

DESIGNING AND DEVELOPING A PROTOTYPE OF SMART AND HYBRID PAVING SYSTEM

Nipun Rochana Kumarage

(218070M)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2022

DESIGNING AND DEVELOPING A PROTOTYPE OF SMART AND HYBRID PAVING SYSTEM

Nipun Rochana Kumarage

(218070M)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

November 2022

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning, and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa, the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part, in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or in part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature : ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date : 16/12/2022

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master thesis under my supervision.

Name of Supervisor : Prof. (Mrs.) C. Jayasinghe

Signature of Supervisor : ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date : 18/12/2022

Name of Supervisor : Dr K.P.H. Perera

Signature of Supervisor : ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date : 18/12/2022

Acknowledgement

First, I am immensely grateful to my research supervisors, Prof (Mrs) C. Jayasinghe of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa and Dr K.P.H. Perera of the Applied Research and Innovation Services, Southern Alberta Institute of Technology, Canada for their invaluable guidance and support throughout the research period.

The support given by Prof. (Mrs.) C. Jayasinghe (Head, Department of Civil Engineering), Dr. T.M.N. Wijayaratna (Research Coordinator, Department of Civil Engineering) is also greatly appreciated.

I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. K.K.G.K.D. Kariyawasam (Senior Lecturer, UOM) for his valuable support, encouragement and guidance given to me throughout the research.

I also extend academic staff of the Department of Civil Engineering at University of Moratuwa and to everyone who helped me in completing this research successfully.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for their unwavering support throughout my studies, and my brother for his assistance showed towards completing this project.

Abstract

Landfilling has now become a prime concern all around the world, not only because it squanders usable land, it causes major environmental issues such as contamination of soil, water, and air, as well as an impact on human health. Glass is one of these waste products which is often dumped when mixed with impurities. A similar landfill was found in a Sri Lankan glass factory dumping its waste for over 20 years. Moreover, one of the major drawbacks of Permeable Interlocking Concrete Paving (PICP) is its unsatisfying appearance. Although many researchers created better aesthetic appearances for PICP, none were cost-effective. Hence, a study was implemented to solve these two issues by developing sustainable paving blocks with smart top-layer customizations utilizing this glass waste. Initially, finite element modelling was used to determine the optimal paving block dimensions, and 200x100x80 mm³ was discovered to be the ideal paving block dimension for cobble-type paving blocks. Following that, tests were performed on glass waste to determine its suitability for use as fine aggregate. In all tests, glass waste exhibited favourable results. As a result, a mix design was developed using this glass waste, and it was discovered that it could replace 100% of the fine aggregate while maintaining structural strength. Three alternatives were proposed to meet the customers' aesthetic satisfaction and were tested in accordance with the SLS 1425 standard, in which all three alternatives resulted satisfactorily. Finally, a Life Cycle Costing Assessment (LCCA) was performed to determine the economic feasibility of the proposed products. LCCA indicated that when only mortar is considered, the proposed mix has a 37% cost reduction, and after smart top layer customizations, two alternatives had 6% and 5% cost reductions, while the final alternative had a 17% cost increment compared to existing paving blocks.

Table of Contents

Declaration	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Equations	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	2
1.3 Research Aim	2
1.4 Research Objectives	2
1.5 Research Methodology	3
1.6 Major findings	3
1.7 Thesis Outline	4
2: Literature Review	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Importance of permeable paving systems	5
2.3 Different paving systems	6
2.3.1 Porous Asphalt Paving	7
2.3.2 Permeable concrete Paving	8
2.3.3 Permeable Interlocking Concrete Paving	9
2.3.4 Plastic Grid Paving System	11
2.4 Characteristics of permeable paving systems	12

2.4.1	Infiltration of Surface Water	12
2.4.2	Clogging of pavement.....	13
2.4.3	Maintenance of Pavement.....	14
2.4.4	Summary of the characteristics of the permeable pavement systems	15
2.5	Selection of the best paving system for development.....	15
2.5.1	Advantages of the considered permeable paving systems.....	16
2.5.2	Disadvantages of the considered paving systems	17
2.5.3	Selection of the best pavement system for development	18
2.6	Standards for testing	19
2.7	Selection of innovative material for the paving blocks.....	20
2.7.1	Creating paving blocks with aircrete	20
2.7.2	Creating a paving block with granite	22
2.7.3	Creating paving blocks with glass waste	23
3:	Determining Optimum Paving Block Dimensions using FEM.....	26
3.1	Finite element modelling.....	26
3.1.1	Properties of the model and subgrade layers	26
3.1.2	FEM model development.....	27
3.1.3	Loading conditions.....	28
3.1.4	Validation of the model	28
3.1.5	Development of the alternative paving block dimensions.....	29
3.1.6	The meshing of the model.....	30
3.1.7	Surface tolerance standard	31
3.1.8	FEM Analysis	31
3.2	Finite element modelling results and discussion.....	31
4:	Experimental Procedure.....	35

4.1	Tests on aggregates	35
4.1.1	Sieve Analysis of aggregate.....	35
4.1.2	Silt Content of aggregate	36
4.1.3	SSD Specific gravity of aggregate	37
4.1.4	Water absorption of aggregate	39
4.2	Optimum mix design.....	40
4.2.1	Design of the reference mix.....	40
4.2.2	Testing of the reference mix for 7-day and 28-day compressive strength.....	41
4.2.3	Relationship between 7-day and 28-day compressive strength gain	41
4.2.4	Replacement of fine aggregate with glass waste aggregate...	42
4.2.5	Determination of optimum fine aggregate replacement ratio with glass waste	42
4.2.6	Determining the optimum free water content for the selected replacement ratio and the optimum mix design.....	43
4.2.7	Testing of the optimum mix design for 7-day and 28-day compressive strength.....	44
4.3	Testing of the proposed paving block	45
4.3.1	Compressive strength test on paving alternatives.....	46
4.3.2	Slip and skid resistance test on paving alternatives	47
4.3.3	Total water absorption test on paving alternatives	48
4.3.4	Abrasion Resistance test on paving alternatives	50
5:	Life Cycle Costing Assessment (LCCA).....	52
5.1	Initial cost.....	52
5.2	Maintenance and rehabilitation cost.....	55
5.3	Residual Value	57

5.4	Life Cycle Costing Assessment (LCCA)	58
6:	Discussion and Selection of the best alternative.....	59
7:	Conclusion.....	63
	Reference List.....	64

List of Tables

Table 1: Thesis Outline	4
Table 2: Summary of the characteristics of permeable pavement systems ...	15
Table 3: Advantages of the considered permeable paving systems.....	16
Table 4: Disadvantages of the considered permeable paving systems	17
Table 5: International standards of structural strength recommendation on paving blocks	19
Table 6: Material properties used in the FEM model	27
Table 7: Alternative paving block sizes.....	30
Table 8: Calculation of silt content of fine aggregate.....	37
Table 9: Calculation of SSD-specific gravity of fine aggregate.....	38
Table 10: Calculation of water absorption of fine aggregate.....	39
Table 11: Prediction of 28-day compressive strength with replacement of fine aggregate with glass waste.....	43
Table 12: Test results of slip and skid resistance test for proposed alternative paving blocks	48
Table 13: Test results of water absorption test for proposed alternative paving blocks	49
Table 14: Unit cost calculation between proposed mix and industrial mix ...	53
Table 15: Final unit cost per block for each proposed alternatives	54
Table 16: Total Initial cost for proposed alternatives	55
Table 17: Maintenance and rehabilitation plan of Interlocking concrete block pavements	56
Table 18: Maintenance and rehabilitation costs for proposed alternatives up to 30 years.....	56
Table 19: Calculation of discounted residual values for proposed alternatives	57
Table 20: Life Cycle Costing of proposed alternatives	58
Table 21: Selection of the best alternative.....	61

List of Figures

Figure 1: Proposed Methodology.....	3
Figure 2: Porous Asphalt Pavement.....	7
Figure 3: Permeable Concrete Pavement.....	8
Figure 4: Permeable interlocking concrete pavement.....	10
Figure 5: Substructure of PICP Pavement	10
Figure 6: Plastic Grid Pavement filled with grass and gravel.....	12
Figure 7: Foam generator.....	21
Figure 8: Generated foam	21
Figure 9: Aircrete mixing equipment.....	21
Figure 10: Picture of the inside of the aircrete mixing equipment	21
Figure 11: Sanitary glass waste landfills at Horana glass factory	24
Figure 12: Sanitary glass waste landfills at Horana glass factory	24
Figure 13: Landfill glass waste material.....	25
Figure 14: Used glass products fed to the washing drum	25
Figure 15: Washing drum of the recycling plant	25
Figure 16: Section view of the FEM model [128]	27
Figure 17: Boundary and loading conditions [128].....	28
Figure 18: Boundary conditions of the ABAQUS model [128]	28
Figure 19: Verification of the ABAQUS model [128]	29
Figure 20: Meshing of the model [128]	30
Figure 21: Mesh sensitivity analysis for alternative 1 [128]	31
Figure 22: Vertical deflection of ABAQUS FEM for alternative 1 [128].....	32
Figure 23: Vertical deflection at the centre cross-section of alternative 1 [128].....	32
Figure 24: Maximum vertical deflection [128].....	33
Figure 25: Sieve analysis of Fine aggregate	36
Figure 26: Sieve shaker used for the sieve analysis.....	36
Figure 27: Photo of the graduated cylinder after sedimentation to calculate silt content.....	37
Figure 28: Pycnometer measurements obtained during the SSD specific gravity calculation.....	38

Figure 29: Measuring the weight of dry aggregate for water absorption calculation of aggregates.....	39
Figure 30: Methodology for determining the optimum mix.....	40
Figure 31: 7-day and 28-day compressive strengths of reference mix design	41
Figure 32: 7-day compressive strength of paving blocks after replacing fine aggregate with glass waste aggregate	42
Figure 33: Variation of compressive strength with free water content.....	44
Figure 34: 7-day and 28-day compressive strengths of the final optimum mix design	45
Figure 35: Proposed Alternative 1	45
Figure 36: Proposed Alternative 2	45
Figure 37: Proposed Alternative 3	45
Figure 38: 4mm plywood packing provided at the time of testing	46
Figure 39: Machine used for compression test on blocks.....	46
Figure 40: Compressive strength test results of alternatives	47
Figure 41: Pendulum Friction Tester with the test specimen used for slip and skid resistance test.....	48
Figure 42: Water absorption test results of paving alternatives.....	49
Figure 43: Oven used to conduct the water absorption of the paving alternatives	50
Figure 44 : Sandblasting apparatus	50
Figure 45: Abrasion test results of the alternatives.....	51
Figure 46: Existing cobble-type paving blocks	59
Figure 47: Colour code used in Table 21	61

List of Equations

Equation 1: Methodology for calculating silt content of fine aggregate	36
Equation 2: Methodology for calculating SSD specific gravity of fine aggregate	38
Equation 3: Methodology for calculating water absorption of fine aggregate	39
Equation 4: Calculation of 7-day compressive strength gain	41
Equation 5: Methodology for calculating the abrasion coefficient.....	50
Equation 6: Residual Value	57
Equation 7: Life cycle costing	58

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
AADTT	Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS/ BS EN	British Standards
FEM	Finite Element Modelling
ICE	Inventory of Carbon and Energy
ICPI	Interlocking Concrete Pavement Institute
IRC	Indian Roads Congress
IS	Indian Standards
LCCA	Life Cycle Costing Assessment
LKR	Sri Lankan Rupee
Mn	Millions
NPV	Net Present Value
PAP	Porous Asphalt Paving
PCP	Permeable Concrete Paving
PGP	Plastic Grid Paving
PICP	Permeable Interlocking Concrete Paving
SLS	Sri Lankan Standards
SSD	Saturated Surface Dry