

**A PERFORMANCE BASED APPROACH FOR THE
PREDICTION OF INDOOR THERMAL COMFORT OF
NATURALLY VENTILATED BUILDINGS IN THE
TROPICS**

P. H. Viraj Nimarshana

(158047J)

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

February 2025

**A PERFORMANCE BASED APPROACH FOR THE
PREDICTION OF INDOOR THERMAL COMFORT OF
NATURALLY VENTILATED BUILDINGS IN THE
TROPICS**

P. H. Viraj Nimarshana

(158047J)

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

February 2025

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 05.02.2025

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD/~~MPhil~~/Masters-thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. R.A. Attalage, Emeritus Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 05.02.2025

Name of Supervisor: Prof. K.K.C.K. Perera, Senior Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 05.02.2025

DEDICATION

To my parents, brothers and wife for their unconditional love, endless support and encouragement

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On the successful completion of my thesis, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude and acknowledge the contributions of everyone who supported and stood by me throughout this journey toward completing my PhD.

First and foremost, I extend my deepest thanks to my supervisors, Prof. R.A. Attalage and Prof. K.K.C.K. Perera, for their invaluable guidance, unwavering support, and constant motivation. Their encouragement and expertise have been instrumental in shaping this research and bringing it to fruition. I am immensely grateful to the National Research Council (NRC), Sri Lanka for providing a valuable research grant (Grant No: 14-139), which made this work possible.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Tetsuro Tamura of the Tamura-Okaze Lab, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan, for his invaluable supervision during the numerical model validation of the Sk- ϵ model. My sincere appreciation also goes to Prof. Tsubasa Okaze and Prof. Hidenori Kawai of the same lab for their kind guidance and support, which were instrumental in finalizing the validation process.

I extend my gratitude to Prof. Ajith de Alwis and Prof. Mahinsasa Narayana, the Chairpersons of my progress review panel, for their invaluable guidance and direction, which were instrumental in successfully achieving the research objectives. I am especially grateful to Prof. Mahinsasa Narayana for granting me access to the supercomputer facility, a resource pivotal to my work.

My appreciation also extends to Prof. Ruwan Gopura, Dean of the Faculty of Graduate Studies at the University of Moratuwa, for facilitating this research degree. I am profoundly thankful to Dr. D.P. Chandrasekara, the Deputy Vice-chancellor at the University of Moratuwa, for the encouragement provided during the thesis writing phase.

My sincere thanks also go to Prof. Y.W.R. Amarasinghe, Head of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, and the academic staff members for their continuous support and assistance, which were pivotal to the success of this research. I am especially grateful to Dr. Nalaka Samaraweera, Research Coordinator of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for coordinating and facilitating the administrative formalities required for this research.

A heartfelt thank you to my dear friends and colleagues, Dr. Mahesh Hordagoda and Dr. Pubudu Ranaweera, for their invaluable suggestions, encouraging words, and unwavering support throughout this journey.

Finally, I express my deepest gratitude to my beloved parents, my wife, and my brothers for their unconditional love, care, and encouragement, which have been my pillars of strength and inspiration.

ABSTRACT

Natural ventilation is a key strategy for achieving energy-efficient and thermally comfortable indoor environments, particularly in tropical climates. This thesis presents a comprehensive framework for evaluating and optimizing natural ventilation performance in buildings by integrating computational modeling, optimization techniques, and empirical validation. The research focuses on assessing airflow distribution and thermal comfort while developing a performance-based approach to guide the design of naturally ventilated buildings.

The study begins by investigating the impact of various Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes turbulence models on airflow distribution and thermal comfort prediction using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Among the tested models, the Standard $k-\epsilon$ turbulence model demonstrated the highest accuracy in predicting indoor airflow fields and thermal comfort indices. Validation of computational results against wind tunnel experiments revealed that existing turbulence models have limitations in capturing transient flow phenomena, such as Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities, highlighting the need for improved model selection strategies. The deviation in the predicted thermally comfortable area for the Renormalization Group $k-\epsilon$ and Standard $k-\omega$ models was within $\pm 5.0\%$ of the Standard $k-\epsilon$ model, while the Realizable $k-\epsilon$ model showed deviations within the same range only in horizontal planes at $y/H = 0.375$ and 0.5 . Additionally, the incoming jet angle was found to be within 17.1° - 23.7° , with the Renormalization Group $k-\epsilon$ model exhibiting the highest value (23.7°) and the $Rk-\epsilon$ model the lowest (17.1°).

Building on these findings, an optimization framework was developed using Artificial Neural Networks and Central Composite Design to refine turbulence model coefficients. This novel integration significantly enhanced prediction accuracy and computational efficiency. The fraction of CFD predictions falling within a factor of two of the corresponding wind tunnel measurements improved from 0.68 to 0.84 for velocity predictions and from 0.48 to 0.81 for kinetic energy predictions, confirming the effectiveness of the optimized model. When applied to a real residential building in Sri Lanka, the optimized turbulence model demonstrated strong agreement with validation metrics across multiple ventilation scenarios. The factor of acceptance increased to 0.92 , indicating that 92% of CFD predictions fell within a factor of two of the measured wind speeds. The correlation coefficient of 0.89 confirmed strong agreement between simulated and experimental values, while the normalized mean squared error of 0.35 and fractional bias of -0.06 highlighted a slight underprediction tendency, ensuring a conservative yet reliable model. These results validate the effectiveness of the CFD framework in accurately capturing indoor airflow distribution and guiding ventilation design decisions.

A key contribution of this research is the development of a performance-based guideline for evaluating natural ventilation potential and thermal comfort during the

building design phase. The guideline incorporates site-specific climatic data, Predicted Mean Vote based thermal comfort assessments, and systematic airflow evaluations to optimize building orientation and the placement of ventilation openings. The findings underscore the influence of wind direction, opening configurations, and building orientation on ventilation performance, providing actionable insights for architects and engineers.

This research also addresses critical gaps in computational model validation, emphasizing the need for distributed and three-dimensional measurement points in wind tunnel experiments. Additionally, it highlights the importance of non-isothermal conditions, internal layouts, and surrounding structures in future studies to enhance the applicability of the developed methodology.

The proposed framework offers practical tools for designing energy-efficient, naturally ventilated buildings, aligning with global sustainability goals. By bridging computational simulations, optimization techniques, and real-world applications, this thesis establishes a robust foundation for advancing natural ventilation studies and contributing to the development of thermally comfortable and sustainable built environments.

Keywords: ANN, CFD, Natural ventilation, Thermal comfort, RANS turbulence models

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of contents	vi
List of figures	xi
List of tables	xiii
List of abbreviations	xiv
Chapter 1	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Questions	4
1.4 Research Gap	5
1.5 Aim and Objectives of the Research	6
1.6 Research Methodology	6
1.7 Significance of the Study	10
1.8 Expected Contribution	11
1.9 Thesis Outline	12
Chapter 2	13
Literature review	13
2.1 Thermal Comfort in Naturally Ventilated Buildings	13
2.1.1 Standards and Indices for Thermal Comfort	14
2.1.2 Adaptive Thermal Comfort Models	14

2.1.3	Role of Airflow in Thermal Comfort	15
2.1.4	Influence of Cross Ventilation on Indoor Air Distribution.....	16
2.1.5	Perception of Comfort in Naturally Ventilated Environments	17
2.1.6	Measuring User Satisfaction in Naturally Ventilated Buildings.....	18
2.2	The Climatic Context	18
2.2.1	Preliminary Observations of Sri Lanka’s Climate	19
2.2.2	Detailed Analysis of Key Environmental Parameters	19
2.2.3	Hourly Dry Bulb Temperature Distribution	20
2.2.4	Hourly Relative Humidity Distribution	20
2.2.5	Hourly Wind Velocity Distribution.....	21
2.2.6	Natural Ventilation Potential for Different Climatic Zones	22
2.2.7	Outdoor Thermal Comfort Evaluation.....	24
2.2.8	Implications for Building Design and Future Research	26
2.3	Cross Ventilation and Its Importance	26
2.3.1	Principles of Cross Ventilation	26
2.3.2	Natural Airflow Patterns and Their Impact on Indoor Environments.....	27
2.3.3	Key Considerations for Effective Cross Ventilation	27
2.3.4	Benefits of Cross Ventilation.....	28
2.3.5	Challenges in Achieving Effective Cross Ventilation.....	29
2.3.6	Previous Studies on Cross Ventilation Effectiveness.....	31
2.3.7	Cross Ventilation in Tropical Climates	32
2.3.8	Adaptation Strategies for Maximizing Cross Ventilation.....	33
2.4	Computational Fluid Dynamics in Building Performance	34
2.4.1	Applications of CFD in Building Performance.....	34
2.4.2	Advantages of CFD for Naturally Ventilated Building Analysis	35
2.4.3	Limitations of CFD in Building Performance Simulations	36

2.4.4	CFD Models in Thermal Comfort Studies.....	36
2.4.5	Governing Equations in CFD.....	36
2.4.6	CFD Model Selection for Thermal Comfort in Tropical Buildings	46
2.4.7	CFD Simulation Process.....	46
2.4.8	CFD Validation Techniques.....	48
2.4.9	Validation Metrics and Quantitative Measures.....	50
2.4.10	Applications of CFD in Naturally Ventilated Buildings	50
2.4.11	Case Studies and Real-World Applications.....	51
2.4.12	Challenges and Limitations.....	52
2.4.13	Future Directions in Turbulence Modelling	54
Chapter 3		56
Development of the computational model and cfd simulation		56
3.1	Research Methodology	56
3.2	Development of the Computational Model	56
3.2.1	Computational Model, Domain and Mesh.....	56
3.2.2	Boundary Conditions.....	58
3.3	Numerical Simulation Setup.....	60
3.4	Accuracy and Reliability of CFD Simulations	60
3.4.1	Grid Sensitivity Analysis	60
3.4.2	Horizontal Homogeneity of the Velocity Profile	61
3.5	Model Validation	62
3.5.1	Streamwise Wind Speed and Turbulent Kinetic Energy	62
3.5.2	Volume Flow Rates	67
3.6	Performance Comparison of Different Turbulence Models	67
3.6.1	Kinetic Energy and Wind Speed in the Vertical Centre Plane.....	68
3.6.2	Prediction Accuracy of Airflow in Horizontal Planes.....	70

3.6.3 Indoor Airflow Distribution in Horizontal Planes.....	72
3.6.4 Prediction of Indoor Thermal Comfort.....	75
3.6.5 Characterization of Airflow Dynamics at the Openings.....	79
3.6.6 Characteristic of Incoming Jet	79
Chapter 4.....	85
Optimization using artificial neural networks.....	85
4.1 Development of Central Composite Design	85
4.2 Implementation of CCD experimental runs employing CFD simulation.....	88
4.3 Construction of training and validation datasets.....	91
4.3.1 Data Preprocessing	91
4.3.2 Database Formation.....	91
4.4 Development of ANN models	92
4.5 Evaluation of the performance of ANN models	95
4.5.1 Highlights of the Model Performance and Implications.....	97
4.5.2 Performance of the ANN Models by Parity Plots	98
4.5.3 Performance of the ANN Models by Residual Analysis	100
4.5.4 Optimization of Process Parameters.....	101
4.5.5 Desirability Function Approach.....	101
4.5.6 Optimization of the Prediction Accuracy of the CFD Model	102
4.5.7 Analysis of Optimal Conditions.....	103
4.6 Validation of the Improved CFD Models.....	104
4.6.1 Comparison of CFD Simulation Wind Tunnel Measurement	104
Chapter 5.....	111
Application to real buildings.....	111
5.1 Field Experimentation	111
5.1.1 Introduction to the Case Study Building.....	111

5.1.2	<i>In Situ</i> Measurements	111
5.2	CFD Simulations for Real Buildings.....	115
5.2.1	Computational Model of Real Building	115
5.3	Scenarios Analysed	118
5.3.1	Results of the CFD simulation for Case A.....	119
5.3.2	Comparison of Simulation Results with Field Data	120
5.4	Discussion on Thermal Comfort Predictions.....	121
Chapter 6		127
Development of the performance based approach		127
6.1	Introduction to performance based approach and prescriptive approach.....	127
6.1.1	Applications in Practice	128
6.1.2	Challenges and the Case for Performance-based Approaches.....	128
6.2	Development of the Performance-based Approaches	129
6.2.1	Site selection for a Naturally Ventilated Building	129
6.2.2	Performance-based Approach for Cross-Ventilated Building Design ..	132
6.2.3	Limitations of the Performance-based Approach Developed	132
Chapter 7		136
Conclusion and recommendations		136
7.1	Key Findings.....	136
7.2	Contributions to the Field	139
7.3	Implications for Design of Naturally Ventilated Buildings.....	140
7.4	Limitations and Future Work.....	140
7.5	Final Remarks	141
References		142
List of publications		157

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1.1:	The overall methodology of the present study.	9
Figure 2.1:	Hourly mean dry bulb temperature variation as a percentage of hours per annum.	20
Figure 2.2:	Hourly mean relative humidity variation as a percentage of hours per annum.	21
Figure 2.3:	Hourly mean wind velocity variation as a percentage of hours per annum.	22
Figure 2.4:	Comfort hours as a percentage of hours per annum for each location based on standard thermal comfort models.	25
Figure 3.1:	Flowchart of the study.	57
Figure 3.2:	Configurations of the building model and computational domain.	58
Figure 3.3:	U_x/U_{ref} of the CFD simulations in the vertical centre plane with different grid sizes.	61
Figure. 3.4:	Mean wind speed profiles at the inlet and incident walls.	61
Figure 3.6:	Comparison of kinetic energies along nine horizontal lines	63
Figure 3.7:	$ U /U_{ref}$ and k/U_{ref}^2 contours in the vertical centre plane.	69
Figure 3.8:	$ U /U_{ref}$ contours in the lower horizontal planes.	72
Figure 3.9:	$ U /U_{ref}$ contours in the upper horizontal planes.	73
Figure 3.10:	Change in A_u with mean wind speed ratio $ U /U_{ref}$.	74
Figure 3.11:	PMV distributions in different horizontal planes.	76
Figure 3.12:	A_c/A_i of PMV range, in different horizontal planes.	78
Figure 3.13:	$ U /U_{ref}$ contours and maximum values at openings.	80
Figure 3.14:	3D view of the incoming jet corresponding to the speed ratio contours.	80

Figure 3.15:	Surface area and volume of the incoming jet corresponding to the mean wind speed ratio contours.	81
Figure 3.16:	$ U /U_{ref}$ contours in different vertical planes.	82
Figure 4.1:	Graphical illustration of Central Composite Design.	85
Figure 4.3:	Parity plots of ANN models.	99
Figure 4.4:	Residual analysis of ANN models.	100
Figure 4.5:	U_x/U_{ref} of the CFD simulations along nine horizontal lines.	105
Figure 4.6:	k/U_{ref}^2 of the CFD simulations, along nine horizontal lines.	107
Figure 5.1:	Case study buildings	112
Figure 5.2:	Thermal environment measurement equipment	113
Figure 5.3:	Locations of the measuring instruments.	114
Figure 5.4:	Equipment deployment during measurement.	115
Figure 5.4:	3D views of the building and computational model.	116
Figure 5.5:	Computational mesh of the building.	117
Figure 5.6:	Pressure and Velocity Distributions around the building.	119
Figure 5.7:	Airflow distribution on horizontal plane-Case A.	122
Figure 5.8:	Airflow distribution on horizontal plane-Case B.	123
Figure 5.9:	Airflow distribution in the horizontal plane at 1.1 m, and vertical centre plane.	124
Figure 5.10:	Airflow distribution on horizontal plane-Case C.	125
Figure 6.1:	PMV vs airflow speed for different of dry bulb temperature and relative humidity.	130
Figure 6.2:	Change in A_u with $ U /U_{ref}$ in the horizontal plane.	131

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2.1:	Comparison of different thermal comfort models.	24
Table 3.1:	Validation metrics for U_x/U_{ref} and respective kinetic energy.	66
Table 3.2:	Comparison of the volume flow rate ($q/U_{ref}A_i$) obtained from CFD simulations with experimental data.	67
Table 3.3:	FAC2 for U_x/U_{ref} corresponding to horizontal lines y/H in vertical centre plane ($z/W = 0$).	71
Table 3.4:	Indoor area corresponding to the neutral zone ($-0.5 < PMV < 0.5$) as a percentage of total floor area derived from CFD simulations.	77
Table 3.5:	Incoming jet angle and spreading width	83
Table 4.1:	Ranges of all input parameters of the CCD both in coded levels and actual values.	86
Table 4.2:	Experimental runs given by CCD.	86
Table 4.3:	FAC2, FB and R values for U_x/u_{ref} and k/U_{ref}^2	89
Table 4.4:	Minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation of database.	92
Table 4.5:	Configuration of ANN models.	95
Table 4.6:	Performance indices of ANN models.	96
Table 4.7:	Desirability levels and selected parameter values of each desirability functions.	102
Table 4.8:	Optimal values for Sk- ϵ model coefficients.	103
Table 4.9:	Comparison of validation metrics.	109
Table 5.1:	Specification of the equipment used for in situ measurements.	113
Table 5.2:	Scenarios investigated.	118
Table 5.3:	Comparison of CFD results with experimental values of $ U $.	120
Table 6.1:	Status of the thermal condition of the site.	132
Table 6.2:	Guideline for the performance based approached	133

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ACH	Air Changes per Hour
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers
CCD	Central Composite Design
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
DBT	Dry Bulb Temperature
FAC2	Factor of Two of the Observations
FB	Fractional Bias
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality
MRT	Mean Radiant Temperature
NMSE	Normalized Mean Square Error
Opt-U	Optimized Turbulence Model
PMV	Predicted Mean Vote
PMVe	Extended Predicted Mean Vote
PPD	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied
RANS	Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes

Abbreviation	Description
ACH	Air Changes per Hour
RH	Relative Humidity
Sk- ϵ	Standard k-epsilon Model
RNG k- ϵ	Renormalization Group k-epsilon Model
Rk- ϵ	Realizable k-epsilon Model
Sk- ω	Standard k-omega Model
TKE	Turbulent Kinetic Energy
ISO	International Organization for Standardization