

LB/TH/39/2025
TH5972

**DEVELOPMENT OF A FRAMEWORK TO SELECT
OPTIMAL CROSS-SECTION DESIGN FOR IMPROVED
SOLID TIRE PERFORMANCE**

G.L.D. Madusanka

208853H

Master of Engineering in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

June 2025

**DEVELOPMENT OF A FRAMEWORK TO SELECT
OPTIMAL CROSS-SECTION DESIGN FOR IMPROVED
SOLID TIRE PERFORMANCE**

G.L.D. Madusanka

208853H

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Master of Engineering in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

June 2025

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 2025-06-18

G.L.D. Madusanka

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with the following declaration. The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD/MPhil/Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. R.K.P.S. Ranaweera

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 2025-06-18

Acknowledgment

I wish to express my most sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. R.K.P.S Ranaweera for his guidance, continuous support, supervision, assistance, motivation, and encouragement given throughout this period of study.

I would like to thank all other staff of Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka for sharing valuable knowledge with me and for the guidance given for this work.

I'm very grateful for Elastomeric Engineering Co. Ltd located at NO. 51/54, IDB Industrial Estate, Horana, Sri Lanka for providing me the opportunity and resources to carry out this research work.

I want to thank Mr. Himanshu Goonetilleke, Managing Director, Elastomeric Engineering Co. Ltd., Malinda Premarathne, General Manager, and other staff members at the organization for the valuable support and information given during the work to make this a success.

Abstract

Solid/resilient tires are used in material handling equipment such as forklifts, trailer trucks, etc. Long-lasting life, less rolling resistance, and zero defects are the main demanding requirements to reduce downtime and save energy in the solid tire market. The geometrical design of a solid tire is one of the main factors that decides the performance. Cross-section design is a key design aspect that is rarely discussed in published literature separately. Therefore, this research is constructed towards a systematic study to develop an optimized cross-section design for solid tires based on the desired requirements. Commonly available cross-section designs of solid tires were selected to develop virtual simulation models. Static elastic nonlinear finite element simulation models for each design were developed in Abaqus CAE software. The same material layer construction and load constraints were maintained in developing all simulation models. Relevant simulation results were obtained by referring to the previous studies. Three physical tires were manufactured with the same material construction for physical testing. The deflection and dynamometer testing machines were used to obtain results of different solid tire designs. Simulation results and physical testing results were analyzed, and it was implied that the simulation results were validated by physical testing. Further, the relationships between these results and solid tire performance parameters were evaluated. Considering the outcome of the analysis, a framework was developed to predict the performance of the solid tire based on the desired requirement, and it also facilitates the selection of the optimal cross-section design. A case study was carried out to predict the performance of the two designs, which were not physically tested but analyzed in simulation. This study could be further expanded with other design aspects and the material construction to predict solid tire performance.

Key Words: cross-section, performance, simulation, solid tires

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	iii
Acknowledgment	iv
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	x
List of Appendices	x
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Tire Industry	1
1.2 Solid Tire	3
1.2.1 Tire Construction and Materials	3
1.2.2 Tire Design	3
1.2.3 Main Performance Parameters	4
1.3 Motivation and Problem Definition	6
1.4 Aim and Objectives	7
1.5 Overview of Dissertation	8
2 Literature Review	9
2.1 Design Parameters of Solid Tires	9
2.1.1 Cross-section Design	9
2.1.2 Tread Design	11
2.1.3 Service Life	12
2.1.4 Rolling Resistance	13
2.1.5 Tire Wear and Contact Area (Footprint)	15
2.1.6 Deflection	17
2.1.7 The Internal Stress Level	18
2.1.8 Internal Heat Build-up and Blowout Location	20
2.2 Summary of the Previous Studies	22
2.3 Research Gap	24
3 Methodology	25
3.1 Numerical Simulation	25
3.1.1 Cross-section Design Selection for Simulation	25
3.1.2 Selection of Simulation Software	29
3.1.3 Geometrical Model (mesh) Development	29
3.1.4 Tensile Testing for Material Model Development	30
3.1.5 Material Model Selection	33

3.1.6	Material Model Assigning.....	34
3.1.7	Constrain Definitions	35
3.1.8	Simulation and Results Interpretation	36
3.2	Physical Testing	36
3.2.1	Tire Selection for Physical Testing	36
3.2.2	Static Testing.....	38
3.2.3	Dynamic Testing	41
4	Results and discussion	46
4.1	Tire Strength.....	46
4.1.1	Vertical Deflection.....	46
4.1.2	Horizontal Deflection.....	50
4.2	Tire Life.....	54
4.2.1	Service Life	54
4.2.2	Heat Buildup Inside the Tire	55
4.2.3	Stress Distribution Between the Cushion and Tread Layers	57
4.2.4	Stress Distribution Between the Cushion and Base Layers	60
4.3	Tire Blowout Location.....	62
4.3.1	Strain Energy Distribution.....	62
4.3.2	Cross-section Analysis	63
4.4	Tire Wear.....	65
4.5	Rolling Resistance.....	69
5	Framework to Predict Tire Performance	72
5.1	Establishing Framework for Tire Performance	72
5.1.1	Limitations of the Framework	72
5.2	Case Study for Tire Performance Prediction	75
5.2.1	Tire Strength	75
5.2.2	Tire Life	75
5.2.3	Tire Blowout Location	76
5.2.4	Tire Wear	76
5.2.5	Tire Rolling Resistance	76
6	Conclusion	78
	References	80
	Appendix	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Different types of tires (a) Solid resilient Tire[7] (b) Pneumatic Tire [8](c) Non-Pneumatic Tire[6].....	2
Figure 1.2 Applications of solid tire (a) Container Handlers (b) Baggage Carts (c) forklifts (d) Skid loaders[10].....	2
Figure 1.3 Solid tire layers construction [7].....	3
Figure 2.1 Cross-section profile[3].....	9
Figure 2.2 Solid tire specifications [2].....	10
Figure 2.3 Cross-section profile sketch[7].....	10
Figure 2.4 Different tread pattern designs [13], [16].....	11
Figure 2.5 Tread design parameter (a) lug depth (b) Lug width[7].....	11
Figure 2.6 Five tires of different brands[16].....	12
Figure 2.7 Drum testing machine [17].....	13
Figure 2.8 (a) (b)Drum test machine for measuring the Rolling resistance coefficient of solid tires [13].....	14
Figure 2.9 FEA results of (a) tread patterns, (b) tread depths, and (c) contact areas vs RRCs of light truck tires [13].....	15
Figure 2.10 Rolling resistance in different cross-section structures[13].....	15
Figure 2.11 (a) The tire stiffness testing (b) footprint on pressure measurement film (c) The footprint result in FEM simulation [17].....	16
Figure 2.12 contact area (a) Experimental (b) Numerical [26].....	16
Figure 2.13 (a)Compression test (b)vertical deflection result of FEA[27].....	17
Figure 2.14 (a)Vertical deflection (b)von mises stress distribution of FEA results [1].....	17
Figure 2.15 Comparison graph of deflection- FEA and Physical testing [27], [28]..	18
Figure 2.16 (a) Distribution of strain energy density (b) Distribution of Von Mises stress obtained from FEA [28].....	19
Figure 2.17 (a) Basic tire design (b) Tire design with circular cavities (c) Tire design with elliptical cavities. [26].....	19
Figure 2.18 Maximum Von Mises stress distribution of circular and elliptical cavities[26].....	20
Figure 2.19 (a) Basic tire design (b) Tire design with circular cavities [30].....	21
Figure 2.20 (a) Experimentally obtained blow-out location (b) Numerically obtained blow-out location [30].....	21
Figure 3.1 Overview of research methodology.....	26
Figure 3.2 Categorization of cross-section designs.....	27
Figure 3.3 Selected cross-section designs.....	28
Figure 3.4 Splitting the model for mesh control.....	30
Figure 3.5 Mesh development with mesh control Design 01.....	30
Figure 3.6 Tensile specimen of rubber compound.....	31
Figure 3.7 Tensile testing machine.....	32
Figure 3.8 Stretching of rubber specimen in tensile testing machine.....	32
Figure 3.9 Stress-strain graph of four tread specimens.....	33
Figure 3.10 Average stress-strain graph of tread material.....	33

Figure 3.11 Data curve fitting with the material model curves.....	34
Figure 3.12 Material assigning for layers.....	34
Figure 3.13 Boundary condition definition	35
Figure 3.14 Selected cross-section design for physical testing.....	36
Figure 3.15 Three tires for physical testing.....	38
Figure 3.16 Deflection testing machine	39
Figure 3.17 Tire on the carbon paper for contact patch analysis.....	40
Figure 3.18 Contact area calculation by planimeter.....	40
Figure 3.19 Contact patch of Design 01.....	41
Figure 3.20 Dynamometer test bench	41
Figure 3.21 Heat build-up measurement by thermo-couple probes	43
Figure 3.22 Load cell attachment for rolling resistance measurement	43
Figure 3.23 Schematic diagram for lead cell attachment	44
Figure 3.24 Cross-section cutting machine	45
Figure 3.25 Cross-section after blowout	45
Figure 4.1 Vertical deflection distributions.....	47
Figure 4.2 Simulation vertical deflection comparison	47
Figure 4.3 Vertical deflection results comparison	48
Figure 4.4 Vertical deflection of design 01(simulation vs physical testing)	49
Figure 4.5 Vertical deflection of design 02(simulation vs physical testing)	49
Figure 4.6 Vertical deflection of design 03 (simulation vs physical testing)	50
Figure 4.7 Horizontal deflection distribution	51
Figure 4.8 Simulation horizontal deflection comparison	51
Figure 4.9 Horizontal deflection results comparison	52
Figure 4.10 Horizontal deflection of design 01 (simulation vs physical testing)	53
Figure 4.11 Horizontal deflection of design 02 (simulation vs physical testing)	53
Figure 4.12 Horizontal deflection of design 03 (simulation vs physical testing)	54
Figure 4.13 Comparison of Service Life.....	55
Figure 4.14 Temperature (Heat build-up) comparison.....	56
Figure 4.15 Von-Mises stress distribution in the cushion and the tread layer.....	58
Figure 4.16 Maximum stress distribution comparison (in the cushion and the tread).....	59
Figure 4.17 Comparison of Max. Stress vs Self-Life.....	59
Figure 4.18 Comparison of Max. Stress vs Heat buildup between cushion and tread layer	60
Figure 4.19 Von-Mises stress distribution in the base and Cushion layer	61
Figure 4.20 Maximum stress distribution comparison (in the base and the cushion)	62
Figure 4.21 Maximum Stress vs heat build-up between base and cushion layer	62
Figure 4.22 Strain Energy Density Distribution	63
Figure 4.23 Cross-section of tires.....	64
Figure 4.24 Comparison of Hot areas of strain energy density and actual cross-sections	64
Figure 4.25 Contact pressure distributions.....	66
Figure 4.26 Simulation Contact pressure comparison.....	66
Figure 4.27 Simulation Contact area comparison	67
Figure 4.28 Contact areas(patch) of tires	68

Figure 4.29 Physical testing contact area comparison.....	68
Figure 4.30 Contact area- Simulation vs physical testing	68
Figure 4.31 Rolling resistance comparison	70
Figure 4.32 The ratio of Tire Width x Deflection/ Contact Area.....	71
Figure 4.33 Rolling resistance vs ratio (Tire width deflection/contact area)	71
Figure 5.1 Framework for predicting the tire performance linked with the cross-section design	74
Figure 5.2 Deep Convex Shape on the Sidewall.....	75
Figure 5.3 Strain Energy Distribution in Design 4 and Design 5.....	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of design parameter vs performance parameter	22
Table 3.1 Dimensions of cross-section designs	27
Table 3.2 Load specification for results analysis	36
Table 3.3 Dimensional Specification for cross-section designs.....	37
Table 3.4 Layer height ratio	37
Table 3.5 Load specification for deflection measurement.....	39
Table 4.1 Vertical deflection testing results	48
Table 4.2 Horizontal deflection testing results	52
Table 4.3 Service Life	55
Table 4.4 Temperature measurement (heat build-up)	56
Table 4.5 Contact area of tires	67
Table 4.6 Rolling resistance	69
Table 5.1 Summary of discussion.....	73
Table 5.2 Performance Prediction of Design 4 and Design 5	77

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix: Figure 1 Specifications of Solid Tires.....	83
---	----