

LB/TH/16/2026
TH6182

**EVALUATING THE RESIDUAL PROPERTIES OF
MASONRY UNITS AND MORTAR AT ELEVATED
TEMPERATURE CONDITIONS AND ITS
COMPLIANCE WITH SRI LANKAN BUILDING FIRE
SAFETY GUIDELINES**

H.W.P. Gunasekara

248070P

Master of Science (Major Component of Research)

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2026

**EVALUATING THE RESIDUAL PROPERTIES OF
MASONRY UNITS AND MORTAR AT ELEVATED
TEMPERATURE CONDITIONS AND ITS
COMPLIANCE WITH SRI LANKAN BUILDING FIRE
SAFETY GUIDELINES**

H.W.P. Gunasekara

248070P

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Major Component of Research)

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

January 2026

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 01/01/2026

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr. (Ms) Ariyaratne K.P.I.E.

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 19/02/2026

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to all those whose steadfast support, encouragement, and kindness have guided me throughout my academic journey. It is more than just a piece of research; it stands as a reflection of endurance, commitment, and the strength drawn from the people who stood by me during one of the most challenging yet defining phases of my life.

I dedicate this work to my dearest family, whose endless motivation and faith in me have been my greatest source of strength. My deepest gratitude goes to my mentors and supervisors, especially Senior Lecturer I. E. Ariyaratne, whose insightful guidance, expertise, and genuine humanity shaped this study and helped me grow both academically and personally.

To my dear friends and research colleagues, I dedicate this in appreciation of your encouragement, optimism, and friendship, which brought energy and positivity throughout this journey.

Lastly, this thesis is dedicated to all aspiring researchers who dare to explore, to those who pursue knowledge with courage and perseverance, and to everyone who has helped me become a more independent, confident, and resilient individual.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to everyone who supported me throughout the completion of this research. This work is the result of their invaluable guidance, encouragement, and kindness.

First and foremost, I extend my sincere appreciation to Senior Lecturer I. E. Ariyaratne from the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for his exceptional supervision, constant guidance, and generous sharing of knowledge, which were vital to the success of this study.

My sincere thanks also go to the academic and technical staff of the Departments of Civil Engineering and Materials Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa. Their assistance and cooperation during the experimental work were truly invaluable.

I am also deeply grateful to the progress review committee and the examination panel, including Dr Rajeev Mallawaarachchi, Dr Hasitha Damruwan, Dr Kanishka Chandrathilaka, and Professor H. R. Pasindu, for their insightful comments and constructive feedback, which helped improve the quality of this research.

I would also like to acknowledge the University of Moratuwa for providing financial support through the Short-Term Research Grant (SRC/ST/2024/31), which made this study possible.

Finally, my deepest appreciation goes to everyone who stood by me and motivated me throughout this academic journey. Your support has been a constant source of strength and inspiration.

ABSTRACT

Masonry structures are valued for their strength and durability, and the properties of masonry materials under ambient conditions have been studied widely. The behaviour of masonry materials under fire conditions has also been investigated; however, studies on their residual performance after fire exposure remain limited. As the post-fire capacity of masonry walls is uncertain, studying their residual behaviour is important for improved fire safety. Therefore, this study evaluates the residual properties of masonry units commonly used in Sri Lanka, including clay bricks and cement–sand blocks as conventional units, and compressed stabilized earth blocks (CSEBs), foam concrete blocks, and autoclaved aerated concrete blocks as alternative units. Three specimens of each unit type, along with mortar samples, were heated to 200 °C, 400 °C, 600 °C, 800 °C, and 1000 °C, and then assessed for mass loss, crack propagation, and compressive strength, with ambient-condition specimens serving as references. Wall strengths were calculated using the experimental results. The results showed a unique behaviour in solid clay bricks, which recorded a 29% increase in compressive strength at 200 °C before reducing at higher temperatures. All other masonry units and mortar exhibited a continuous decrease in strength, with reductions ranging from 1% to complete loss depending on temperature. Solid cement–sand blocks and their corresponding walls performed best among the tested units, satisfying load-bearing and non-load-bearing strength requirements up to 800 °C. CSEBs were unsuitable at elevated temperatures and lost all capacity by 800 °C, showing weaker residual performance. Mass loss varied between 0% and 20% across units. Cracks were observed only in solid clay bricks and CSEBs, with CSEB cracks exceeding 3 mm after 800 °C, resulting in a complete loss of strength. Based on the findings, the study highlights the importance of incorporating material-specific fire-performance data into building design regulations to improve fire safety.

Keywords: Masonry, Mortar, Elevated Temperature, Residual Strength, Mass Loss

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	x
List of Tables.....	xiv
List of Abbreviations.....	xvi
List of Appendices	xvii
Chapter 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of The Study.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Aims and Objectives	3
1.4 Significance of the Study	4
1.5 Scope of the Study.....	4
1.6 Methodology	5
1.7 Main Findings.....	6
1.8 Structure of the Thesis.....	7
Chapter 2	8
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 General	8
2.2 Behaviour of masonry units, mortar and wall panels under elevated temperature conditions.....	8
2.2.1 Behaviour of masonry units under elevated temperature conditions ...	8
2.2.1.1 Clay bricks	8
2.2.1.2 Calcium Silicate Bricks.....	11
2.2.1.3 Lightweight concrete blocks	13
2.2.1.4 Concrete Blocks	17

2.2.2	Behaviour of mortar under elevated temperature conditions	17
2.2.3	Behaviour of wall panels under elevated temperature conditions	21
2.2.3.1	Clay Brick Wall Panels	21
2.2.3.2	Calcium Silicate Brick Wall Panels	22
2.2.3.3	Lightweight Concrete Block Wall Panels	23
2.3	Studies related to Fire Safety in Sri Lanka	25
2.3.1	Factors affecting for building fires	25
2.3.2	Active fire safety measures	27
2.4	Identification of the Research Gaps	28
2.5	Summary	29
Chapter 3		30
METHODOLOGY		30
3.1	General	30
3.2	Research Methods	30
3.2.1	Step-01: Selection and exploration of materials	32
3.2.1.1	Masonry Units	32
3.2.1.2	Mortar	33
3.2.2	Step-02: Preparation of Specimen	33
3.2.2.1	Preparation of Masonry Units for Testing	34
3.2.2.2	Preparation of Mortar Specimen for Testing	36
3.2.3	Step-03: Assessment of Physical and Mechanical Properties	38
3.2.3.1	Test Residual Properties	39
3.2.3.2	Test Ambient Properties	43
3.2.3.3	Calculation of Compressive Strength Values for Wall Panels	43
3.2.4	Step-04: Analysis of Results	43
3.2.5	Step-05: Review of Fire Safety Design Regulations	44
3.3	Summary	45
Chapter 4		46
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		46
4.1	General	46
4.2	Clay bricks	46
4.2.1	Solid Clay Bricks	46

4.2.1.1	Compressive Strength	46
4.2.1.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	49
4.2.1.3	Cracks	51
4.2.1.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Solid Clay Bricks	53
4.2.2	Hollow Clay Bricks	54
4.2.2.1	Compressive Strength	54
4.2.2.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	57
4.2.2.3	Cracks	58
4.2.2.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Hollow Clay Bricks	58
4.3	Cement Sand Blocks	59
4.3.1	Solid Cement Sand Blocks	59
4.3.1.1	Compressive Strength	59
4.3.1.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	62
4.3.1.3	Cracks	64
4.3.1.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Solid Cement Sand Blocks	64
4.3.2	Hollow Cement Sand Blocks	65
4.3.2.1	Compressive Strength	65
4.3.2.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	68
4.3.2.3	Cracks	70
4.3.2.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Hollow Cement Sand Blocks	70
4.4	Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks (CSEB).....	71
4.4.1	Solid Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks (CSEB)	71
4.4.1.1	Compressive Strength	71
4.4.1.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	74
4.4.1.3	Cracks	75
4.4.1.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Solid CSEBs	77
4.4.2	Interlocking Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks (CSEB)	78
4.4.2.1	Compressive Strength	78
4.4.2.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	81
4.4.2.3	Cracks	82
4.4.2.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Interlocking CSEBs	82
4.5	Foam Concrete Blocks	83

4.5.1	Solid Foam Concrete Blocks	83
4.5.1.1	Compressive Strength	83
4.5.1.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	85
4.5.1.3	Cracks	86
4.5.1.4	Discussion on Behaviour of Foam Concrete Blocks	87
4.6	Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) Blocks	87
4.6.1	Solid Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) Blocks	87
4.6.1.1	Compressive Strength	87
4.6.1.2	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	90
4.6.1.3	Cracks	91
4.6.1.4	Discussion on Behaviour of AAC Blocks	91
4.7	Cement Sand Mortar	92
4.7.1	Compressive Strength	92
4.7.2	Flexural Strength	94
4.7.3	Mass Loss and Density Reduction	95
4.7.4	Cracks	96
4.7.5	Discussion on Behaviour of Cement Sand Mortar	97
4.8	Wall Strength.....	97
4.9	Fire Safety Design Guideline	102
4.9.1	Sri Lankan Fire Safety Design Regulations	102
4.9.1.1	Regulations of Construction Industry Development Authority on Fire Safety Design.....	102
4.9.1.2	Regulations of Fire Service Department on Fire Safety Design ..	104
4.9.2	International Fire Safety Design Regulations	104
4.9.3	Comparison between Sri Lankan and International Fire Safety Design Regulations	106
4.10	Summary	107
Chapter 5		109
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		109
5.1	General	109
5.2	Conclusions	110
5.3	Recommendations	111

5.4	Future Work	112
	References	113

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1.1	Ancient masonry structures (a) Great Pyramid of Giza (b) Colosseum [8-9]	1
Figure 1.2	Valencia residential complex fire (a) before (b) during (c) after the fire [26-28].	2
Figure 2.1	Thermal and mechanical properties of clay bricks at the hot state (a) thermal conductivity - λ , specific heat capacity - C and gross dry density - ρ (b) thermal strains (c) stress-strain relationship [33]	8
Figure 2.2	residual compressive strength of clay bricks and calcium silicate bricks [32]	9
Figure 2.3	Thermal and mechanical properties of calcium silicate bricks at the hot state (a) thermal conductivity - λ , specific heat capacity - C and gross dry density - ρ (b) thermal strains (c) stress-strain relationship [33]	11
Figure 2.4	Thermal and mechanical properties of lightweight aggregate concrete blocks at the hot state (a) thermal conductivity - λ , specific heat capacity - C and gross dry density - ρ (b) thermal strains (c) stress-strain relationship [33]	13
Figure 2.5	Thermal and mechanical properties of AAC blocks at the hot state (a) thermal conductivity - λ , specific heat capacity - C and gross dry density - ρ (b) thermal strains (c) stress-strain relationship [33]	15
Figure 2.6	Residual compressive strength of concrete blocks [37]	16
Figure 2.7	Compressive strength of mortar at elevated temperature conditions as reported by Fu et al. (2003) [38]	17
Figure 2.8	Residual compressive strength of mortar as reported by Bošnjak et al. (2020) [32]	18
Figure 2.9	Tensile strength of mortar as reported by Ayala (2010) [35]	18
Figure 2.10	Stiffness of mortar at elevated temperature conditions as reported by Fu et al. (2003) [38]	19
Figure 2.11	Thermal strain of mortar at elevated temperature conditions as reported by Fu et al. (2003) [38]	19
Figure 2.12	Residual compressive strength of clay brick prisms and calcium silicate brick prisms [32]	20
Figure 2.13	Failure of clay brick prisms under residual conditions [32]	21
Figure 2.14	Failure of calcium silicate bricks prisms under residual conditions [32]	22
Figure 2.15	Residual compressive strength of lightweight block prisms [35]	23

Figure 2.16	Stiffness of lightweight concrete block panels [35]	23
Figure 3.1	Experimental methodology	30
Figure 3.2	Selected masonry units for the study	32
Figure 3.3	Oven drying process of cut masonry units	35
Figure 3.4	Measuring the flow of mortar	36
Figure 3.5	Casted mortar cubes and prisms	37
Figure 3.6	Curing	37
Figure 3.7	Heating Curves of Furnaces	38
Figure 3.8	Setup for heat treatment	39
Figure 3.9	Setup for compressive strength testing	40
Figure 3.10	Setup for flexural strength testing	40
Figure 3.11	specimen inside the container with silica gel	40
Figure 4.1	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Solid Clay Bricks)	46
Figure 4.2	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Solid Clay Bricks)	47
Figure 4.3	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Solid Clay Bricks)	47
Figure 4.4	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Solid Clay Bricks)	48
Figure 4.5	Cracks (solid clay bricks at 800 °C)	50
Figure 4.6	Cracks and surface spalling (solid clay bricks at 1000 °C)	51
Figure 4.7	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Hollow Clay Bricks)	54
Figure 4.8	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Hollow Clay Bricks)	54
Figure 4.9	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Hollow Clay Bricks)	55
Figure 4.10	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Hollow Clay Bricks)	56
Figure 4.11	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Solid Cement Sand Blocks)	59
Figure 4.12	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Solid Cement Sand Blocks)	60
Figure 4.13	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Solid Cement Sand Blocks)	61
Figure 4.14	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Solid Cement Sand Blocks)	62
Figure 4.15	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Hollow Cement Sand Blocks)	65
Figure 4.16	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Hollow Cement Sand Blocks)	66
Figure 4.17	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Hollow Cement Sand Blocks)	67
Figure 4.18	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Hollow Cement Sand Blocks)	68
Figure 4.19	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Solid CSEB)	71

Figure 4.20	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Solid CSEB)	71
Figure 4.21	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Solid CSEB)	72
Figure 4.22	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Solid CSEBs)	73
Figure 4.23	Cracks (solid CSEB at 600 °C)	74
Figure 4.24	Cracks (solid CSEB at 800 °C)	75
Figure 4.25	Cracks (solid CSEB at 1000 °C)	76
Figure 4.26	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Interlocking CSEB)	78
Figure 4.27	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Interlocking CSEB)	78
Figure 4.28	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Interlocking CSEB)	79
Figure 4.29	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Interlocking CSEBs)	80
Figure 4.30	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Foam Concrete Blocks)	83
Figure 4.31	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Foam Concrete Blocks)	83
Figure 4.32	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (Foam Concrete Blocks)	84
Figure 4.33	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Foam Concrete Blocks)	85
Figure 4.34	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (AAC Blocks)	87
Figure 4.35	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (AAC Blocks)	88
Figure 4.36	Average Compressive Strength at Ambient Condition (AAC Blocks)	88
Figure 4.37	Temperature Vs Mass loss (AAC Blocks)	89
Figure 4.38	Temperature Vs Average Compressive Strength (Mortar)	92
Figure 4.39	Temperature Vs Relative Compressive Strength (Mortar)	92
Figure 4.40	Temperature Vs Average Flexural Strength (Mortar)	93
Figure 4.41	Temperature Vs Relative Flexural Strength (Mortar)	94
Figure 4.42	Temperature Vs Mass loss (Mortar)	95
Figure 4.43	Wall Strength for Clay Brick Walls (using cut unit strength)	96
Figure 4.44	Wall Strength for Cement Sand Block Walls (using cut unit strength)	97
Figure 4.45	Wall Strength for CSEB Walls (using cut unit strength)	97
Figure 4.46	Wall Strength for Lightweight Concrete Block Walls (using cut unit strength)	98
Figure 4.47	Wall Strength for Clay Brick Walls (using whole unit strength)	99
Figure 4.48	Wall Strength for Cement Sand Block Walls (using whole unit strength)	99
Figure 4.49	Wall Strength for CSEB Walls (using whole unit strength)	100
Figure 4.50	Wall Strength for Lightweight Concrete Block Walls (using whole unit strength)	100

Figure 4.51	Maximum allowable cubic and area capacities for buildings without the need for compartment walls or floors [72]	102
Figure 4.52	Minimum fire resistance period required for all structural elements [72]	103
Figure 4.53	Theoretical fire resistances of walls for various types of construction (BS 5628:2001) [74]	104
Figure 4.54	Maximum slenderness ratio for different types of masonry units (AS 3700: 2018) [75]	104
Figure 4.55	The material thickness required for different fire resistance periods for insulation (AS 3700: 2018) [75]	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 3.1	Dimensions of masonry units and cut samples	33
Table 3.2	Fire safety design regulations used for the review	43
Table 4.1	Variation in average compressive strength of solid clay bricks	46
Table 4.2	Variation in average mass loss of solid clay bricks	48
Table 4.3	Reduction in density of solid clay bricks	49
Table 4.4	Variation in average compressive strength of hollow clay bricks	53
Table 4.5	Variation in average mass loss of hollow clay bricks	56
Table 4.6	Reduction in density of hollow clay bricks	57
Table 4.7	Variation in average compressive strength of solid cement sand blocks	58
Table 4.8	Unit strength for solid cement sand blocks as per SLS 855: Part 1: 1989 [49]	59
Table 4.9	Variation in average mass loss of solid cement sand blocks	61
Table 4.10	Reduction in density of solid sand blocks	62
Table 4.11	Variation in average compressive strength of hollow cement sand blocks	64
Table 4.12	Unit strength for hollow cement sand blocks as per SLS 855: Part 1: 1989 [49]	65
Table 4.13	Variation in average mass loss of hollow cement sand blocks	67
Table 4.14	Reduction in density of solid sand blocks	68
Table 4.15	Variation in average compressive strength of solid CSEB	70
Table 4.16	Variation in average mass loss of solid CSEBs	73
Table 4.17	Reduction in density of solid CSEBs	74
Table 4.18	Variation in average compressive strength of interlocking CSEB	77
Table 4.19	Variation in average mass loss of interlocking CSEBs	80
Table 4.20	Reduction in density of interlocking CSEBs	81
Table 4.21	Variation in average compressive strength of foam concrete blocks	82
Table 4.22	Variation in average mass loss of foam concrete blocks	84
Table 4.23	Reduction in density of solid foam concrete blocks	85
Table 4.24	Variation in average compressive strength of AAC blocks	87
Table 4.25	Variation in average mass loss of AAC blocks	89
Table 4.26	Reduction in density of AAC blocks	90
Table 4.27	Variation in average compressive strength of mortar	91

Table 4.28	Variation in average flexural strength of mortar	93
Table 4.29	Variation in average mass loss of mortar	94
Table 4.30	Reduction in density of mortar	95

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CSEB	Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks
AAC	Autoclaved Aerated Concrete

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
APPENDIX A	MATLAB code for crack width calculation	102
APPENDIX B	Recommended masonry units across different temperature levels	104