

References

- Forsyth, A. (2015). What is a walkable place? The walkability debate in urban design. *Urban Design International*, 20(3), 274–292. <https://doi.org/10.1057/udi.2015.16>
- Frank, L. D., Engelke, P. O., & Schmid, T. L. (2003). *Health and community design: The impact of the built environment on physical activity*. Island Press.
- Global Designing Cities Initiative. (2016). *Global street design guide*. National Association of City Transportation Officials.
- Khabiri, S., Pourjafar, M. R., & Izadi, M. S. (2020). A case study of walkability and neighborhood attachment. **Global Journal of Human-Social Science*, 20*(6), 57–70.
- Moreno, C., Allam, Z., Chabaud, D., Gall, C., & Pratlong, F. (2020). Introducing the “15-minute city”: Sustainability, resilience and place identity in future post-pandemic cities. *Smart Cities*, 4(1), 93–111. <https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities4010006>
- Southworth, M. (2005). Designing the walkable city. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 131(4), 246–257. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9488\(2005\)131:4\(246\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9488(2005)131:4(246))
- United Nations Human Settlements Programme. (2020). *World cities report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanization*. UN-Habitat.
- Vitoria-Gasteiz City Council. (2012). **Sustainable urban mobility plan of Vitoria-Gasteiz 2012–2020**. Ayuntamiento de Vitoria-Gasteiz.
- World Health Organization. (2020). *WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240015128>
- Yin, L. (2017). Street-level urban design qualities for walkability: Combining 2D and 3D GIS measures. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 64, 288–296.
- Van Nes, A. (2021). Spatial configurations and walkability potentials. Measuring urban compactness with space syntax. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 5785.
- Van Nes, A. (2021). Spatial configurations and walkability potentials. Measuring urban compactness with space syntax. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 5785.
- Tribby, C. P., Miller, H. J., Brown, B. B., Werner, C. M., & Smith, K. R. (2016). Assessing Built Environment Walkability using Activity-Space Summary Measures. *Journal of transport and land use*, 9(1), 187–207. <https://doi.org/10.5198/jtlu.2015.625>
- Blecic, I., Canu, D., Cecchini, A., Congiu, T., & Fancello, G. (2017). Walkability and street intersections in rural-urban fringes: A decision aiding evaluation procedure. *Sustainability*, 9(6), 883.
- Fareh, F., & Alkama, D. (2022). The Effect of Spatial Configuration on the Movement Distribution Behavior: The Case Study of Constantine Old Town (Algeria). *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*, 12(5), 9136-9141.

- Van Nes, A. (2021). Spatial Configurations and Walkability Potentials. Measuring Urban Compactness with Space Syntax. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 5785.
- Bielik, M., König, R., Schneider, S., & Varoudis, T. (2018). Measuring the impact of street network configuration on the accessibility to people and walking attractors. *Networks and Spatial Economics*, 18, 657-676.
- Asriana, N., Koerniawan, M. D., Tambunan, L., & Paramita, B. (2023). The Effects Street-Network Configuration in Modelling Walkability Through Space Syntax. *DIMENSI (Journal of Architecture and Built Environment)*, 50(1), 13-20.
- Hillier, B., Penn, A., Hanson, J., Grajewski, T., & Xu, J. (1993). Natural movement: or, configuration and attraction in urban pedestrian movement. *Environment and Planning B: planning and design*, 20(1), 29-66.
- Torun, A. O., Yesiltepe, D., & Argin, G. (2015). Modeling walkability: the effects of street design, street-network configuration and land-use on pedestrian movement. *ITU Journal of the Faculty of Architecture AZ*, 12(3), 243-255.
- Ozbil, A., Gurleyen, T., Yesiltepe, D., & Zumbuloglu, E. (2019). Comparative associations of street network design, streetscape attributes and land-use characteristics on pedestrian flows in peripheral neighbourhoods. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(10), 1846.
- Ozbil, A., Gurleyen, T., Yesiltepe, D., & Zumbuloglu, E. (2019). Comparative associations of street network design, streetscape attributes and land-use characteristics on pedestrian flows in peripheral neighbourhoods. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(10), 1846.
- Nag, D., Sen, J. & Goswami, A.K. Measuring Connectivity of Pedestrian Street Networks in the Built Environment for Walking: A Space-Syntax Approach. *Transp. In Dev. Econ.* 8, 34 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40890-022-00170-7>
- Singh, R. (2016). Factors affecting walkability of neighborhoods. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 216, 643-654.
- Choi, E. (2013). Understanding Walkability: Dealing with the complexity behind pedestrian behavior. In 9th International Space Syntax Symposium, Seoul, Sejong University 2013. Sejong University.
- Zhu, X., Lu, Z., Yu, C. Y., Lee, C., & Mann, G. (2013). Walkable communities: Impacts on residents' physical and social health: Researchers from Texas A&M University studied residents in a newly developed 'walkable community' in Austin, Texas to see how it changed their habits for physical activity and whether it increased social interaction and cohesion in the community. *World health design*, 6(3), 68–75.