

LB/TH/16/2026
TH6179

**BLAST LOAD ANALYSIS OF CONCRETE-STEEL
COMPOSITE BRIDGES: FOCUS ON SHEAR
CONNECTORS OF SLAB-BEAM INTERSECTIONS**

Jeewanthi Ukwaththa

248029B

Master of Science (Major Component Research)

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

January 2026

**BLAST LOAD ANALYSIS OF CONCRETE-STEEL
COMPOSITE BRIDGES: FOCUS ON SHEAR
CONNECTORS OF SLAB-BEAM INTERSECTIONS**

Jeewanthi Ukwaththa

248029B

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Major Component Research)

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

January 2026

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 07/01/2026

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr. P. L. N. Fernando

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 09/01/2026

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to my supervisor, Dr. P. L. N. Fernando for his guidance, generous help, continued encouragement and support throughout the whole period of this research for which I am greatly indebted.

I would also like to extend my sincere thanks to Dr. H. M. S. T. Herath, whose valuable suggestions and critical advice at key stages of the research helped refine the quality and clarity of my work. My appreciation goes to Prof. H. R. Pasindu, the Research Coordinator, for facilitating a supportive research environment within the department.

I express my sincere gratitude to the Head of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa and the academic and non-academic staff for the support given throughout my research. I wish to extend my thanks to the academic and non-academic staff of the Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Moratuwa, whose services and guidance played an important role in the smooth progression of this academic endeavor.

My special thanks go to my family who sincerely supported and stood beside me during not only the period of M.Sc. program, but during all my life. I would like to thank my parents and siblings for their endless love, patience, and encouragement, which have been my foundation throughout this journey. I also appreciate the support and companionship of my colleagues, whose shared efforts and encouragement helped me stay motivated.

Finally, I extend my sincere thanks to everyone, both within and outside the university, whose support, encouragement, and inspiration contributed in any way to the successful completion of this study.

ABSTRACT

In the present context, increasing attention is being paid to the structural response of infrastructure under blast loading, with bridges recognized as particularly vulnerable due to their exposed locations and essential role in transportation networks, economic stability and emergency operations. This research focuses on concrete-steel composite bridges, which are widely used in urban and highway networks, yet lack explicit blast resistance provisions in current Eurocode 4 design standards. Unlike previous studies that have mainly examined the effects of blast loads on individual components such as slabs, girders, and piers, this study addresses the blast response at the critical slab–beam intersection locations particularly susceptible to localized failure during explosive events. A representative concrete-steel composite bridge, designed according to Eurocode guidelines, was selected as the basis for a detailed numerical investigation. A detailed numerical framework was developed using LS-DYNA with CONWEP blast loading and verified through a three-stage validation process involving a reinforced concrete slab, a steel girder, and the slab–beam interaction mechanism. Building on the validated modeling approach, a progressive blast analysis was performed, beginning with isolated slab and girder models, followed by a combined slab–girder system without shear connectors and a full composite model with shear connectors to simulate realistic composite action. Key results demonstrate that the inclusion of shear connectors significantly improves blast resistance by enabling effective load transfer, reducing localized damage at the slab–beam interface, and promoting controlled redistribution of blast energy across the composite system. A comprehensive parametric study was conducted by varying explosive charge mass, standoff distance, and stud row spacing to evaluate how these parameters influence the blast response of the bridge and to highlight the effectiveness of current Eurocode design standards. The blast analysis results were compared with key Eurocode design resistance values, including mid-span cross-section shear, stud connector shear, and longitudinal interface shear. While several scenarios remained safely within Eurocode limits, localized exceedances were observed under extreme explosive loading combined with wider stud spacing, particularly at blast-proximal locations. These findings confirm the reliability of current Eurocode provisions for moderate blast scenarios while highlighting the need for optimized connector layouts and explicit blast-resistance considerations to enhance the resilience of composite bridge slab–beam intersections under severe explosive events.

Keywords: Blast loading, Concrete-steel composite bridge, Slab-beam intersection, Stud shear connectors, Eurocode

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
List of Abbreviations	x
CHAPTER 1	1
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Methodology of Work	4
1.4 Organization of Thesis	6
CHAPTER 2	8
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 General	8
2.2 Accidental Explosions on Concrete-steel Composite Bridges	8
2.3 Studies on Bridges Subjected to Blast Loading	10
2.4 Studies on Concrete-steel Composite Bridges Subjected to Blast Loading	12
2.4.1 Bridge Deck Slab	13
2.4.2 Bridge Deck Girder	14
2.4.3 Bridge Pier	15
2.5 Blast Resistance Guidelines in Bridge Design Guidelines	16
2.5.1 Eurocode Guidelines	17
2.5.2 AASHTO Bridge Security Guidelines	18
2.6 Explosion Related Parameters	18
2.6.1 Equivalent TNT Weight	18
2.6.2 Standoff Distance	19
2.6.3 Blast Scaling Low	20

2.6.4	Characteristics of Blast Wave	21
2.6.5	Determination of Blast Pressure and Blast Durations.....	22
2.7	Research Gap.....	23
CHAPTER 3		25
3	VALIDATION STUDY	25
3.1	Validation of a Blast Loading Scenario on a RC Slab	25
3.1.1	Finite Element Model Description.....	27
3.1.2	Blast Load Modeling.....	29
3.1.3	Blast Analysis Results Comparison	31
3.2	Validation of a Blast Loading Scenario on a Steel Girder	32
3.2.1	Finite Element Model Description.....	33
3.2.2	Blast Load Modeling.....	34
3.2.3	Blast Analysis Results Comparison	35
3.3	Validation of Concrete-steel Composite Section	36
3.3.1	Finite Element Model Description.....	38
3.3.2	Combined Blast and Impact Load Modeling	39
3.3.3	Combined loading Analysis Results Comparison.....	40
CHAPTER 4		41
4	ANALYSIS OF SLAB-GIRDER INTERSECTION OF CONCRETE-STEEL COMPOSITE BRIDGE UNDER BLAST LOADS	41
4.1	Bridge Model Selection.....	42
4.1.1	Bridge Configuration and Geometric Properties	42
4.1.2	Target Bridge Model.....	45
4.2	Finite Element Modeling of the Bridge for Blast Analysis.....	46
4.2.1	Material Modeling	46
4.2.2	Boundary Conditions	48
4.2.3	Blast Load Application	48
4.2.4	Analysis Control and Output Definition	49
4.2.5	Finite Element Modeling of Deck Slab.....	50
4.2.6	Finite Element Modeling of Deck Girder	52
4.2.7	Finite Modeling of Bridge without Stud Shear Connectors.....	53
4.2.8	Finite Modeling of Bridge with Stud Shear Connectors.....	54

4.3	Blast Analysis Results Comparison	55
4.3.1	Damage Modes	55
4.3.2	Internal Energy-time History	57
4.3.3	Global Kinetic Energy-time History	59
CHAPTER 5		61
5	PARAMETRIC STUDY	61
5.1	Plastic Neural Axis (PNA) at Mid-span Cross-section	62
5.1.1	Comparison of Mid-span Cross-section Shear Resistance with Blast Analysis Results.....	63
5.2	Design Shear Resistance of Headed Studs	65
5.2.1.	Comparison of Design Shear Resistance of Headed Studs with Blast Analysis Results.....	66
5.3	Design Longitudinal Shear Force at Concrete-steel Interface.....	70
5.3.1	Comparison of Design Longitudinal Shear Force at Steel-concrete Interface with Blast Analysis Results	71
CHAPTER 6		74
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	74
6.1	Future Work	76
7	REFERENCES	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: I-20/I-59/I-65 interchange in Birmingham, Alabama Charilaos Trikoupi Bridge, Greece on 28 January 2005 (Zhu et al., 2020)	9
Figure 2.2: I-80 interchange in Oakland, California on 29 April 2007 (Garlock et al., 2012)	9
Figure 2.3: Truck tanker explosion, I-65, Franklin, Tennessee (Alomari, 2023)	9
Figure 2.4: Stand-off distance (Akter, 2019)	19
Figure 2.5: Typical blast pressure over time profile (Amer et al., 2020).....	22
Figure 2.6: Positive phase shock wave parameters for TNT explosion on free-air (UFC, 2008)	23
Figure 3.1: Experiment Configuration	26
Figure 3.2: RC slab geometry and reinforcement details	26
Figure 3.3: Reinforcement and supporting details	26
Figure 3.4: MAT_003-PLASTIC_KINEMATIC material model (Tan et al., 2019)	29
Figure 3.5: Explosive charge configuration (Shuaib & Daoud, 2015)	30
Figure 3.6: Deflection-time history results of slab deflection for TNT charge weight = 0.2 kg, and standoff distance $R = 0.4$ m.....	31
Figure 3.7: Damage mode of bottom of slab comparison between (a) the model in LS-DYNA and (b) the actual in the experiment (W. Wang et al., 2013)	32
Figure 3.8: (a) Schematic view of charge location; (b) beam hinged end; and (c) beam roller end	33
Figure 3.9: Explosive charge configuration	35
Figure 3.10: (a) Maximum deflection and (b) Residual deflection along beam length	36
Figure 3.11: Detailed geometry of (a) the quarter-size composite beam model; and (b) stud spacing (Mohammed & Abebe, 2022)	37
Figure 3.12: Combine blast and impact loading on steel concrete composite beam (a) 3D view and (b) Side view (Mohammed & Abebe, 2022)	39
Figure 3.13: Comparison of load-deflection curve for experiment and FEA	40
Figure 4.1: Longitudinal elevation of the selected bridge model (European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2012)	43
Figure 4.2: Mid span (P1 – P2) cross section (European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2012).....	43
Figure 4.3: Structural steel distribution of girders (European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2012)	44

Figure 4.4: Detailing of (P1 – P2) transverse cross-bracing (European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2012)	44
Figure 4.5: Target bridge model (a) 28 m mid-span, (b) quarter-symmetry model of the mid-span, (c) longitudinal elevation of the bridge model and (d) Structural steel distribution of girder	46
Figure 4.6: Explosive charge configuration of the target bridge model	49
Figure 4.7: Deck slab geometry	50
Figure 4.8: Internal energy–time histories for different mesh sizes	51
Figure 4.9: Deck slab model (a) slab and (b) reinforcement	52
Figure 4.10: Dimensions of the steel deck girder	52
Figure 4.11: Deck girder model	53
Figure 4.12: Combined bridge model (without shear connectors modeling)	53
Figure 4.13: Stud arrangement in a 4-stud row.....	54
Figure 4.14: Arrangement of the deck slab, deck girder, reinforcing bars, and stud shear connectors	55
Figure 4.15: Damage modes of slab underside of (a) isolated slab model (b) bridge model without shear connectors (C) bridge model with shear connectors	56
Figure 4.16: Internal energy time-history of slab	58
Figure 4.17: Internal energy time-history of girder	59
Figure 4.18: Global kinetic energy-time history	60
Figure 5.1: Maximum shear forces at mid-span cross sections of parametric study cases	64
Figure 5.2: Top view of the top flange showing the locations of selected critical studs for blast models with varying stud row spacings (a)S=750 mm (b)S=500 mm (c)S=250 mm	66
Figure 5.3: Shear forces acting on critical studs with varying standoff distance	68
Figure 5.4: Shear forces acting on critical studs with varying TNT explosive amounts	69
Figure 5.5: Shear forces acting on critical studs with varying stud rows spacings ...	70
Figure 5.6: Maximum longitudinal shear forces at slab-beam interface of parametric study cases	73

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Summary of few key research studies conducted in recent years.....	11
Table 2.2: Studies of numerical blast analysis of concrete-steel composite bridges .	12
Table 2.3: Design codes related to blast resistant structures.....	16
Table 2.4: Examples of possible design-based threats (Federal Emergency Management Agency, 2012)	21
Table 3.1: Strain rate versus strength enhancement factor (Malvar, L J & Ross, C A, 1998)	28
Table 3.2: Material model parameters of RC slab	29
Table 3.3: Material model parameters of steel girder	34
Table 3.4: Material model parameters of steel-concrete composite beam.....	38
Table 4.1: Material Model Parameters of concrete-steel composite bridge	47

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ANFO	Ammonium Nitrate Fuel Oil
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
CEN	European Committee for Standardization
CONWEP	Conventional Weapons Effects Program
CSCM	Continuous Surface Cap Model
ELFORM	Element Formulation
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
FEM	Finite Element Modeling
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
GTD	Global Terrorism Database
KCC	Karagozian and Case Concrete
LBE	LOAD_BLAST_ENHANCED
LSTC	Livermore Software Technology Corporation
PNA	Plastic Neural Axis
RC	Reinforced Concrete
SCC	Steel-Concrete Composite
SPC	Single Point Constraint
TNT	Trinitrotoluene
UFC	Unified Facilities Criteria
ULS	Ultimate Limit State