

LB/TH/22/2025

TH5853

**INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMICS OF
BIOINSPIRED FLAPPING WINGS**

G.G.L. De Silva

198121T

Master of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

April 2025

INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMICS OF BIOINSPIRED FLAPPING WINGS

G.G.L. De Silva

198121T

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree
Master of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

April 2025

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this Dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 2025/04/07

The supervisors should certify the Dissertation with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master of Philosophy Dissertation under our supervision. We confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr. K.K.N.M.P. Samaraweera

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 07/04/2025

Name of Supervisor: Prof. N.D. Jayaweera

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 07/04/2025

Name of Supervisor: Dr. A.G.T. Sugathapala

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 07/04/2025

DEDICATION

To free education,
To fellow Sri Lankans whose generosity facilitates it,
And,
To the heroes whose sacrifices keep it alive.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Nalaka Samaraweera, Prof. Nirosh Jayaweera, and Dr. Thusitha Sugathapala, for providing their support, encouragement, and patience throughout this research. They understood my capabilities and provided the guidance and advice I needed, which ultimately led to the success of this research. I am very grateful for dedicating their valuable time to me.

Then I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my loving wife Geemanthi and my family and friends for their continued belief in me and their support. I would also like to thank Mr. Lihini Thennakoon, Mr. Rawisha Serasinghe, and Mr. Dhanushka Pieris for their constant assistance and encouragement as fellow postgraduate students throughout the research.

All the academic and non-academic staff in laboratories and workshops in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa deserve my gratitude for providing their service whenever required without any hesitation. I extend my sincere gratitude to Dr. Dulini Mudunkotuwa and the dedicated staff members of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Sri Jayawardhanapura, for their invaluable assistance in conducting the experimental investigations for this research.

This research was funded by the Senate Research Council of the University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka Grant No SRC/LT/2020/16 and I would like to express my gratitude towards them for providing all the required funding which makes this research a reality.

The wind tunnel facilities at the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Sri Jayawardhanapura which were used in the experimental investigation, are provided as part of the Science and Technology Human Resource Development Project, Ministry of Education, Sri Lanka, funded by the Asian Development Bank under the Grant No: CRG/R3/SJ18.

ABSTRACT

In the quest to develop bioinspired micro air vehicles capable of efficient operation in dynamic environments, transient measurements of aerodynamic forces on pitching and plunging wings are essential. While previous investigations have measured these forces, there remain gaps in knowledge that impede further development of bioinspired micro air vehicles. Overarching characteristics of lift and thrust performances of pitching and plunging wings in varying kinematic scenarios have not been the subject of extensive research. The majority of previous research has concentrated on assessing the performance of custom-developed wing platforms tailored for specific flapping wing micro air vehicle applications. In cases where a standard airfoil shape is employed, investigations have been limited to a few wing flapping frequencies or free-stream velocities. In this research, unsteady aerodynamic forces of pitching and plunging wings in a range of frequencies and free stream velocities inspired by birds are investigated both experimentally and computationally.

The experimental investigation encompasses fourteen different kinematic scenarios spanning Reynolds numbers from 20,000 to 100,000 and Strouhal numbers from 0.02 to 0.1. Complementing this, the computational investigation provides additional insights through time series variations in aerodynamic forces, velocity, and pressure contour for twenty-five kinematic scenarios, including those examined experimentally. The range of Reynolds and Strouhal numbers is derived from measurements taken from birds in forward flapping flight. An experimental apparatus, purposefully designed and developed for this investigation, is used in subjecting a wing with a uniform cross-section of the NACA 0012 airfoil to controlled pitch and plunge motions while simultaneously measuring the aerodynamic forces acting on the wing. The measurements taken using a force sensor are post-processed to compute the time-history variation of lift and thrust coefficients in varying kinematic scenarios. Characteristics of resultant lift and thrust coefficient variations and average values are discussed with existing findings in flapping wing aerodynamics. Gradual decrements of extremum values in transient lift and thrust coefficient variations are observed with increasing Reynolds number and receding Strouhal number values. The mean values of lift and thrust coefficients increase by an average of 35% and 83% respectively with increasing Strouhal number in Reynolds number values of 20 000 and 40 000. But the mean lift coefficient values decrease by an average of 46% with rising Reynolds number while Strouhal number is 0.02 and 0.04. Results indicate that flapping wing micro air vehicles perform efficiently in Reynolds numbers below 40 000 with stability in forward accelerations and altitude changes increasing with rising Reynolds number values.

The computational investigation was done using Ansys fluent computational fluid dynamics simulation software. After establishing an optimized simulation methodology, a series of simulations were carried out. Aerodynamic force values are exported and postprocessed to develop velocity and pressure contour plots as images and animations to further supplement the experimental and computational results. The characteristic trends observed in the experimental results were confirmed by the computa-

tional results. The mean lift coefficient values exhibit an average increase of 31% with rising Strouhal number values, while they decrease by an average of 54% with increasing Reynolds number values. On the other hand, the mean thrust coefficient values demonstrate an average increase of 32% with increasing St values and a rise of 11% on average with increasing Reynolds number values. The comparison between experimental and computational results reveals a favorable agreement in the time-history variations of lift and thrust coefficients. The percentile deviation between the mean lift and thrust coefficients of the experimental and computational results is calculated at 12.15%, indicating a reasonably close correlation.

Keywords: Experimental Aerodynamics, Computational Fluid Dynamics, Bioinspired Wings, Micro Air Vehicles, Flapping Wings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the Candidate & Supervisor	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	x
List of Appendices	xii
List of Abbreviations	xv
List of Publications	xvi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objectives of the research	3
1.2 Thesis overview	3
2 LITERATURE SURVEY	4
2.1 Bio-mechanics of bird wings	4
2.1.1 Historical remarks of flapping flight	4
2.1.2 Classification of natural wings	5
2.1.3 Mechanics of flapping wings of birds	8
2.2 Previous experimental investigations of flapping wings	10
2.3 Previous computational investigations of flapping wings	15
2.4 Previous flapping wing bio-inspired aerial vehicle developments	18
3 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMICS OF BIOIN- SPIRED PITCHING AND PLUNGING WINGS	21
3.1 Overview	21
3.2 Methodology	23
3.2.1 Mechanics of the wing	23
3.2.2 Wing geometry	23
3.2.3 Decomposition of bird wing motion	26

3.2.4	Mechanical design of the apparatus	27
3.2.5	Control system design of the apparatus	29
3.3	Experiment and data processing procedure	31
3.3.1	Experiment procedure	31
3.3.2	Uncertainty analysis	33
3.3.3	Validation of the measurements	36
3.4	Results and discussion	37
3.4.1	Key characteristics in transient results	37
3.4.2	Implications of the results toward the development of FWBAVs	45
4	COMPUTATIONAL INVESTIGATION OF AERODYNAMICS OF BIOINSPIRED PITCHING AND PLUNGING WINGS	46
4.1	Overview	46
4.2	Overview of Ansys fluent CFD software	47
4.2.1	Solver	47
4.2.2	Turbulence modeling	48
4.2.3	Governing Equations	50
4.3	Methodology	52
4.3.1	Pilot simulation	52
4.3.2	Geometry and mechanics of the wing	53
4.3.3	Mesh and time-step optimization	53
4.3.4	Qualitative and quantitative validations	56
4.3.5	Investigative simulations	58
4.4	Results and discussion	59
4.4.1	Key characteristics of transient results	59
4.4.2	Comparison of average lift and thrust performance	69
4.4.3	Comparison of experimental and computational results	70
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	74
5.1	Conclusions	74
5.2	Future work	75
	References	76

Appendix A	Framework for experimental investigations using low-speed small/ medium wind tunnels	89
A.1	Introduction	89
A.2	Experimental Process	90
A.2.1	Pre-test	90
A.2.2	Test	93
A.2.3	Post-test	94
Appendix B	Guidelines for computational fluid dynamics investigations of moving objects using Ansys fluent	97
B.1	Introduction	97
B.2	Investigation methodology	97
B.2.1	Geometry selection and defining the domain	97
B.2.2	Kinematic calculation	98
B.2.3	Mesh generation	98
B.2.4	Turbulence model selection	98
B.2.5	User Defined Functions	98
B.2.6	Pilot simulation	100
B.2.7	Mesh and time step convergence analysis	101
B.2.8	Validation	101
B.2.9	Investigative simulations	102
B.2.10	Data analysis	102
B.2.11	Image and animation rendering	102
B.3	Common errors and solutions	103
B.3.1	Divergency errors	103
B.3.2	Mesh stiffness errors	104
B.3.3	UDF compilation error	104
Appendix C	User manual for the experimental apparatus	105
C.1	Introduction	105
C.2	System description	105
C.2.1	Support structure sub-system	106
C.2.2	Platform sub-system	106

C.2.3	Control sub-system	107
C.2.4	Flow visualization system	108
C.3	User instructions	109
C.3.1	Motion control of the model	110
C.3.2	Measurement file management	112
C.4	Maintenance instructions	114
C.4.1	Day to day maintenance	114
C.4.2	Major component refurbishment or replacement	114
C.5	Suggestions for future development	115

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 2.1	Hierarchy of the literature survey	4
Figure 2.2	Examples for the different wing types found in nature	7
Figure 2.3	Hierarchical position of Flapping Wing Bioinspired Aerial Vehicles (FWBAV) among other similar aircrafts.	18
Figure 3.1	St and Re distribution of forward flapping flight of the birds with experimental investigation coverage indicated.	23
Figure 3.2	(a)Diagram of wing motion, (b)Displacement-time graphs of plunge and pitch motion of the wings.	24
Figure 3.3	Decomposition of wing motion into independently controllable variables.	27
Figure 3.4	Experimental apparatus (a) Front view and (b) Side view of the apparatus, (c) Isometric view platform sub-assembly	29
Figure 3.5	Control system diagram of the wing motion control system and data acquisition system	30
Figure 3.6	Flow chart illustrating the data processing procedure	35
Figure 3.7	Comparison of experiments results for validation.	36
Figure 3.8	C_L and C_T variation of groups A,B,C	39
Figure 3.9	C_L and C_T variation of groups D,E,F	40
Figure 3.10	C_L and C_T variation of groups G,H,I	41
Figure 3.11	C_L and C_T variation of groups J,K,M	42
Figure 3.12	C_L and C_T variation of groups N,O	43
Figure 3.13	Mean C_L and C_T of the test groups and corresponding standard deviation values.	44
Figure 4.1	St and Re distribution of forward flapping flight of the birds with coverage of experimental and computational investigations indicated	46
Figure 4.2	Flow of information within the different solver types in Ansys fluent	48
Figure 4.3	Optimized mesh developed to accommodate "Dynamic Mesh"	54
Figure 4.4	Comparison of lift and drag force results in mesh and time-step convergence studies	56
Figure 4.5	Comparison between experimental results from Platzer and simulated wake	57
Figure 4.6	Comparison between results from Kim's experimental investigation and experimental and computational results of this investigation	57
Figure 4.7	Domain dimensions and boundary conditions (chord length = 100mm).	58

Figure 4.8	User Define Function (UDF) example to govern the motion of the inner domain.	59
Figure 4.9	Stacked plot graph of the lift and thrust coefficients and pitch and plunge magnitudes of group L with $Re= 60\ 000$ and $St = 0.06$	61
Figure 4.10	Velocity contour plots in Greyscale of pitching and plunging wing of Group L with $Re= 60\ 000$ and $St = 0.06$	62
Figure 4.11	Pressure contour plots of pitching and plunging wing of Group L with $Re= 60\ 000$ and $St = 0.06$	63
Figure 4.12	Computational result of C_L and C_T variations of test groups with Re value of $20\ 000$ with St values from 0.02 to 0.1	64
Figure 4.13	Computational result of C_L and C_T variations of test groups with Re value of $40\ 000$ with St values from 0.02 to 0.1	65
Figure 4.14	Computational result of C_L and C_T variations of test groups with Re value of $60\ 000$ with St values from 0.02 to 0.1	66
Figure 4.15	Computational result of C_L and C_T variations of test groups with Re value of $100\ 000$ with St values from 0.02 to 0.1	67
Figure 4.16	Computational result of C_L and C_T variations of test groups with St value of 0.02 with Re values from $20\ 000$ to $100\ 000$	68
Figure 4.17	Computational results of average C_L and C_T variation across varying Re and St values	69
Figure 4.18	Comparison of experimental and computational results of mean lift and thrust coefficient values	70
Figure 4.19	Comparison of experimental and computational results of lift and thrust coefficient variations in different kinematic scenarios of groups A,B,C,D,E	71
Figure 4.20	Comparison of experimental and computational results of lift and thrust coefficient variations in different kinematic scenarios of groups F,H,I,J,K	72
Figure 4.21	Comparison of experimental and computational results of lift and thrust coefficient variations in different kinematic scenarios of groups M,N,O	73
Figure A.1	Summarized Visualization of the Framework	96
Figure B.1	Process of a CFD simulation in Ansys fluent with UDF interference indicated	101
Figure C.1	Sub-systems of the experimental apparatus	105
Figure C.2	3D model of the support structure sub-system	106
Figure C.3	3D model of the platform structure sub-system	107
Figure C.4	3D model of the control sub-system design	108
Figure C.5	3D model of flow visualization system	109
Figure C.6	QR code of the drive folder containing additional information about the experimental apparatus design	115

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix -A	Framework for experimental investigations using low-speed small/ medium wind tunnels	89
Appendix -B	Guidelines for computational fluid dynamics investigations of moving objects using Ansys fluent	97
Appendix -C	User manual for the experimental apparatus	105

NOMENCLATURE

δ	Measurement uncertainty
$\dot{q}$	Energy generation per unit volume
μ	Dynamic viscosity
μ_k	Turbulent eddy viscosity
ν	Kinematic viscosity
$\mathbf{V}$	Velocity vector field
ρ	Air density
σ	Percentile uncertainty
σ_k	Turbulent Prandtl number
τ	Shear stress
c	Chord length
C_D	Drag coefficient
C_L	Lift coefficient
e	Specific internal energy
f	Body force per unit mass
F_D	Drag force
F_L	Lift force
f_x	External force
$F_{P/C}$	Force measurement perpendicular/ parallel to the wing chord
g	Gravitational acceleration
I	Identity matrix
K	Reduced frequency
k_T	Thermal conductivity

l	Span length
m, n	Calibration coefficients
P	Fluid pressure
Re	Reynolds number
St	Strouhal number
T	Temperature
T_n	Normalized periodic time
U, V, W	Fluid velocity
$V_{P/C}$	Voltage measurement perpendicular/ parallel to the wing chord

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
BAV	Bioinspired Aerial Vehicle
MAV	Micro Aerial Vehicle
FWBAV	Flapping Wing Bioinspired Aerial Vehicle
FWBMAV	Flapping Wing Bioinspired Micro Aerial Vehicle
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry
UPM	Unsteady Panel Method
FVM	Finite Volume Method
LES	Large Eddy Simulation
NACA	National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
AIAA	American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
AGARD	Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
AoA	Angle of attack
LEV	Leading Edge Vortex
TEV	Trailing Edge Vortex

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Lakshitha De Silva et al. "A Computational Study of the Aerodynamics of Plunging and Pitching Motions of Airfoils". In: 2022 Moratuwa Engineering Research Conference (MERCon). IEEE, July 2022. DOI: 10.1109/MER-Con55799.2022.9906181
2. Lakshitha De Silva et al. "Should We Care about how Birds Fly?" In: Bolgoda Plains 3.1 (Aug. 2023), pp. 15–18. ISSN: 2815-0082. DOI: 10.31705/BPRM.v3(1).2023.3
3. Lakshitha De Silva et al. "An Experimental and Computational Investigation of Aerodynamics of Bioinspired Pitching and Plunging Wings". In: Advances in Aerodynamics. (Under Review)
4. Lakshitha De Silva et al. "A Framework for Wind Tunnel Testing of Scale Models in Low Subsonic Conditions". In: Australian Journal of Mechanical Engineering. (Under Review)
5. Lakshitha De Silva et al. "Aerodynamics of Flapping Wing Bioinspired Aerial Vehicles: From Theory to Application". For: International Journal of Micro Air Vehicles. (Under development)