

LB/TH/33/2025
TH5908

**HEADWAY DISTRIBUTION IN EXPRESSWAYS IN SRI
LANKA**

R.M.C.B Rathnayake

(199577D)

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2024

HEADWAY DISTRIBUTION IN EXPRESSWAYS IN SRI LANKA

R.M.C.B Rathnayake

(199577D)

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

April 2024

DECLARATION

I affirm that this work is entirely my own, and this thesis refrains from incorporating, without proper acknowledgment, any material previously submitted for a Master's, Degree, or Diploma at any other university or institute of higher learning. To the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not include any content previously published or authored by another individual, unless expressly acknowledged in the text.

Furthermore, I hereby authorize the University of Moratuwa with the non-exclusive right to reproduce and disseminate my thesis, whether in print, electronic, or other media, either in whole or in part. While granting this permission, I retain the right to utilize the content, either in its entirety or partially, in my future works such as articles or books.

.....

Date: 15th April 2024

R.M.C.B.Rathnayke

The undersigned hereby certify that I have read and recommended the thesis for the acceptance in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.Eng in Highway & Traffic Engineering.

.....

Date: 15th April 2024

Prof. H. R. Pasindu

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my loving parents, my wife, my siblings and my friends for their endless love, support, and encouragement given during this journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my sincere appreciation to Prof. H. R. Pasindu, my research supervisor, for granting me the opportunity to conduct this research. I am deeply grateful for the consistent support and guidance extended to me throughout the research process.

Special thanks are due to Prof. J. M. S. J. Bandara, the research project coordinator, for efficiently organizing this research project and providing clear guidelines, ensuring a smooth and timely execution of the study.

I extend my gratitude to Mr. Abarajithan and his team, who played a crucial role by providing their software (ABRUTECH), significantly contributing to the completion of this research. Additionally, I acknowledge the Road Development Authority for supplying the necessary survey data crucial for my study.

I am thankful to both the academic and non-academic staff of the Civil Engineering department for their unwavering support and guidance throughout the research endeavor.

R.M.C.B.Rathnayake

Department of Civil Engineering,

University of Moratuwa

ABSTRACT

The relationship between traffic flow parameters such as speed, flow and density should be understood to properly construct, plan and design traffic operation and improve them. Headway distribution can be considered as the basis of the traffic flow modelling but there has not been studied done on understanding headway distribution in expressways in Sri Lanka. It is mostly used to evaluate road capacity, line changing, vehicle conversion and traffic control strategies in intersection as studies of headways are also important for safety assessments. This study is focused on developing a time headway distribution for different flow categories and different vehicle pairs. To find the data, 12-hour video clips were recorded during peak hours from three different locations. Then Passenger Car Units (PCU) were considered for calculation by selecting eight vehicle categories Car, Van, Light good vehicle (LGV), Medium lorry (MG1), Large lorry (MG2), Medium bus (MBU), Large bus (LBU) and Truck (TRK). Since the headway distribution can be changed according to its flow category it should be tested so the headway distribution is tested by choosing 5 flow (PCU/5min/lane) categories 20-40, 40-60, 60-80, 80-100, 100-120, and considered whether they can be combined or not. The results show that flow categories have to be considered separately and 20-40 followed the “Dagum distribution” with 8.87s average time headway, 40-60 followed the “Frechet distribution” with 6.32s time headway distribution, 60-80 followed the “Generalized Extreme Value distribution” with 5.07s average time headway, 80-100 followed the “Burr distribution” with 4.12s time headway and 100-120 followed the “Pert distribution” with 3.43s time headway. The same process was done for vehicle categories, testing whether they can be combined or not as well as the distribution types of each category belongs to concerning the properties such as mean, mode, and median. All the flow and vehicle categories were tested using random and normality tests to check the parametric and non-parametric states of each category. The results show that the flow categories cannot be combined (have to consider them separately), and vehicle pair categories can be combined. In vehicle pairs Car-Bus & Bus-Car is considered as one group and it is followed the “Dagum distribution” with average time headway of 5.22s and rest of the vehicle groups can be combined as a one group and followed the “Burr distribution” with 5.52s average time headway.

Key Words: Headway distribution, road capacity, average time headway, parametric, non-parametric, Passenger car unit, randomness, normality, 5min time interval.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Research Design	3
1.5 Scope and Limitations of the Study	4
1.6 Chapter Summary	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Headway	6
2.2 Headway distribution	6
2.3 Expressways	8
2.4 Difference between Highways and Expressways.....	9
2.5 Expressways in Sri Lanka	10
2.6 Traffic variables:	11
2.7 Traffic variations:	12
2.8 Speed flow relationship	13
2.9 PCU estimating methods	14
2.9.1 Based on Flow rates and Density.....	14
2.9.2 Based on Speed.....	15
2.10 Chapter Summary	16
3 METHODOLOGY	17

3.1	Site Selection	17
3.2	Data Collection	18
3.3	Creating the traffic database	19
3.4	Categorization of vehicles	19
3.5	Calculation of the projected area of vehicles	20
3.6	Calculation of PCU (Passenger Car Unit)	21
3.7	Summary of PCU values	22
3.8	Chapter Summary	22
4	DATA ANALYSIS	23
4.1	Headway Distribution	23
4.2	Calculation of Flow	27
4.3	Headway distribution for different flow categories	28
4.3.1	Randomness Test	29
4.3.2	Normality Test	30
4.3.3	Randomness test results from SPSS software	31
4.3.4	Normality test results from SPSS Software	32
4.3.5	Flow category 20 – 40	33
4.3.6	Flow category 40 – 60	34
4.3.7	Flow category 60 – 80	35
4.3.8	Flow category 80 – 100	36
4.3.9	Flow category 100 – 120	37
4.4	Test results of the One-way ANOVA test	38
4.5	Test results of the Kruskal – Wallis test	39
4.5.1	20 – 40 Flow category	40
4.5.2	40 – 60 Flow category	44
4.5.3	60 – 80 Flow category	47
4.5.4	80 – 100 Flow category	50
4.5.5	100 – 120 Flow category	52
4.6	Headway distribution for different vehicle pairs	56
4.6.1	Randomness Test	58
4.6.2	Normality Test	59

4.6.3	Vehicle Category Cab-Large Lorry	60
4.6.4	Vehicle Category Cab-Car.....	61
4.6.5	Vehicle Category Cab-Cab	62
4.6.6	Vehicle Category Bus-Car	63
4.6.7	Vehicle Category Car-Bus.....	64
4.6.8	Vehicle Category Car-Cab.....	65
4.6.9	Vehicle Category Car-Car	66
4.6.10	Vehicle Category Car-Medium Lorry	67
4.6.11	Vehicle Category Car-Large Lorry.....	68
4.6.12	Vehicle Category Large Lorry.....	69
4.6.13	Vehicle Category Medium Lorry.....	70
4.7	Test results of the One-way ANOVA test.....	71
4.8	Test results of Kruskal – Walli’s test	72
4.8.1	Car-Bus & Bus-Car vehicle pair category(A)	75
4.8.2	“B” Vehicle pair category.....	78
4.9	Limitations of research	82
4.10	Chapter Summary	82
5	CONCLUSIONS	83
5.1	Research Output	84
5.1.1	PCU values	84
5.1.2	Time Headway distribution for considering all vehicles.....	85
5.1.3	Headway Distribution for different flow categories.....	85
5.1.4	Headway Distribution for different vehicle pairs	85
6	REFERENCES	87
7	APPENDIX.....	90
7.1	Calculation of projected areas of vehicle categories	90
7.2	Recorded Vehicle Database.....	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 – Description of expressways	9
Figure 2.2 - Speed, Flow, Density Relationship	12
Figure 3.1 - E01 Kottawa Interchange	17
Figure 3.2 - E03 Ch. 14+275.....	18
Figure 3.3 - E03 Ch. 22+700.....	18
Figure 3.4 - Interface of the ABRUTECH software	20
Figure 3.5 - Vehicle dimensions	21
Figure 4.1 - Properties of distribution	25
Figure 4.2 - Probability density function Curve.....	26
Figure 4.3 - Cumulative Density Function Curve	27
Figure 4.4 - Options available in the Randomness test	29
Figure 4.5 - Options available in the Normality test	30
Figure 4.6 - Histogram of Flow category 20 - 40.....	33
Figure 4.7 - Normal Q-Q plot of flow category 20- 40.....	33
Figure 4.8 - Histogram of Flow category 40 - 60.....	34
Figure 4.9 - Normal Q-Q plot of flow category 40- 60.....	34
Figure 4.10 - Histogram of Flow category 60 - 80.....	35
Figure 4.11 - Normal Q-Q plot of flow category 60- 80.....	35
Figure 4.12 - Histogram of Flow category 80 - 100.....	36
Figure 4.13 - Normal Q-Q plot of flow category 80- 100.....	36
Figure 4.14 - Histogram of Flow category 100 - 120.....	37
Figure 4.15 - Histogram of Flow category 100 – 120`s	37
Figure 4.16 - Test results of Kruskal Wallis	39
Figure 4.17 - Properties of distribution of 20 – 40 flow category.....	41
Figure 4.18 - Probability density function Curve for 20 – 40 flow category	42
Figure 4.19 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for 20 – 40 flow category	43
Figure 4.20 - Properties of distribution of 40 – 60 flow category.....	44
Figure 4.21 - Probability density function Curve for 40 – 60 flow category	45
Figure 4.22 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for 40 – 60 flow category	46
Figure 4.23 - Properties of distribution of 60 – 80 flow category.....	47
Figure 4.24 - Probability density function Curve for 60 – 80 flow category	48
Figure 4.25 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for 60 – 80 flow category	49
Figure 4.26 - Properties of distribution of 80 – 100 flow category.....	50
Figure 4.27 - Probability density function Curve for 80 – 100 flow category	51
Figure 4.28 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for 80 – 100 flow category	52
Figure 4.29 - Properties of distribution of 100 – 120 flow category.....	53
Figure 4.30 - Probability density function Curve for 100 – 120 flow category.....	54
Figure 4.31 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for 100 – 120 flow category	55
Figure 4.32 - Histogram of vehicle category Cab-Large lorry.....	60
Figure 4.33 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Cab-Large Lorry.....	60
Figure 4.34 - Histogram of vehicle category Cab-Car	61
Figure 4.35 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Cab-Car	61
Figure 4.36 - Histogram of vehicle category Cab-Cab	62
Figure 4.37 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Cab-Cab.....	62
Figure 4.38 - Histogram of vehicle category Bus-Car	63

Figure 4.39 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Bus-Car.....	63
Figure 4.40 - Histogram of vehicle category Car-Bus	64
Figure 4.41 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Car-Bus.....	64
Figure 4.42 - Histogram of vehicle category Car-Cab	65
Figure 4.43 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Car-Cab	65
Figure 4.44 - Histogram of vehicle category Car-Car.....	66
Figure 4.45 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Car-Car	66
Figure 4.46 - Histogram of vehicle category Car-Medium Lorry.....	67
Figure 4.47 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Car-Medium Lorry	67
Figure 4.48 - Histogram of vehicle category Car-Large Lorry	68
Figure 4.49 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Car-Large Lorry	68
Figure 4.50 - Histogram of vehicle category Large Lorry	69
Figure 4.51 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Large Lorry.....	69
Figure 4.52 - Histogram of vehicle category Medium Lorry.....	70
Figure 4.53 - Normal Q-Q plot of Vehicle category Medium Lorry	70
Figure 4.54 – Test results for Kruskal Wallis	72
Figure 4.55 - Properties of distribution of Car-Bus & Bus-Car vehicle pair category(A)	76
Figure 4.56 - Probability density function Curve for Car-Bus & Bus-Car vehicle pair category(A)	77
Figure 4.57 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for Car-Bus & Bus-Car vehicle pair category(A)	78
Figure 4.58 -: Properties of distribution of “B” Vehicle pair category	79
Figure 4.59 - Probability density function Curve for “B” Vehicle pair category	80
Figure 4.60 - Cumulative Density Function Curve for “B” Vehicle pair category... ..	81

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 - Completed Expressways in Sri Lanka	10
Table 2.2 - Expressways under Construction in Sri Lanka	11
Table 2.3 - Summary of Models.....	13
Table 2.4 - Comparison of PCU methods (Muhammad,2014)	15
Table 3.1 - Projected areas of each vehicle category	21
Table 3.2 - PCU Values.....	22
Table 4.1 - Parametric and Non-parametric test	29
Table 4.2 - Properties of different flow categories.....	31
Table 4.3 - Randomness test results obtained for different flow categories	31
Table 4.4 - Normality test results obtained for different flow categories	32
Table 4.5 – Parametric state of the flow category with randomness and normality significance values	38
Table 4.6 - Test results of One-way ANOVA test	38
Table 4.7 - Test results of Kruskal Wallis.....	39
Table 4.8 - Results of K-S test for 20 – 40 flow category.....	40
Table 4.9 - Results of K-S test for 40 – 60 flow category.....	44
Table 4.10 - Results of K-S test for 60 – 80 flow category.....	47
Table 4.11 - Results of K-S test for 80 – 100 flow category.....	50

Table 4.12 - Results of K-S test for 100 – 120 flow category.....	52
Table 4.13 – Properties of flow categories.....	55
Table 4.14 - No. of data points for vehicle pairs.....	57
Table 4.15 - Runs test results for different vehicle pairings	58
Table 4.16 - Normality test results obtained for different vehicle pairs	59
Table 4.17 – Parametric state according to the vehicle pair category.....	71
Table 4.18 - Test results of One-way ANOVA test	71
Table 4.19 - Test results for Kruskal Wallis	72
Table 4.20 - Results of K-S test for Car-Bus & Bus-Car vehicle pair category(A)...	75
Table 4.21 - Results of K-S test for “B” Vehicle pair category	78
Table 4.22 – Summarized properties of vehicle pair categories	81
Table 5.1 - PCU Values new table	84
Table 5.2 – Headway distribution models and properties for different flow categories	85
Table 5.3 – Headway distribution models and properties for Vehicle pairs	85

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
PCU	Passenger Car Unit
PCE	Passenger Car Equivalent
LOS	Level of Service
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
IAT	Inter Arrival Time