

LB/TH/16/2026
TH6175

**EVALUATING NON-STRUCTURAL INTERVENTIONS
FOR DAM SAFETY: INSIGHTS FROM HYDRAULIC
SIMULATION, STAKEHOLDER PERSPECTIVES, AND
COMMUNITY-FOCUSED PROTOTYPES**

Rahubadda Vithanage Ashen Dilruksha Rahubadda

248100K

Master's by Research

Department of Building Economics
Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

October 2025

EVALUATING NON-STRUCTURAL INTERVENTIONS FOR DAM SAFETY: INSIGHTS FROM HYDRAULIC SIMULATION, STAKEHOLDER PERSPECTIVES, AND COMMUNITY-FOCUSED PROTOTYPES

Rahubadda Vithanage Ashen Dilruksha Rahubadda

248100K

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master of
Science (Major Component of Research)

Department of Building Economics
Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

October 2025

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 01/01/2026

The above candidate has carried out research for the ~~PhD/MPhil~~ Master's thesis/dissertation under my supervision. We confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of the Supervisors	Signature of the Supervisor:	Dates
Prof. Udayangani Kulatunga		01/01/2026
Prof. Menaha Thayaparan		01/01/2026
Dr. Sandun Dassanayake		01/01/2026
Dr. Chathura De Silva		01/01/2026

DEDICATION

I DEDICATE THIS STUDY TO ALL WHO WORK TO SAFEGUARD
COMMUNITIES BY UNITING HUMAN INNOVATION WITH THE FORCES OF
NATURE, TO MY PARENTS, TEACHERS, AND FRIENDS FOR THEIR
UNWAVERING SUPPORT, AND TO THE CITIZENS OF SRI LANKA WHOSE
RESILIENCE AND CONTRIBUTIONS INSPIRE THIS RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Udayangani Kulatunga, Prof. Menaha Thayaparan, Dr. Sandun Dassanayake, and Dr. Chatura DeSilva, for their invaluable insights, guidance, encouragement, and constructive feedback throughout this research journey. Their perspectives and support were instrumental in shaping both the direction and the quality of this work.

I am also sincerely thankful to the officers of the Postgraduate Office, Faculty of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, for their kind assistance, timely clarifications, and excellent cooperation, which greatly facilitated the smooth progress of my academic work. My appreciation is further extended to the staff of the Department of Building Economics for their continued support and encouragement.

Special thanks are due to the Senate Research Grants, University of Moratuwa, for providing the financial assistance that made this research possible.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge with heartfelt gratitude the many individuals, friends, and colleagues who have supported me in countless ways during this educational journey. Although I am unable to mention each by name, their encouragement and companionship have been vital to the successful completion of this study.

ABSTRACT

Dam failures pose severe threats to both human life and socio-economic stability, often resulting in catastrophic losses. This study sets out to critically examine the socio-economic impacts of dam failures and to investigate innovative non-structural measures for risk reduction, with a focus on enhancing community preparedness. A mixed-methods approach underpinned by a pragmatist philosophy was adopted, integrating narrative and systematic literature reviews, hydraulic modelling, GIS-based analysis, agent-based modelling (ABM), stakeholder interviews, and gamification. Literature reviews synthesized global evidence on dam failure impacts and identified gaps in existing preparedness strategies. A case study of the Kantale Dam in Sri Lanka was conducted using 2D HEC-RAS modelling to simulate potential dam-break scenarios, producing detailed inundation maps and hazard parameters. These outputs were combined with socio-economic data and validated through semi-structured interviews, which provided insights into vulnerabilities and adaptive capacities of local communities. To translate complex risk information into accessible and participatory formats, the study developed a serious board game as a gamified non-structural intervention. Iteratively refined through pilot studies, the game successfully engaged participants, improved their knowledge of dam-related challenges, and fostered collaborative decision-making. The findings confirm that integrating technical modelling with community-based educational tools significantly enhances disaster preparedness. The research contributes to knowledge by advancing hybrid methodologies, demonstrating the value of ABM in simulating human behavior under disaster conditions, and pioneering a gamified approach to dam safety education. These contributions provide a replicable framework for policymakers and practitioners to strengthen dam safety management and reduce disaster risk in vulnerable regions.

Keywords: *Dam failures; Socio-economic impacts; Hydraulic modelling; Agent-Based Modelling (ABM); Non-structural measures; Gamification*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
TABLE OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
1 CHAPTER 01: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Statement	2
1.2 Aim and Objectives	3
1.2.1 Aim	3
1.2.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Scope and Limitations	4
1.4 Methodology	4
1.5 Chapter Breakdown	4
1.6 Chapter summary	5
2 CHAPTER 02: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Chapter introduction	6
2.2 Dams and their importance	6
2.3 Understanding dam-related disasters	8
2.4 Impacts of dam failures	12
2.4.1 Social Impact	13
2.4.2 Economic Impact	13
2.4.3 Environmental Impact	14
2.5 Non-Structural Measures for Dam-Related Disaster Mitigation	15
2.5.1 Early Warning Systems (EWS)	15
2.5.2 Policies, Regulations and Frameworks	17
2.5.3 Evacuation procedures during floods	18

2.5.4	Community Education and Awareness	21
2.6	Chapter Summary	23
3	CHAPTER 03: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1	Chapter introduction	24
3.2	Research design	24
3.2.1	Research philosophy	25
3.2.2	Research approach	26
3.2.3	Methodological choice.....	27
3.2.4	Research Strategy.....	28
3.2.5	Case study design.....	29
3.2.6	Time horizons	30
3.2.7	Techniques and procedures	30
3.3	Research process.....	31
3.4	Phase I: To critically review: socio-economic impacts of dam failures and non-structural measures for minimizing the impacts of dam failures.....	31
3.4.1	Phase I Stage I (PI.SI): Narrative literature review	32
3.4.2	Phase I Stage II (PI.SII): Expert Interviews.....	32
3.5	Phase II: To investigate the socio-economic impacts due to various failure scenarios	35
3.5.1	Phase II Stage I (PII.SI): Hydraulic modelling.....	35
3.5.2	Phase II Stage II (PII.SII): Geospatial Analysis.....	40
3.6	Phase III: Formulation of Prototype Non-Structural Measures	42
3.6.1	Phase III Stage I (PIII.SI): Conducting interviews	42
3.6.2	Phase III Stage II (PIII.SII): Development of the Agent Based Model	43
3.6.3	Phase III Stage III (PIII.SIII): Development of the game board.....	48
3.7	Chapter Summary	59
4	CHAPTER 04: RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	60
4.1	Chapter introduction	60
4.2	Phase I: To critically review: socio-economic impacts of dam failures and non-structural measures for minimizing the impacts of dam failures.....	60
4.2.1	Findings of PI.SI: Narrative literature review.....	60
4.2.2	Findings of PI.SII: Expert interviews	60
4.3	Phase II: Investigate the socio-economic impacts due to various failure scenarios	61

4.3.1	Findings of PII.SI: Hydraulic modeling.....	62
4.3.2	Findings of PII.SII: Geospatial analysis	63
4.3.3	Findings of PII.SIII: Expert interviews.....	67
4.3.4	Key findings obtained from phase II to reduce the impacts from dam failures 71	
4.4	Phase III: Formulation of prototype non-structural measures	71
4.4.1	Findings of PIII.SI: Expert interviews	71
4.4.2	Findings of PIII.SII: Agent-based model	72
4.4.3	Findings of PIII.SIII: Board game	76
4.5	Chapter Summary	96
5	CHAPTER 05: DISCUSSION.....	97
5.1	Chapter Introduction	97
5.2	Phase I: To critically review: socio-economic impacts of dam failures and non- structural measures for minimizing the impacts of dam failures	97
5.3	Phase II: Investigate the socio-economic impacts due to various failure scenarios	98
5.3.1	Interpretation of simulation and geospatial analysis results	98
5.3.2	Socio-economic impact from a dam failure.....	99
5.3.3	Preparedness and Community Awareness.....	100
5.4	Phase II: Formulation of Prototype Non-Structural Measures	101
5.4.1	Interpretation of the results of the Agent Based Model	101
5.4.2	Interpretation of the results of the Board Game.....	104
5.5	Final framework on non-structural measures in reducing the socio-economic impacts of dam failures	106
5.6	Chapter Summary	109
6	CHAPTER 06: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	110
6.1	Chapter introduction	110
6.1.1	Achievement of objective 1: To critically review: socio-economic impacts of dam failures and non-structural measures for minimizing the impacts of dam failures	110
6.1.2	Achievement of objective 2: To investigate the socio-economic impacts due to various failure scenarios using Simulation/ GIS/ Interviews.....	111
6.1.3	Achievement of objective 3: To identify and develop prototypes for non- structural measures.....	111

6.1.4	Achievement of objective 4: To evaluate the effectiveness of non-structural measures in minimizing the socio-economic impacts of dam failures through the developed prototypes	112
6.2	Contribution to Knowledge.....	113
6.3	Recommendations.....	113
6.4	Future Research Directions.....	114
6.5	Limitations of the Study.....	114
6.6	Concluding Remark	115
REFERENCES		116
APPENDICES		136
Appendix A – Interview Guideline		136
Appendix B – Events and the Decision Ranking.....		138
Appendix C - Questionnaire 1 for the pilot test 1		158
Appendix D – Questionnaire 2 for pilot test 2		164

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Chapter breakdown of the study	5
Figure 2.1 Typical Components of a dam	6
Figure 2.2 Classification of dams	8
Figure 2.3 Notable dam failure incidents recorded worldwide.....	9
Figure 3.1 Saunder’s research onion.....	25
Figure 3.2 Research process adopted for the study.....	31
Figure 3.3 Process followed to carry out the narrative literature review	32
Figure 3.4 Location of the dam.....	36
Figure 3.5 Process adopted to do the HEC-RAS simulation	37
Figure 3.6 (a) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and (b) Land use distribution.....	38
Figure 3.7 Cross-sections of the Kantale reservoir obtained from the HEC-RAS	39
Figure 3.8 Flow data and boundary conditions.....	39
Figure 3.9 Elevation profile along the specified station distance and the Kantale dam breach plot	40
Figure 3.10 Composite planning maps illustrating the proposed land use and infrastructure strategies under the Kantale Development Plan (2022–2031). The maps depict environmental conservation zones, proposed road and transport development, existing and proposed healthcare facilities, and educational development zones	41
Figure 3.11 Different spatial data used for the analysis.....	42
Figure 3.12 Interface of the developed agent-based model in NetLogo.....	44
Figure 3.13 Major elements within the work environment.....	45
Figure 3.14 Study selection flow diagram	51
Figure 3.15 Process of Scientometric analysis that was adopted for the study	52
Figure 3.16 Game board mechanism	53
Figure 3.17 Conceptual design of the board game	54
Figure 3.18 Detailed design of the game board	55
Figure 3.19 Final board design	56
Figure 3.20 Front view and rear view of the player cards	57
Figure 3.21 Event cards	58
Figure 3.22 Tiles that will be placed after winning a round to indicate stabilizing the dam ...	58
Figure 4.1 Flood inundation map arises from a dam breach at center point.....	62

Figure 4.2 Flood inundation map arises from a dam breach in left flank	63
Figure 4.3 Flood inundation map arises from a dam breach from the right flank	63
Figure 4.4 Geospatial and temporal analysis of the hazard: (a) (b) and (c) Spatial extent of hazard (d); Cumulative hazard curve (e); Agricultural impact	64
Figure 4.5 Results of the sensitivity analysis	66
Figure 4.6 Key findings obtained from the phase I to reduce the impacts from dam failures.	71
Figure 4.7 A baseline configuration done using the model.....	72
Figure 4.8 Sensitivity Analysis using the behavior space in Net logo	73
Figure 4.9 Results of the random forest and gradient boost analysis	74
Figure 4.10 Results of the Morris Screening	75
Figure 4.11 Keyword co-occurrence map	77
Figure 4.12 Serious games developed in different fields in disaster management	78
Figure 4.13 Pilot Study 1	86
Figure 4.14 Participants' feedback on the level of engagement of the board game	87
Figure 4.15 Level of motivation they gained from playing the game in relation to learning about dam safety and disaster management.....	87
Figure 4.16 Participants' knowledge and awareness of dam failures before playing the game	88
Figure 4.17 Participants' knowledge and awareness of dam failures after playing the game	88
Figure 4.18 Participants' views on dam-related challenges that the game helped them understand better	89
Figure 4.19 Pilot Study 2	90
Figure 4.20 Descriptive statistics for GBL and lecture methods	91
Figure 4.21 Error bar plot comparing mean effectiveness ratings (95% CI) of GBL and lecture methods	91
Figure 4.22 Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficients for GBL and lecture scales	92
Figure 4.23 Boxplot comparing distributions of GBL vs. lecture ratings.....	93
Figure 4.24 Results of paired-samples t-test.....	94
Figure 4.25 Key findings obtained from phase I to reduce the impacts from dam failures.....	95
Figure 5.1 Final framework on non-structural measures in reducing the socio-economic impacts of dam failures	108

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Components of a dam	7
Table 2.2 List of major dam failures and their impacts	9
Table 2.3 Summarization of used framework and models in past studies for assessing dam failure scenarios	20
Table 3.1 Background information of the expert interview participants	34
Table 3.2 Logic grid for PICO analysis of the study	49
Table 3.3 Eligibility criteria for selecting sources for the systematic literature review.....	50
Table 4.1 Serious games designed by previous scholars in terms of disaster education	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ABM	Agent-Based Modelling
BIM	Building Information Modeling
CBEWS	Community-Based Early Warning System
CDA	Canadian Dam Association
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DRIP	Dam Rehabilitation and Improvement Project
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
DSMP(s)	Dam Safety Management Plan(s)
EAP(s)	Emergency Action Plan(s)
EWS	Early Warning System(s)
FLUS	Future Land-Use Simulation
GISTM	Global Industry Standard on Tailings Management
GRCA	Grand River Conservation Authority
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Centre's River Analysis System
ICMM	International Council on Mining and Metals
ICOLD	International Commission on Large Dams
MCDA	Multi-Criteria Decision-Making
MNRF	Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry
OPG	Ontario Power Generation
PRI	Principles for Responsible Investment
RCC	Roller-Compacted Concrete
RSDHI	Remote Sensing-Based Dam Hazard Investigation
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
TSF(s)	Tailings Storage Facility(ies)
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix - A	Interview Guideline	139
Appendix – B	Events and Player Decision Ranking	141
Appendix – C	Questionnaire for Pilot Study 1	158
Appendix – D	Questionnaire for Pilot Study 2	164