

CHAPTER 7: REFERENCE

1. Abduljabbar R.L. Liyanage S. Dia, H. (2021). The role of micro-mobility in shaping sustainable cities: A systematic literature review. *Transp. Res. Part D: Transp. Environ.* 92, 102734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2021.102734>.
2. Aly S.H. Ramli, M.I. Arifin, Z. (2021) Estimation of carbon dioxide emissions on heterogeneous traffic based on metropolitan traffic emissions inventory model. *GEOMATE J.* 21, 181–190. <https://geomatejournal.com/geomate/article/view/744/619>
3. Basu, R. Ferreira, J. (2021). Planning car-lite neighborhoods: Does bikesharing reduce auto-dependence? *Transp. Res. Part D: Transp. Environ.* 92, 102721. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349159708_Planning_car-lite_neighborhoods_Does_bikesharing_reduce_auto-dependence
4. Bielin ski, T. Waz`na, A. (2021). Electric scooter sharing and bike sharing user behaviour and characteristics. *Sustainability* 12, 9640 <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/22/9640>
5. Blondel, B. Mispelon, C.M. Fergusin, J. (2011). Quantifying CO2 Savings of Cycling; European Cyclists' Federation Report; ECF: Brussels, Belgium. <https://ecf.com/system/files/Quantifying%20CO2%20savings%20of%20cycling.pdf>
6. Brunner H. Hirz, M. Hirschberg, W. Fallast, K. (2018). Evaluation of various means of transport for urban areas. *Energy Sustain. Soc.* 8, 9. <https://energysustainsoc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13705-018-0149-0>
7. Castañon, U.N. Ribeiro, P.J.G. (2021). Bikeability and Emerging Phenomena in Cycling: Exploratory Analysis and Review. *Sustainability* 13, 2394. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/4/2394>
8. Chamali Hewawasam, Shamain Saparamadu, Udaya Abesinghe, Mahanama, P.K.S. (2019) "Accommodating bicycle and pedestrian traffic in sub-urban areas Sri Lanka" *The Planning Research Journal* Vol.07, No.01, Pages 24-31 https://www.academia.edu/108204849/Accommodating_bicycle_and_pedestrian_traffic_in_sub_urban_areas_Sri_Lanka
9. Chandra, S. Bharti, A.K. (2013). Speed distribution curves for pedestrians during walking and crossing. *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*104, pp660–667. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042813045515?via%3Dihub>
10. Chester, M. Horvath, A. (2008) Environmental Life-Cycle Assessment of Passenger Transportation: A Detailed Methodology for Energy, Greenhouse

Gas and Criteria Pollutant Inventories of Automobiles, Buses, Light Rail, Heavy Rail and Air; Working Paper UCB-ITS-VWP-2008-2; UC Berkeley Center for Future Urban Transport: Berkeley, CA, USA.
<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5670921q>

11. China State Information Centre, (2017). The Research Report on Bike-sharing Employment. China State Information Centre.
<https://www.sic.gov.cn/News/568/8452.htm>.
12. Cox, B.L. Mutel, C.L. (2018). The environmental and cost performance of current and future motorcycles. Appl. Energy 212, 1013–1024.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0306261917318238?via%3Dihub>
13. Davis, W. (1995). Bicycle test route evaluation for urban road conditions. Reston, VA: ASCE
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371753097_Evaluation_of_Bicycle_Network_Connectivity_Using_Graph_Theory_and_Level_of_Traffic_Stress
14. Del Pero, F. Delogu, M. Pierini, M. Bonaffini, D. (2015). Life Cycle Assessment of a heavy metro train. J. Clean. Prod. 87, pp787–799.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959652614010658?via%3Dihub>
15. Dill, J. (2004). Measuring network connectivity for bicycling and walking. In Proc., 83rd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board.
<http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/TRB2004-001550.pdf>
16. Emery, J. (2018). The WABSA project assessing and improving your community’s walkability & bikeability. Chapel Hill, NC: Univ. of North Carolina
<https://wabsa.web.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/16770/2018/04/wabsa-guidebook-03-1029.pdf>
17. Enyedi, S. (2018). Electric cars: Challenges and trends. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Automation, Quality and Testing, Robotics (AQTR 2018), Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 24–26 pp1–8
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326710582_Electric_cars_-_Challenges_and_trends
18. Epperson, B. (1994). Evaluating suitability of roadways for bicycle use: Toward a cycling level-of-service standard. Transp. Res. Rec. 1438 pp9–16.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237683984_BICYCLE_SUITABILITY_CRITERIA_LITERATURE_REVIEW_AND_STATE-OF-THE-PRACTICE_SURVEY
19. Fan, A. Chen, X. Wan, T. (2019). How have travelers changed mode choices for first/last mile trips after the introduction of bicyclesharing systems: An

- empirical study in Beijing, China. *J. Adv. Transp.* 5426080. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333109550> [How Have Travelers Changed Mode Choices for First/Last Mile Trips after the Introduction of Bicycle-Sharing Systems: An Empirical Study in Beijing, China](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333109550)
20. Faghieh Imani, A., E. J. Miller, and S. Saxe. (2019). Cycle accessibility and level of traffic stress: A case study of Toronto. *J. Transp. Geogr.* 80 (Jan): 102496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.102496>
 21. Fazio, M. Giuffrida, N. Inturri, G. Ignaccolo, M. (2020) Combining GPS-Tracks and Accident Data to Improve Safety of Cycling Paths, SAE Technical Paper. <https://www.sae.org/publications/technical-papers/content/2020-24-0020/>
 22. Fazio, M. Giuffrida, N. Le Pira, M. Inturri, G. Ignaccolo, M. (2020). Bike oriented development: Selecting locations for cycle stations through a spatial approach. *Res. Transp. Bus. Manag.* 40, 100576. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2210539520301139?via%3Dihub>
 23. Fenton, P. (2017). Sustainable mobility in the low carbon city: Digging up the highway in Odense, Denmark. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 29, 203–210. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2210670716306096?via%3Dihub>
 24. Fratelli Giacomel. E-mobility: State of Play and Future Trends (In Italian). https://www.google.it/amp/s/blog.fratelligiacomel.it/futuro-auto-elettriche%3fhs_amp=true
 25. Frank, L. D. and P. Engelke. (2005). multiple impacts of the built environment on public health: Walkable places and the exposure to air pollution. *Int. Reg. Sci. Rev.* 28 (2): 193–216. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160017604273853>
 26. Gao, K., Yang, Y., Li, A., Qu, X., (2021). Spatial heterogeneity in distance decay of using bike sharing: An empirical large-scale analysis in Shanghai. *Transp. Res. Part D: Transp. Environ.* 94, 102814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2021.102814>
 27. Girardi, P. Gargiulo, A.; Brambilla, P.C. (2015). A comparative LCA of an electric vehicle and an internal combustion engine vehicle using the appropriate power mix: The Italian case study. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 20, 1127–1142. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11367-015-0903-x>
 28. Ghosh, A. (2020). Possibilities and challenges for the inclusion of the Electric Vehicle (EV) to reduce the carbon footprint in the transport sector: A review. *Energies* 13, 2602. <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/13/10/2602>

29. Handy, S. L., K. S. Butler, and R. G. Paterson. (2003). PAS Report 515 Planning for street connectivity—getting from here to there. 515. Chicago: American Planning Association. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289681471_Planning_for_street_connectivity_Getting_from_here_to_there
30. Handy, S. (2018). Want to save tons of greenhouse gases? Bike it. *Sci. Clim.* <https://climatechange.ucdavis.edu/what-can-i-do/want-to-save-tons-of-greenhouse-gases-bike-it/>
31. Harkey, D. and D. Reinfurt. (1998). The bicycle compatibility index: Implementation manual. Washington, DC: DOT. https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/14487/dot_14487_DS2.pdf
32. Hull, A.; O Holleran, C. (2014). Bicycle infrastructure: Can good design encourage cycling. *Urban Plan. Transp. Res.* 2, 369–406. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21650020.2014.955210>
33. Inturri, G. Le Pira, M. Giuffrida, N. Ignaccolo, M. Pluchino, A. Rapisarda, A. D Angelo, R. (2019). Multi-agent simulation for planning and designing new shared mobility services. *Res. Transp. Econ.* 73, 34–44. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0739885919300654?via%3Dihub>
34. Jensen, S. U. (2007). Pedestrian and bicyclist level of service on roadway segments. *Transp. Res. Rec.* 2031 (1): 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2031-06>
35. Jittrapirom, P. Caiati, V. Feneri, A.-M. Ebrahimigharehbaghi, S. Alonso-González, M.J. Narayan, J. (2017) Mobility as a Service: A Critical Review of Definitions, Assessments of Schemes, and Key Challenges. *Urban Plan.* 2, 13–25. <https://www.cogitatiopress.com/urbanplanning/article/view/931>
36. Jones, H. Moura, F. and Domingos, T. (2017). Life cycle assessment of high-speed rail: A case study in Portugal. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 22, 410–422. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11367-016-1177-7>
37. Koen, A. (2019). Shared Mobility for the First and Last Mile. Master's Dissertation, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands. <https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3A9976ea22-07be-4674-b984-1a8f6563f0ee>
38. Koohsari M. J. T. Sugiyama, K. E. Lamb, K. Villanueva, and N. Owen. (2014). Street connectivity and walking for transport: Role of neighbourhood destinations. *Preventive Med.* 66 (Jun): 118–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.06.019>.
39. Kumarage A. S., Wirasinghe S. C., (1997), an aggregate demand model for intercity passenger travel in Sri Lanka. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands. Pages 77–98.

40. Kumarage A. S., Bandara M., Munasinghe D. (2010). Satisfaction analysis of luxury long distance bus service in Sri Lanka. *Journal of Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies*, Vol. 11, 2015. Pages 1272-1290.
41. Landis B. W. (1994). Bicycle interaction hazard score: A theoretical model. *Transp. Res. Rec.* 1438 (1): 3–8. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235358744_Real-Time_Human_Perceptions_Toward_a_Bicycle_Level_of_Service
42. Lowry, M., D. Callister, M. Gresham, and B. Moore. (2012). Assessment of communitywide bikeability with bicycle level of service. *Transp. Res. Rec.* 2314 (1): 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2314-06>.
43. Lowry M. and T. H. Loh. (2017). Quantifying bicycle network connectivity. *Preventive Med.* 95 (Sep): pp134–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.12.007>.
44. Li X. Cottam A. Wu Y. J. Khani, A. (2020). Can a bikesharing system reduce fuel consumption? Case study in Tucson, Arizona. *Transp. Res. Part D: Transp. Environ.* 89, 102604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102604>.
45. Ma H. Balthasar F. Tait N. Riera-Palou X. Harrison A. A (2012) new comparison between the life cycle greenhouse gas emissions of battery electric vehicles and internal combustion vehicles. *Energy Policy* 44, pp160–173. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0301421512000602?via%3Dihub>
46. McKenzie G (2019). Spatiotemporal comparative analysis of scooter-share and bike-share usage patterns in Washington, DC. *J. Transp Geogr.* 78, pp19–28. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0966692319302741?via%3Dihub>
47. McNeil N. (2011). Bikeability and the 20-min neighborhood: How infrastructure and destinations influence bicycle accessibility. *Transp. Res. Rec.* 2247 (8): pp53–63. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2247-07>.
48. Mellino S. Petrillo A. Cigolotti V. Autorino C. Jannelli E. Ulgiati S (2017). A Life Cycle Assessment of lithium battery and hydrogen-FC powered electric bicycles: Searching for cleaner solutions to urban mobility. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 42, pp1830–1840. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0360319916312952?via%3Dihub>

49. Mitman M. M. Ridgway and T. Chira-Chavala (2008). A technical guide for conducting pedestrian safety assessments. Berkeley CA Univ of California. https://safetrec.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/bsa_guidebook_2014.pdf
50. Mizdrak A. Cobiac L.J. Cleghorn C.L. Woodward A. Blakely T. (2020). Fuelling walking and cycling: Human powered locomotion is associated with non-negligible greenhouse gas emissions. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 9196. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-66170-y>
51. National Association of City Transportation Officials, United States (2019). Shared Micromobility in the U.S. National Association of City Transportation Officials. https://nacto.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/NACTO_Shared-Micromobility-in-2018_Web.pdf
52. National League of Cities (2019). Micro mobility in Cities: A History and Policy Overview; National League of Cities: Washington, DC, USA.
53. Niranjala Dahanayaka, Nayomi Kankanamge (2018) “examination of newly established bicycle lanes in sri lanka with special reference to piliyandala and katubedda” Proceedings of the International Conference on 'Cities, People and Places'- ICCPP Colombo, Sri Lanka, Pages 1-18
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328191911_Examination_of_newly_established_bicycle_lanes_in_Sri_Lanka_with_special_reference_to_Piliyandala_and_Katubedda
54. N. P. Madhumali M. Somarathne, Chathura De Silva (2023) “develop a bicycle network planning model for urban areas in sri lanka: a case study of the university of moratuwa as the destination” R4TLI Conference Proceedings Pages 180-182.
<http://dl.lib.uom.lk/bitstream/handle/123/21562/DEVELOP%20A%20BICYCLE%20NETWORK%20PLANNING%20MODEL%20FOR.pdf?sequence=1>
55. Oeschger G. Carroll P. Caulfield B. (2020). Micro mobility and public transport integration: The current state of knowledge. *Transp.Res. Part D Transp. Environ.* 89, 102628
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1361920920308130?via%3Dihub>
56. Poore J. Nemecek T. (2018) Reducing food’s environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science* 360, pp987–992.
<https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aag0216>
57. Sandoval R. Van Geffen C. Wilbur M. Hall B. Dubey A. Barbour W. Work D.B. (2021). Data driven methods for effective micro mobility parking. *Transp. Res. Interdiscip. Perspect.* 10, 100368.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590198221000750?via%3Dihub>

58. Sanguesa J.A. Torres-Sanz V. Garrido P. Martinez F.J. Marquez-Barja J.M. A. Review on electric vehicles Technologies and challenges. Sustainability.4, pp22. <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6511/4/1/22>
59. S A S T Salawavidana, L A T U Liyanaarchchi, R M S K Senarathna, Dr.W.K Mamppearachchi, Prof. J.M.S.J Bandara (2014) “Proceedings of the 9th APTE Conference: guidelines for the implementation of bicycle lanes on sri lankan highways” Mount Lavinia Hotel, Sri Lanka, Pages 369-380. <http://dl.lib.uom.lk/bitstream/handle/123/13568/34.pdf?sequence=1>
60. Shaheen, S.A. and Chan, N. (2016) Mobility and the sharing economy: Potential to facilitate the first and last-mile public transit connections. Built Environ, 42, pp573–588. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311973901_Mobility_and_the_Sharing_Economy_Potential_to_Facilitate_the_First-_and_Last-Mile_Public_Transit_Connections
61. Stott, S. (2020). How green is cycling Riding, walking, ebikes and driving ranked. Bikeradar. <https://www.bikeradar.com/features/long-reads/cycling-environmental-impact>
62. Spreafico, C. and Russo, D. (2020). Exploiting the scientific literature for performing life cycle assessment about transportation. 12, 7548. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344330284_Exploiting_the_Scientific_Literature_for_Performing_Life_Cycle_Assessment_about_Transportation
63. Sustainable Bus Fast Charging Stations for Electric Buses Installed in Milan (2021). <https://www.sustainable-bus.com/news/fast-charging-station-electric-buses-atm-milano/>
64. Tharuka KARUNARATHNE, Amila SANDARUWAN, Jayalath edirisinghec, Vasanth WICKRAMASINGHE (2019) “Factors Affecting on Implementation of Bicycle Sharing System in Major City Areas in Sri Lanka” (PDF) [Factors Affecting on Implementation of Bicycle Sharing System in Major City Areas in Sri Lanka](#)
65. Turner, S. M., C. S. Shafer, and W. P. Stewart. (1997). Bicycle suitability criteria for state roadways in Texas. http://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/33867/dot_33867_DS1.pdf?
66. Wang, F., M. Wen, and Y. Xu. (2013). Population-adjusted street connectivity, urban city and risk of obesity in the U.S. Appl. Geogr. 41 (5), pp1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2013.03.006>.