiment 1 - Evaluate Obstacle Representation with Ellipsoid vs Sphere	57
5.2.1	Experimental Design	58
5.2.2	Results and Discussion	62
5.3	Experiment 2 - Evaluate Dynamic Obstacle Avoidance Capability . .	67
5.3.1	Experimental Design	67
5.3.2	Results and Discussion	71
5.4	Limitations	75
5.5	Summary	78
6	Conclusion	80
6.1	Introduction	80
6.2	Research Contributions	81
6.3	Future Direction	84
	References	91

List of Figures

1.1	Applications of drones in precision agriculture. (a)Fertilizer and pesticide spraying, (b)Remote sensing/data gathering, (c)Livestock monitoring, (d)Ariel mapping and crop monitoring	1
1.2	Commercially available drones with inbuilt obstacle avoidance systems	2
2.1	Reactive collision avoidance procedure	7
2.2	Dynamic occupancy grid map environmental models	12
3.1	Overall diagram/pipeline of the developed obstacle avoidance system	26
3.2	Drone collision avoidance (2D case) with bounding box approximation (a) Circle bounding box (b) Ellipse bounding box	28
3.3	Ellipsoid notation	30
3.4	Collision cone method illustration	33
3.5	Velocity obstacle method illustration	34
3.6	Collision cone model	39
4.1	Software architecture of the simulation setup	46
4.2	Hardware architecture of the simulation setup	47
4.3	Drone dimension	49
4.4	ROS computation graph of the developed obstacle avoidance system .	50
4.5	Obstacle state estimation results. (A) Obstacle paths, (B) Velocity estimation for obstacle 1, (C) Velocity estimation for obstacle 2.	53
5.1	Obstacle models used for the evaluation; Pine tree(A), Dumpster(B), Human(C)	61

5.2	Path length variation of drone static obstacle avoidance experiment with spherical and ellipsoidal BB representations	65
5.3	Total deviation from goal for drone static obstacle avoidance experiment trials with spherical and ellipsoidal BB representations	66
5.4	Total obstacle BB volume of drone static obstacle avoidance experiment trials with spherical and ellipsoidal BB representations	66
5.5	ROS computational graph of the developed obstacle avoidance system (Collision Avoidance Subsystem highlighted)	68
5.6	Maximum and average velocities of the moving obstacles used for the experimental trials	72
5.7	Moving and static obstacle count used for the experimental trials	73
5.8	Total volume of obstacle BB in experimental trials	73
5.9	Number of collision observed during the obstacle avoidance experiment	76
5.10	Path length variation in experiment trials for goal path and with collision avoidance maneuvering	77
5.11	Total deviation from goal direction variation in experiment trials with collision avoidance maneuvering	77

List of Tables

2.1	Sensor Comparison	9
2.2	Obstacle avoidance methodologies comparison	17
2.3	Summary of recent literature in drone dynamic obstacle avoidance domain	21
4.1	HDL-32E LiDAR specifications	47
4.2	3DR Iris drone specifications	48
5.1	Details of experiment 1	61
5.2	Results of time based complexity analysis for ellipsoidal and spherical bounding box approximation methods	63
5.3	Results of experiment 1	64
5.4	Details of experiment 2	70
5.5	Results of experiment 2 (WO - without, CA - Collision avoidance, FTP - Future trajectory prediction)	74
5.6	Results of time based complexity analysis of Obstacle Avoidance Sub-system	78
6.1	Average obstacle BB volume reduction in experiment trials during Experiment 1	82
6.2	Average mission path length reduction in experiment trials during Experiment 1	83

- 6.3 Collisions reduction in experiment trials with highest dynamic obstacles during Experiment 2 - without collision avoidance vs without future trajectory prediction
83
- 6.4 Collisions reduction in experiment trials with highest dynamic obstacles during Experiment 2 - without collision avoidance vs with future trajectory prediction(developed collision avoidance system)
84

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
WSN	wireless sensor network
OA	Obstacle avoidance
IMU	Inertial Measuring Unit
IR	Infrared
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
Radar	Radio detection and ranging
Sonar	Sound navigation and ranging
FOV	Field of view
MM	Millimeter
APF	Artificial Potential-field Methods
SITL	Software in the loop
BB	Bounding box
PCL	Point Cloud Library
FCU	Flight Controller Unit