

**DEVELOPING A COMPRESSED ENGINEERING SOIL
BLOCK STABILIZED WITH BOTTOM ASH AND PADDY
HUSK ASH**

K.A.D.S.N Kumarapeli

(199618G)

Master of Science in Materials Science

**Department of Materials Science and Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka**

May 2024

**DEVELOPING A COMPRESSED ENGINEERING SOIL
BLOCK STABILIZED WITH BOTTOM ASH AND PADDY
HUSK ASH**

**Kumarapeli Arachchige Don Sadirisge Nimal Kumarapeli
(199618G)**

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree.
Master of Science

Department of Materials Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2024

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the current work is wholly original to me, and that no material previously submitted for a degree or diploma at another university or institution of higher learning has been included in my thesis or dissertation without appropriate acknowledgement. To the greatest extent of my understanding and belief, it also doesn't include any content that has already been published or authored by someone else, unless it's specifically recognized in the text. Additionally, I confirm that the research report's details were exclusively conducted by me under the guidance of Eng. S.P. Guluwita, a Senior Lecturer. I assure that all information contained within this research report is accurate and reliable to the best of my knowledge.

Name: K. A. D. S. N. Kumarapeli Signature: _____ Date: _____

Under my supervision, the aforementioned candidate conducted research for the master's dissertation.

Supervised by: (Senior Lecture Department of Material Science and Engineering)

Eng. S. P. Guluwita Signature: _____ Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to begin by extending my sincere appreciation to Mr. V. Sivahar, the esteemed Head of the Department of Material Science and Engineering, for graciously affording us access to the essential resources and amenities required for our endeavours.

I would like to thank Eng. S.P. Guluwita (Senior Lecturer, University of Moratuwa) for the help and support rendered in the capacity of project supervisor, without which this project would never have been completed. I extend my sincere gratitude for the guidance and directions provided since the beginning of the research project.

My thanks and appreciation also go to Course Coordinators Prof. G.A. Sewvandi and Dr. D. Artigala and all the staff members of the department of Materials Science and Engineering.

The author wishes to thank the staff for their contributions. of Samanala Ready Mix (Pvt) Ltd. and Kanola Engineering (Pvt) Ltd. for their invaluable assistance in providing testing facilities and unwavering support throughout the duration of the project.

I am also thankful to my wife, parents, and everyone else who have helped me in various ways during the past two years.

Abstract

Building bricks are extensively used in construction projects in Sri Lanka as well as worldwide. As the population continues to grow at a rapid pace, various types of industrial waste materials, including fly ash, bottom ash, paddy husk ash, and fuel sludge, are frequently released into the natural environment. The research study aims to develop economical, lightweight, low-water absorption, and low thermal conductivity sustainable compressed soil stabilized blocks using bottom ash, paddy husk ash, and cement. It is subsequent help of reducing environmental impact through the use of industrial waste as a stabilizer, resulting in an eco-friendly product. The study focused on utilizing agricultural waste materials like rice husk ash and readily available bottom ash from the Norochcholai power plant to enhance the strength characteristics of soil. The research involved mixing bottom ash, paddy husk ash, and a constant 3% cement content to stabilize the soil, with varying percentages of paddy husk ash and bottom ash by dry weight of soil. A total of 450 sample blocks were produced based on the proposed mix design. These blocks underwent several tests, including compressive strength, water absorption, and dry density tests, to determine the optimal ratio. The results indicated that a soil-stabilized block with a weight percentage of 12%, 20% and 3% bottom ash to paddy husk ash and cement respectively by weight of dry soil, exhibited a maximum compressive strength of 4.63 N/mm², a density of 1.756 g/cm³, and a water absorption rate of 15.11% after 28 days of curing. As per the study of the reliability of the manufacturing soil stabilized soil blocks for the industry, the final results suggest robust evidence for the reliability of Group 2-A4 mix proportion (12% bottom ash, 20% paddy husk ash, 3% cement by weight of dry soil), which is a stronger group to recommend for the future generation. Moreover, it is a credible, eco-friendly, and sustainable product for the industry.

Keywords – *stabilised soil blocks, with bottom ash, paddy husk ash*

Contents

List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Analysis of Paddy Husk ash and Bottom Ash.....	3
1.2 Objective of Research.....	6
2. Literature Review	7
2.1 Soil Use as Construction Material.....	7
2.2 Stabilization of Soil.....	7
2.3 Soil Stabilized with Fly Ash.....	7
2.4 Alternative for River Sand.....	7
2.5 Effect of Rice Husk and Rice Husk Ash to Properties of Bricks.....	8
2.6 Theoretical Approach and Standard Use for the Bricks Manufacturing.....	8
2.7 Chemical and Mechanical Properties of Paddy Husk Ash.....	8
2.8 Use as Green Products of Coal Power Waste.....	8
2.9 Soil Stabilized Bottom Ash Bricks.....	8
2.10 Effective of Pozzolanic Activity for Dry Compressive Strength.....	9
2.11 Soil Classification.....	9
3. Methodology	10
3.1 List of Equipments and Materials Used	10
3.2 Raw Materials Collection.....	10
3.3 Raw Materials Preparation.....	12
3.4 Mix Proportions.....	13
3.5 Samples Metrics	16
3.6 Preparation of Sample for Proposed Soil Block.....	19
3.7 Testing of Physical Properties.....	19
3.8 Analysis of Data.....	19
4. Results and Discussion	22
4.1 Soil Sampling and Classification of Soil.....	22
4.2 Sieve Analysis of Paddy Husk Ash.....	22
4.3 Sieve Analysis of Bottom Ash.....	22
4.4 Properties of Soil Block.....	23

4.5 Data Analysis.....	..35
4.6 Cost Analysis of Optimized Sample.....	..50
4.7 Thermal Conductivity of Optimized Sample.....	..52
5. Conclusion and Recommendation.....	51
5.1 Conclusions.....	53
5.2 Recommendations.....	54
5.3 Limitation.....	51
References.....	55

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Chemical Composition of Paddy Husk Ash (idb)

Table 1.2 Chemical Composition of Coal Bottom Ash and Natural River Sand

Table 3.1 Group -1 Mix Proportions

Table 3.2 Group -2 Mix Proportions

Table 3.3 Group -3 Mix Proportions

Table 3.4 Samples Metrics

Table 4.1 Soil Sample Number One Collected from Anurhadapura Eppawala Area.

Table 4.2 Soil Sample Two Collected from Kabithigollawa Area.

Table 4.3 Soil Sample Three Collected from Polonnaruwa Area.

Table 4.4 Soil Sampled No-01 Average Compressive Strength Test Results (28 Days).

Table 4.5 Soil Sampled No-01 Average Dry Density Test Results.

Table 4.6 Soil Sampled No-01 Average Water Absorption Test Results.

Table 4.7 Soil Sampled No-02 Average Compressive Strength Test Results (28 Day's)

Table 4.8 Soil Sampled No-02 Average Dry Density Test Results.

Table 4.9 Soil Sampled No-02 Average Water Absorption Test Results.

Table 4.10 Soil Sample No- 03 Average Compressive Strength Test Results (28 Day's)

Table 4.11 Soil Sample No- 03 Average Dry Density Test Result.

Table 4.12 Soil Sample No- 03 Average Water Absorption Test Result.

Table 4.13 Mix Proportions Group 1,2 and 3 Paired Samples Statistics- T-Test

Table 4.14 The Paired Samples Groups Correlations

Table 4.15 The Paired Samples Groups Test

Table 4.16 The Multivariate Tests- ANOVA

Table 4.17 Tests of Between-Subjects Effects and variable of data Group 2 and 3

Table 4.18 Paired Samples Statistics-T-Test group 1,2 and 3

Table 4.19 The Paired Samples Testes Data Correlations

Table 4.20 Paired Differences (Group 1 and Group 2 compressive strengthen data)

Table 4.21 Multivariate Tests

Table 4.22 The Paired Samples Statistics -T-Test (Group 1,2 and 3)

Table 4.23 The Paired Samples Data Correlations

Table 4.24 The Paired Group Samples Test Data

Table 4.25 Multivariate Tests -General Linear Model

Table 4.26 The Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Layout Plan of the Stabilised Block Casting Machine

Figure 3.2 Bottom Ash Preparation

Figure 3.3 Soil Sieve Analysis

Figure 3.4 Raw Materials Mixing

Figure 3.5 Raw materials add to Mechanical Mixture

Figure 3.6 Example Method and models of Soil Bick Casting for Eppawal Area Soil Sample

Figure 3.7 Soil Block Casting

Figure 4.1 Sieve Analysis of Soil Sample No-01

Figure 4.2 Soil Sample Sieve Analysis Sample No-02

Figure 4.3 Sieve Analysis Sample No 03

Figure 4.4 Sieve Analysis of Paddy Husk Ash

Figure 4.5 Sieve Analysis of Bottom Ash

Figure 4.6 Average Compressive Strength Sample No-01 Stabilized Blocks

Figure 4.7 Average Dry Density Sample No-01 Stabilized Blocks

Figure 4.8 Average Water Absorption Sample No-01 Stabilised Blocks

Figure 4.9 Average Compressive Strength Sample No-02 Stabilized Blocks

Figure 4.10 Average Dry Density Sample N0-2 Stabilized Block

Figure 4.11 Average Water Absorption Sample No-02 Stabilized Blocks

Figure 4.12 Average Compressive Strength Sample No-03 Blocks

Figure 4.13 Average Dry Density Sample No-03 Blocks

Figure 4.14 Average Water Absorption Sample No-03 Blocks