

**EFFECT OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE ON
LANDSLIDE RECTIFICATION ACTIVITIES: A CASE
STUDY AT KADUGANNAWA LANDSLIDE**

C. T. MAMPITIYAARACHCHI

198345H

MSc/PG Diploma in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2024

**EFFECT OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE ON
LANDSLIDE RECTIFICATION ACTIVITIES: A CASE
STUDY AT KADUGANNAWA LANDSLIDE**

C. T. MAMPITIYAARACHCHI

198345H

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

MSc in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature

Signature:

Date: 17.04.2024

C. T. Mampitiyaarachchi

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof S A S Kulathilaka

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 17-4-2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest and most sincere gratitude to Prof. S. A. S. Kulathilaka, Senior Professor at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. His invaluable guidance and insights were instrumental in the successful completion of this work. I am grateful for his patience, unwavering support, and diligence, which were crucial in shaping this research thesis into its final form.

Further, it is with immense gratefulness that I would like to mention Eng. (Dr) W.A. Karunawardena, Director General of the National Building Research Organization (NBRO), for his kind support throughout my studies. I acknowledge the commitment and support of Eng. P.R.C. Ariyaratne, former Head of the Design Unit at NBRO, and my former colleagues at the Design Unit including Mr. E. V. G. A. N. Kumarasinghe the Design Engineer and Site Engineer of the Kadugannawa who all were instrumental in this memorable journey. Additionally, my heartfelt thanks go to Mr. R.M.S. Bandara, former Director of the Landslide Research and Risk Management Division (LRRMD), current Project Director of Reduction of Landslide Vulnerability by Mitigation Measures Project (RLVMMP), Dr. H. A. G. Jayatissa, Director of LRRMD and all NBRO staff who extended their support for my studies.

Last but not the least, my sincere gratitude goes to Mr. U.K.N.P. Dharmasena, Senior Geotechnical Engineer of RLVMMP and Ms. C. Abeysinghe, Site Engineer of Kadugannawa Landslide for their kind insights and generous support.

The support and encouragement of all of the above people were indispensable in completing this thesis on time for which I will be forever grateful.

ABSTRACT

Rain-induced landslides in tropical countries like Sri Lanka have increased due to changing climatic conditions, urbanization, and human intervention in vulnerable areas. In particular, modification of hill slopes for road construction have created unstable slopes, where instabilities can occur during heavy rainfall, due to excessive rainwater infiltration leading to loss of matric suction, development of perched water tables and rising groundwater table. In order to address such rain-induced landslides slope rectification measures are necessitated, especially if the landslide is located at a major transportation route. When designing slope rectification measures, it is important to address the root causes for the destabilization. Therefore, the primary focus should be to manage the surface and subsurface water regime. Some cases require additional interventions to ensure a satisfactory safety margin is achieved. Further, other case-specific requirements like road widening or space restrictions can also necessitate some additional rectification measures. However, it is of prime importance to consider the construction sequence of these different measures to come up with an optimal design that is technically and financially feasible.

A case study at Lower Kadugannawa landslide was used to examine the effect of the sequence of construction of different combinations of slope rectification measures that can provide an optimal design solution to achieve the desired safety margin during and after construction. The most economical approach to carry out the different rectification measures could be proposed through seepage and slope stability analyses using GeoStudio SEEP/W and SLOPE/W modules. The importance of carrying out the construction using the top-down approach was established.. A critical rainfall event developed based on the actual rainfall monitoring data during the landslide initiation in 2021 was used for the analyses.

Keywords: Rain-induced Landslides, Construction Sequence, Drainage Management

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	II
ABSTRACT.....	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF APPENDICES	XI
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	XII
1.1 Background.....	xii
1.2 Basic description of the landslide.....	xiii
1.3 Conceptual design of the rectification	xiii
1.4 Scope and objectives of the thesis	xiv
1.5 Thesis outline	xiv
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	16
2.1 Introduction to Landslides	16
2.2 Landslide Situation in Sri Lanka.....	16
2.3 Mechanism of Triggering Rain-induced Landslides.....	16
2.4 Rectification Measures for Rain-induced Landslides	18
2.4.1 Drainage Improvement.....	18
2.4.3 Modification of Slope Geometry	28
2.4.4 Retaining Structures.....	29
2.5 Analysis and Design of Rectification Measures	34
2.5.1 Seepage analysis for infiltration modelling	34
2.5.2 Slope stability analysis by Limit Equilibrium Method	36
2.5.3 Combined Analysis through SEEP/W and SLOPE/W.....	37

2.5.4	Modelling the Rectification Process	37
2.6	Effect of Construction sequence.....	39
2.6.1	Rectification Works at Badulusirigama Landslide.....	39
2.6.2	Rectification works at Ginigathena Landslide	40
CHAPTER 3 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE LANDSLIDE, DETAILED INVESTIGATION AND SUBSURFACE IDEALIZATION.....		42
3.1	Detailed Description of the Landslide	42
3.2	Geomorphological, Geological, and hydrological features.....	46
3.3	Mechanism of failure	47
3.4	Conceptual design of rectification measures	47
3.5	Detailed investigation for the design of rectification measures.....	49
3.5.1	Topographical survey	49
3.5.2	Geotechnical Investigations	50
Subsurface profile idealization		50
3.6.3	Geophysical Investigations	52
3.6	Subsurface Profile Idealized by Detailed Investigations	53
CHAPTER 4 RECTIFICATION DESIGN AND ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE.....		55
4.1	Analyzing Conditions.....	55
4.1.1	Development of the computer models	55
4.1.2	Slope Stability Assessment through SLOPE/W	55
4.1.3	Seepage Analysis through SEEP/W	56
4.2	Modeling the Failure – Back Analysis.....	60
4.3	Existing stability assessment	62
4.4	Designing of rectification measures	63
4.4.1	Modification of groundwater regime	64
4.4.2	Modification of slope geometry	64
4.4.3	Increasing of internal stability by Soil Nailing	64
4.4.4	Increasing of stability by a toe retaining structure	64
4.4.5	Overall Stability Improvement.....	65
4.5	Assessing the effect of construction sequence on design optimization	66

4.6	Recommended Construction Sequence to be adopted	83
CHAPTER 5	- CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	84
REFERENCES.....		87
APPENDIX A: BOREHOLE LOGS.....		94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 2.1:	Effect of Rainfall Infiltration into an unstable slope (Alsubal, Sapari, Harahap, & Al-Bared, 2009)	17
Figure 2.2:	Surface Drain System Introduced at Athuela Landslide consisted of Cutoff Drains and Cascade Drains	19
Figure 2.3:	Cutoff Drains constructed to divert surface water away from unstable slope at Mahamewnawa under RLVMMP (NBRO, 2023)	20
Figure 2.4:	Cascade drains used for landslide rectification works at Athu Ela under RLVMMP (NBRO, 2023)	20
Figure 2.5:	Trapezoidal shaped drain lining the valley bordering the unstable slope at Ihala Kudaligama under RLVMMP (NBRO, 2023)	20
Figure 2.6:	Surface drainage system above toe wall at Beragala Landslide (CRIP)	20
Figure 2.7:	Rectification design of Athuela Landslide consisted of surface and subsurface drainage measures (NBRO, 2023)	21
Figure 2.8:	Surface and subsurface drainage (drainage well) arrangement at Lunugala Landslide (LDPP)	22
Figure 2.9:	Conceptual Design for Rain-Induced Landslide Stabilization (Rahardjo et al. 2003)	22
Figure 2.10:	Typical detail for horizontal drains locally adopted (NBRO)	24
Figure 2.11:	Application of Horizontal Drains at Bulathkohupitiya Landslide (RLVMMP)	25
Figure 2.12:	Horizontal drains at the toe region of the landslide at CTC (CRIP Road Project)	25
Figure 2.13:	Use of horizontal directional drilling along the deep slip surface of the Watawala Landslide (Rajaratnam & Bhandari, 1994)	26
Figure 2.14:	Drilling for Horizontal Drain Fans at Badulusirigama Landslide (TCLMP)	27
Figure 2.15:	Fan shaped horizontal drain arrangement at Kithulgala Landslide under CRIP Road Project (2017)	27
Figure 2.16:	Application of horizontal drain fans at Badulusirigama Landslide (Amada, 2016)	27
Figure 2.17:	Drilling of horizontal fan drains at the bottom of a drainage well at Kahagalla Landslide (Thilakarathna, 2022)	28
Figure 2.18:	Unloading the landslide crown region and loading the toe area ((Studio Geotecnico Italiano, Milan, Italy, 2012)	29
Figure 2.19:	Schematic diagram illustrating geometry modification to reduce landslide risk ((Studio Geotecnico Italiano, Milan, Italy, 2012)	29
Figure 2.20:	Toe Gabion Wall at Kandy Mahiyanganaya Road L08 under CRIP Road Project	30
Figure 2.21:	Two zone system of a soil nailed slope ((GEO, Hong Kong, 2008))	31

Figure 2.22: Free body diagram of a slice considered in the analysis showing the effect of soil nail	38
Figure 2.23: Cross Section of Proposed Mitigation Measures (National Building Research Organisation, 2016)	41
Figure 3.1: Google Map of indicating the location of Lower Kadugannawa Landslide	42
Figure 3.2: Drone Image of the landslide location (NBRO, 2022)	43
Figure 3.3: View at the toe of the landslide post-failure	43
Figure 3.4: Tension cracks appearing at the bedrock soil slope boundary at the crown	44
Figure 3.5: Tension cracks that appeared at the landslide crown region	44
Figure 3.6: Completely damage shops in the road toe region	44
Figure 3.7: Cracked buildings at the toe	44
Figure 3.8: Affected slope segment beneath the steep rock escarpment	45
Figure 3.9: Topographic survey plan (NBRO, 2021)	49
Figure 3.10: Borehole Locations	50
Figure 3.11: Geophysical traverse lines	52
Figure 3.12: Assumed subsurface profile along Seismic Survey line 01	52
Figure 3.13: Assumed subsurface profile along Seismic Survey line 02	53
Figure 3.14: Assumed subsurface profile along Seismic Survey line 03	53
Figure 3.15: Subsurface profile idealized by detailed investigation (CS 05)	54
Figure 4.1: Soil Water Characteristic Curves used for the soil layers	57
Figure 4.2: Hydraulic Conductivity Functions used for the analyses	57
Figure 4.3: Boundary Conditions Assigned to the Seep/W Model	59
Figure 4.4: Daily Rainfall Record from 10th October 2021 to 10th November 2021	59
Figure 4.5: Seepage model results of the back analysis of CS 05 at critical rainfall event	60
Figure 4.6: Factor of safety at critical rainfall event at CS 05	61
Figure 4.7: Variation of FoS with critical rainfall event	61
Figure 4.8: Initial groundwater table at the existing condition of the slope	62
Figure 4.9: Results of the stability analysis of CS 05 at critical rainfall event	62
Figure 4.10: Pore water profile at critical rainfall event at existing condition	62
Figure 4.11: Variation of FoS with critical rainfall event at existing condition	63
Figure 4.12: Toe wall proposed for toe protection	65
Figure 4.13: Cross section of proposed mitigation measures	65
Figure 4.14: Stability of the cut at no water table condition at CS 05	67
Figure 4.15: Results of the stability analysis of CS 05 at low water table condition	68
Figure 4.16: Slope stability analysis result at Day 16 with a 2.5m deep cut for soil nailing	69
Figure 4.17: Seepage analysis results for a partial excavation for nailed slope of a 2.5m depth (CS 05)	69
Figure 4.18: FoS variation with time against the critical rainfall of CS 05	69
Figure 4.19: Stability analysis results of CS 05 with a 5m deep cut at dry condition.	70

Figure 4.20: Stability of the section with a 7m deep cut at low water table condition	70
Figure 4.21: Stability of the slope with the application of soil nails at the uppercut	71
Figure 4.22: Slope stability of CS 05 after soil nailing and excavation for the toe wall at critical rainfall condition	72
Figure 4.23: Variation of FoS with time	72
Figure 4.24: Seepage analysis results at critical rainfall with a toe horizontal drain to existing slope (CS 05)	74
Figure 4.25: FoS after the application of toe horizontal drains at existing condition at critical rainfall (CS 05)	75
Figure 4.26: Slope stability of CS 05 after the application of toe horizontal drains and surface drainage management at critical rainfall (CS 05)	75
Figure 4.27: Stability of CS 05 at bottom-up approach at low water table condition	76
Figure 4.28: Stability of a 5m deep cut with horizontal drains and surface drainage management at critical rainfall (CS 05)	77
Figure 4.29: Slope stability of an excavation of 7m deep cut (CS 05) at critical rainfall	77
Figure 4.30: Stability of the slope after application of the top 5 nail rows	78
Figure 4.31: Stability of CS 05 when excavated for toe wall at critical water table condition	78
Figure 4.32: Stability of CS 05 when excavated for toe wall at low water table condition	78
Figure 4.33: Stability analysis results of the slope (CS 05) with the effect of the berm drains at critical rainfall condition	80
Figure 4.34: Slope stability of CS 05 after the application of 15m horizontal drains at the upper cut at critical rainfall event	80
Figure 4.35: Stability of CS05 after the application of toe horizontal drain at critical rainfall event	81
Figure 4.36: Stability analysis of CS 05 modeling the effect of two horizontal drain rows at upper cut and lower cut at critical rainfall condition	81
Figure 4.37: Stability analysis results of CS 05 modelling the effect of the vegetation cover at nailed slope	82
Figure 4.38: Option 2.2: The recommended construction sequence to be adopted for an optimized design solution	83

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2.1:	Different Failure Modes and Equations (GEO, Hong Kong, 2008)	32
Table 2.2:	Recommended minimum FoS values against internal stability of a soil nail ((GEO, Hong Kong, 2008))	33
Table 3.1:	Locations of boreholes	50
Table 3.2:	Subsurface profile idealization	51
Table 3.3:	Shear strength parameters selected for the material layers	54
Table 4.1:	Input Data for the SLOPE/W model	56
Table 4.2:	Input Data for the SEEP/W model	56
Table 4.3:	Soil Water Characteristic Curve data input	57
Table 4.4:	Boundary Condition Input Data	58
Table 4.5:	Proposed slope rectification measures	63

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix - A	Detailed Borehole Logs	115