

DEVELOPMENT OF A TOOL FOR ROADSIDE SLOPE RISK ASSESSMENT IN SRI LANKA

Vidana Gamage Dilsha Gangani

198333U

Degree of Master of Science in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2024

DEVELOPMENT OF A TOOL FOR ROADSIDE SLOPE RISK ASSESSMENT IN SRI LANKA

Vidana Gamage Dilsha Gangani

198333U

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Science in Geotechnical Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

April 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

.....

Date: 14.04.2024

V.G.D. Gangani

The above candidate has carried out research for the master's thesis under my supervision.

.....

Date: 14.04.2024

Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka

ABSTRACT

Sri Lanka has experienced a huge number of slope failures, cutting failures and landslides along the road network throughout the island. Usually, roadside slope risk assessments are carried out after such failure or with the appearance of early signs of failure. Consequent risk mitigation design and construction are carried out considering the area subjected to failure or susceptible to failure.

Although, a considerable region along a particular road may have the same geological and geomorphological conditions, in this approach the risk is assessed only at a single point after any incident is reported. There is no proper methodology to assess the overall risk along the road.

As such, there is a necessity to streamline the process of risk assessment, to minimize the probability of further failures along a mitigated road. A national level program should be implemented to assess the level of risk along the road network and develop a timeline for mitigation based on the identified risk level. As the first step of the process, a proper method shall be developed to streamline the risk assessment procedure. The most preferred method for a proper assessment is to maintain a checklist as a tool that can be used by a professional with some knowledge and experience regarding road slope failures. As the second step, a database should be prepared with road slopes categorized according to identified risk levels and mitigation plans, which include mitigation design, estimated budget, and a timeline for mitigation work.

The objective of this study is to develop a checklist for roadside slope risk assessment. The objective is to be achieved through field observations, data collection and analysis, geotechnical & geological investigations, and slope stability analysis.

Key words: roadside slope failures, risk assessment, mitigation

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilake, Senior Professor of the Department of Civil Engineering for his guidance and enormous support extended for the success of the research.

My sincere gratitude is also extended to Eng. (Dr) W.A. Karunawardena, Director General of National Building Research Organisation (NBRO), for his guidance, encouragement, and continuous support throughout the master's program.

I appreciate the enormous support given by Mr. K.N. Bandara, Director, Geotechnical Engineering Division of the National Building Research Organisation. I extend my gratitude to Dr. H.A.G. Jayathissa, Mr. P.R.C. Ariyaratna, Mr. H.M.L. Indrathilake and Mr. A.G.R.P. Weerasinghe for the valuable time and knowledge shared during the preparation of the checklists.

I wish to thank staff members who serve in the Geotechnical Engineering Laboratory and all the other staff members of Geotechnical Engineering division of NBRO for being so supportive in the field work.

Finally, I would like to personally thank my colleagues and family members for helping me all the possible ways they could.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT.....	2
1.3	SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS.....	4
1.4	OUTLINE OF THESIS	4
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	6
2.2	QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENTS.....	6
2.3	QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENTS	11
2.3.1	Slope Geometry	11
2.3.2	Slope Features, Geology & Geomorphology.....	11
2.3.3	Evidence of previous failures and adverse features.....	12
2.3.4	Installed Drainage, Water Carrying services/Infrastructure.	12
2.3.5	Condition Affecting Infiltration Potential.....	12
2.3.6	Visible seepage	12
2.3.7	Potentially affected development or features.....	13
2.3.8	Existing stabilization measures and possible slope remedial measures	13
2.4	ROCKFALL RATING SYSTEMS.....	14
2.5	CONCLUDING REMARKS.....	16
CHAPTER 3	DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHECKLIST.....	18
3.1	BACKGROUND.....	18
3.2	DISASTER RISK EQUATION	19
3.3	STRUCTURE OF THE CHECKLIST.....	20
3.4	LOCATION DETAILS.....	20
3.5	FIELD SKETCHES.....	21
3.5.1	Failure Categories	22
3.5.2	Hazard Assessment	22
3.5.3	Vulnerability Assessment	29
3.5.4	Assessed Risk Factor	30
CHAPTER 4	APPLICATION OF THE CHECKLIST.....	31

4.1	SELECTION OF A PILOT ROAD SEGMENT	31
4.2	APPLICATION OF THE CHECKLIST	33
4.3	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	35
4.3.1	Failure type	35
4.3.2	Material properties	35
4.3.3	Slope geometry	37
4.3.4	Water condition & infiltration potential	37
4.3.5	Special Features	38
4.3.6	Hazard Score	39
4.3.7	Vulnerability	39
4.3.8	Assessed risk level	40
CHAPTER 5	VERIFICATION OF THE CHECKLIST	42
5.1	INTRODUCTION	42
5.2	COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS	42
5.3	NUMERICAL ANALYSIS FOR CUT SLOPE ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST	48
5.4	VALIDATION OF THE MODIFIED CHECKLIST	50
5.5	DISCUSSION	52
CHAPTER 6	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	53
REFERENCES		56

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Outline of Risk Management Procedure (GSRA, 2014)	8
Figure 2-2: Indicative Likelihood, Temporal Probability and Vulnerability (GSRA, 2014).....	9
Figure 3-1: Structure of the Checklist	20
Figure 4-1: Model site selected for the assessment (Source: Google map)	32
Figure 4-2: Potential failure locations and Rain gauge stations.....	33
Figure 4-3: Checklist records for failure type (KB 10)	35
Figure 4-4: Site condition and field observations (KB 10)	36
Figure 4-5: Checklist scores for soil properties (KB 10)	36
Figure 4-6: Checklist scores for slope geometry (KB 10).....	37
Figure 4-7: Checklist scores for water condition & infiltration potential (KB 10)...	38
Figure 4-8: Checklist scores for Special features (KB 10).....	38
Figure 4-9: Calculation of hazard score (KB 10)	39
Figure 4-10: Calculation of vulnerability score (KB 10)	39
Figure 4-11: Calculation of assessed risk level (KB 10).....	40
Figure 5-1: Photographs of KB 05	43
Figure 5-2: Photographs of KB 10	44
Figure 5-3: Photographs of KB 30	45
Figure 5-4: Passara – Bibila Road (Source: Google map)	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Consequence rating based on consequential effects (GSRA, 2014).....	9
Table 2-2: Consequence rating based on loss of life (GSRA, 2014).....	10
Table 2-3: Assessed Risk Level based Consequence and Likelihood (GSRA, 2014)	10
Table 2-4: Summary of Rockfall Hazard Rating System (Lawrence A. P, 1993)	15
Table 3-1: Summary of the hazard assessment factors for soil cut slopes.	24
Table 3-2: Summary of the hazard assessment factors for rock slope failures.	25
Table 3-3. Elements at Risk.....	29
Table 4-1: Comparison of model road selection criteria	32
Table 4-2. Summary of road slope risk assessment at Kegalle – Bulathkohupitiya – Karawanella Road (A21).....	34
Table 4-3: Detailed scores for soil cut slope failure locations at Kegalle – Bulathkohupitiya – Karawanella Road (A21)	41
Table 5-1: Rainfall threshold limits for the verification process.....	46
Table 5-2: Comparison of Assessment Results	47
Table 5-3: Initial score allocation for assessment criterion.....	48
Table 5-4: Results of the numerical analysis.....	50
Table 5-5: Summary of the validation assessment	52

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Draft Checklist.....	57
Appendix 2: Sample Checklists.....	64
Appendix 3: Summary of verification analysis.....	80
Appendix 4: Updated checklist.....	82