

EVALUATING THE TRAINING PERFORMANCE OF WIND TECHNICIANS IN SRI LANKA ON CRITICAL RESCUE STEPS

R.D.C. Indrajith

219288V

Degree of Master of Science in Occupational Safety and Health
Management

Department of Building Economic
Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

April 2025

EVALUATING THE TRAINING PERFORMANCE OF WIND TECHNICIANS IN SRI LANKA ON CRITICAL RESCUE STEPS

R.D.C. Indrajith

219288V

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Occupational Safety and Health Management

Department of Building Economic

Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2025

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Chamal Indrajith

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor:

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date:

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my dissertation supervisor Dr Pournima Sridarran, Department of Facilities Management, Faculty of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, for the constant support, encouragement and valuable guidance provided throughout the research journey.

It is my foremost duty to pay my gratitude to, Mr Sabareswar Sankaranarayanan, lead training instructor, Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy Power in India.

I am very thankful to the higher management of the wind power plants in Sri Lanka, specifically the Ceylon Electricity Board, Siemens Gamesa Lanka Pvt Ltd, Wind Force Pvt Ltd, and SENOK Wind Power Pvt Ltd, for their support and for granting me permission to conduct this study. My sincere gratitude also extends to all wind energy professionals working at these organizations, who generously assisted me in administering the questionnaire during the data collection process.

I would also like to thank the Head of the department of Building Economics for the valuable support extended during the programme and to all the lecturers in the M.Sc. programme for teaching, guiding, and supporting during the course.

Abstract

This study aims to assess the training performance of wind technician in Sri Lanka on critical rescue steps. Recognizing the inherent occupational hazards of working at heights within the wind energy sector, especially given the industry's rapid growth and the rising incidence of fall-related accidents (accounting for 44% of accidents in similar high-risk sectors), this research evaluates technician competency through structured rescue training. Utilizing the Global Wind Organization (GWO) Basic Safety Training standards, the research specifically investigates training retention and skill application among technicians.

The research employed a Situational Judgment Test (SJT) and Job Knowledge Testing (JKT) to measure technician performance in executing prescribed rescue procedures. A purposive sample of 45 wind turbine technicians, who recently completed GWO-certified training, participated in the study. Data collection involved an online questionnaire designed to reflect real-world rescue scenarios encountered in onshore wind farms.

Analysis revealed that approximately 58% of technicians demonstrated confidence in rescue device operation within the nacelle, whereas only 18% expressed similar confidence for external nacelle rescue tasks. Significant gaps in skill retention were evident, with skill decay notably higher among technicians lacking regular refresher training ($p < 0.05$). Initial training, while comprehensive, was found insufficient alone, as performance assessments indicated a decline in critical rescue skills within six months of post-training. This research addresses a significant gap in existing literature by providing empirical evidence from Sri Lanka, an emerging market in wind energy. Results highlight the need for periodic skill assessments and refresher training as integral components of occupational health and safety protocols. Recommendations include structured refresher courses and regular performance evaluations to enhance the effectiveness of rescue training programs. The findings from this study not only inform local industry practices but also offer valuable insights applicable to global standards for technician safety and performance management in the wind energy sector.

Keywords: Onshore Wind Energy, Safety Performance, Training and Development, Situational Judgment Testing, Job Knowledge Assessment

Table of Contents

Declaration	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Figures.....	vi
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Abbreviations	viii
List of Appendices.....	x
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Aim and Objectives	6
1.4 Significance of the study	6
1.5 Limitation of this study.....	6
1.6 Chapter Organization.....	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Wind Turbine and Hazards	8
2.3 Safety Training and Development.....	11
2.4 Employee's Perception on Risk Decision Making.....	14
2.5 Emergency Handling.....	15
2.6 Legal Requirement	15
2.7 GWO-Basic Safety Training.....	16
2.8 Training Transfer.....	17

2.9 Skill and Knowledge Decay.....	17
2.10 Training Evaluation and Effectiveness	18
2.11 Factors for Training Effectiveness	19
2.12 Tools for Training Effectiveness.....	21
2.13 Chapter Summery.....	37
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	38
3.1 Introduction.....	38
3.1 Research Designing.....	38
3.2 Research Site.....	39
3.3 Sampling.....	40
3.4 Method of Data Collection	40
3.5 Research Instrument Designing	41
3.5.2 Method of Statistical Data Analysis.....	47
CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS	50
4.1 Introduction.....	50
4.2 Data Management	50
4.3 Data Presentation and Analysis.....	51
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	102
5.1 Conclusion	102
5.2 Recommendation.....	104
5.3 Limitations of the Study	105
References	106
Appendices	118
Appendix - A Questionnaire.....	118

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Onshore wind turbine.....	9
Figure 2.2: Offshore wind turbine	9
Figure 4.1:Job role within the industry	51
Figure 4.2: Work Location for Wind Farms	52
Figure 4.3: Year of Experience Within the Industry	53
Figure 4.4: Years of Experience Within the Industry	54
Figure 4.5: Confidence in Using Rescue Equipment in Different Scenarios.....	55
Figure 4.6: Correct and Incorrect Responses	58
Figure 4.7: Mean Rank of Actions-Scenario 1	67
Figure 4.8: Accurate and Inaccurate Responses-Scenario 1	69
Figure 4.9:Mean Rank of Actions -Scenario 2.....	77
Figure 4.10: Accurate and Inaccurate Rate-Scenario 2.....	79
Figure 4.11:Mean Rank of Actions-Scenario 3.....	88
Figure 4.12:Accurate and Inaccurate Rate- Scenario 3.....	90

List of Tables

Table 4:1:Distribution of Correct and Incorrect Responses for Questions	57
Table 4:2: Output Table for Descriptive Analysis.....	60
Table 4:3:Output Table for Spearman's Correlation for Respondent Experience	62
Table 4:4: Output Table for Spearman's Correlation for Completion of Training.....	64
Table 4:5:Output Table for Spearman's Correlation for Completion of Training - Scenario 1	66
Table 4:6: Output Table for Correct and Incorrect Responses-Scenario 1	68
Table 4:7: Output Table for Correlation for Each Response -Scenario 1	70
Table 4:8: output Table for Spearman's Correlation -Scenario 1	71
Table 4:9:Total Rank for Friedman Test.....	72
Table 4:10:Output Table for Friedman Test	73
Table 4:11:Output Table for Spearman's Correlation for Completion of Training - Scenario 2	77
Table 4:12: Correct and Incorrect Rate for Completion of Training-Scenario 2	78
Table 4:13:Correlation Table for Each Response-Scenario 2	81
Table 4:14: Spearman's Output Table -Scenario 2	81
Table 4:15:Friedman Total Rank -Scenario 2	83
Table 4:16: Output Table For Friedman Test-Scenario 2	83
Table 4:17: Output Table for Spearman's Correlation for Completion fo Training - Scenario 3	87
Table 4:18:Correct and Incorrect Responses -Scenario 3	89
Table 4:19:Correlation for Each Responses -Scenario 3	92
Table 4:20:Spearman's Output Table -Scenario 3	92
Table 4:21:Friedman Total Rank -Scenario 3	94
Table 4:22:Output Table for Friedman Test	94

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
BIM	Building Information Modeling
BS	British Standard
BST	Basic Safety Training
CAT	Computer-Aided Training
CEB	Ceylon Electricity Board
CIRO	Content, Input, Reaction, Outcome
CIPP	Context, Input, Process, Product
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
EU-OSHA	European Agency for Safety and Health at Work
GVO	Global Wind Organization
GW	Gigawatt
HRD	Human Resource Development
ILO	International Labour Organization
IREA	International Renewable Energy Agency
ITP	Individual Trait Policy
JKT	Job Knowledge Test
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
MCQ	Multiple Choice Questions
MW	Megawatt

OHS	Occupational Health and Safety
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
ROI	Rate of Return
RUK	Renewable Energy United Kingdom
SJT	Situational Judgment Testing
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, Time-bound
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SRL	Self-Retracting Lifelines
TT	Traditional Training
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator

List of Appendices

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix - A	Sample Questionnaire	109