

**DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL TO EVALUATE ADVANCED
PLANNING AND SCHEDULING SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION
PROJECTS IN MANUFACTURING ORGANIZATIONS**

W.M.K. Wickramasinghe

208873T

Degree of Master of Engineering in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2024

**DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL TO EVALUATE ADVANCED
PLANNING AND SCHEDULING SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION
PROJECTS IN MANUFACTURING ORGANIZATIONS**

W.M.K. Wickramasinghe

208873T

Degree of Master of Engineering in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University of Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 20.08.2024

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr. L.U. Subasinghe

Signature of Supervisor:

Date: 20/08/2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First my unquantifiable gratitude and appreciation are due to my supervisor Dr. Lihil Subasinghe who gave me guidance and direction throughout the majority of my research study. Dr. Lihil generously dedicated his valuable time by providing substantial expertise and insight toward the success of this research study. Furthermore, gratitude and appreciation are towards Dr. Hirushie Karunathilake who was my original supervisor for this study. Dr. Hirushie guided me into the correct direction at the initiation of the research by allocating time out of her schedule in a consistent manner. Moreover, my sincere gratitude goes towards Mr. Mohamad Naeem who is my co supervisor for this study and coached me in the right direction by exposing me into the digital transformation of manufacturing and advanced planning and scheduling where my field of study was chosen from.

I am grateful to all the experts who took valuable time out from their schedules to support my research by communicating their expert knowledge through taking part in the surveys attached to this study. Furthermore, I am grateful to the 3 companies who took part in the case studies of this research.

I could certainly not have achieved this without the continuous love and support of my wife, my mother and father and my sister. Nothing I can say could adequately acknowledge the contribution they have made to this work.

And lastly my thanks are due to everyone who helped and supported me over the course of my study which led to the completion of this work.

ABSTRACT

Manufacturing organizations are adopting digital solutions to its manufacturing processes with the intention to remain competitive within the industry. The adaptation of such digital solutions impacts various functions within a manufacturing organization and if an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system is taken as an example, such a system impacts all the functions of the organization where it has been configured to use. However, this study is based on Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS) systems and its implementation. APS systems are made to digitalize the production planning and scheduling function of manufacturing organizations. Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS) systems are available as a module within some Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems as well as APS systems being available as separate and independent systems. Therefore, this study is conducted on Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS) systems which are independent from that of an inbuilt ERP module. Furthermore, this study is focused on the implementation aspect of Advanced Planning and Scheduling systems within manufacturing organizations. Digital and technological transformations can be a daunting task for manufacturers. Therefore, when implementing such systems as an Advanced Planning and Scheduling system, it is a standard practice for manufacturing organizations to opt to execute the implementation through a specialized consultation body. Through the literature survey conducted, it is highlighted that a set of critical success factors exist in general when implementing manufacturing operations management systems within manufacturing organizations. Therefore, a further concentrated definition which is subjected to this study is to conduct an in-depth literature review in order to formulate critical success factors associated with the implementation of Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS) systems within manufacturing organizations. Moreover, through the conduct of this study, the formulated critical success factors and its key performance indicators for the implementation of APS systems will be weighted and prioritized. Hence, the scope of this study is limited to the formulation of critical success factors and its key performance indicators associated with the implementation of Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS) systems within manufacturing organizations and to formulate the critical success factors and key performance indicators for the implementation of APS systems into an assessment model to evaluate APS implementation projects under each stage of implementation in manufacturing organizations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Aim.....	2
1.2. Objectives.....	2
1.3. Impact of Study	2
CHAPTER 2.....	4
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
2.1. Background	4
2.1. 1. Digitalizing manufacturing planning and scheduling	5
2.2. Advanced Planning and Scheduling Systems	7
2.3. Implementation of Advanced Planning and Scheduling Systems to Manufacturing Organizations.....	8
2.3.1. Critical success factors.....	9
2.3.2. Key Performance Indicators	15
2.4. Summary	18
2.4.1. Validation of APS Implementation Project Stages, Critical Success Factors and Key Performance Indicators.....	19
CHAPTER 3.....	21

METHODOLOGY	21
3.1. Research Philosophy	22
3.2. Research Type	22
3.3. Research Strategy	23
3.4. Time Horizon of the Research	24
3.5. Sampling Strategy of the Research	25
3.6. Data Collection Method of the Research	27
3.7. Data Analysis Methods and Techniques of the Research	31
CHAPTER 4.....	35
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35
4.1. Results and Analysis of Data Collected through Survey Segments.....	36
4.1.1. Survey to assess the Impact of Critical Success Factors.....	36
4.1.2. The Sample for the Critical Success Factors Survey	37
4.1.3. Data Analysis and Discussion of the Critical Success Factors Survey.....	44
4.1.4. Survey to assess the impact of Key Performance Indicators of Critical Success Factors	
52	
4.1.5. The sample of the Key Performance Indicators Survey	52
4.1.6. Data Analysis and Discussion of the Key Performance Indicators Survey	54
4.2. Data Analysis and Calculation of Weights for Critical Success Factors and Key Performance Indicators.....	61
4.3. Development of the Model to Evaluate the Overall Success of Advanced Planning and Scheduling System Implementation Projects	65
4.4. Case Study Analysis on Advanced Planning and Scheduling System Implementation Projects	69
4.4.1. Case Company 1	69
4.4.2. Case Company 2	71
4.4.3. Case Company 3	73
4.5. APS Implementation Evaluation of Three Case Companies	75

CHAPTER 5.....	78
CONCLUSION.....	78
CHAPTER 6.....	80
LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	80
REFERENCES.....	81
APPENDIX.....	85
Critical Success Factor Opinions Data Charts.....	85
Key Performance Indicator Opinion Data Charts.....	96
Data Collection Tool – Impact Assessment of CSFs in APS implementation.....	104
Data Collection Tool – Impact Assessment of KPIs of CSFs in APS implementation.....	117

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 2.1:	Supply chain matrix	8
Figure 2.2:	Guideline for manufacturing scheduling system implementation and specific references	12
Figure 2.3:	PLM implementation critical success factors	13
Figure 3.1:	Sample Size - Targeted vs. Actual	26
Figure 3.2:	Survey segment 1 CSF - Opening page	28
Figure3.3:	Likert scale responding format	29
Figure 3.4:	Survey Segment 2 KPI – Opening Page	30
Figure 3.5:	KPI assessment through Likert scale rating	30
Figure 4.1:	Sample distribution on industries for critical success factors survey	41
Figure 4.2:	Distribution of functional area of CSF sample set	42
Figure 4.3:	Years of experience under the functional area specified in chart 3	43
Figure 4.4:	Years of experience in the manufacturing sector in general	43
Figure 4.5:	Years of experience in the manufacturing planning function	43
Figure 4.6:	Stage 01 CSFs expert opinions	46
Figure 4.7:	Stage 02 CSFs Expert Opinions	47
Figure 4.8:	Stage 03CSF Expert Opinions	48
Figure 4.9:	Stage 04 CSF Expert Opinions	49
Figure 4.10:	Stage 05 CSF Expert Opinions	50
Figure 4.11:	Stage 06 CSF Expert Opinions	51
Figure 4.12:	Sample distribution on industries for key performance indicators survey	53
Figure 4.13:	Distribution of functional area of KPI sample set	53
Figure 4.14:	Stage 01 CSFs KPI Average Values	54
Figure 4.15:	Stage 02 CSFs KPI Average Values	54
Figure 4.16:	Stage 03 CSFs KPI Average Values	57

Figure 4.17:	Stage 04 CSFs KPI Average Values	58
Figure 4.18:	Stage 05 CSFs KPI Average Values	59
Figure 4.19:	Stage 06 CSFs KPI Average Values	60
Figure 4.20:	Weighted CSFs of APS implementation stages from 42 expert opinions	62
Figure 4.21:	Weighted KPIs of CSFs through 21 expert opinions	63
Figure 4.22:	Weighted CSFs of APS implementation stages from 21 expert opinions	64
Figure 4.23:	Case Company 1 – Stagewise APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Results	70
Figure 4.24:	Case Company 2 – Stagewise APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Results	72
Figure 4.25:	Case Company 3 – Stagewise APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Results	74

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2.1:	Historical Manufacturing Planning and Scheduling Systems	6
Table 2.2:	Key Performance Indicators of CSFs	15
Table 2.3:	APS Implementation Stages	17
Table 3.1:	Expert opinions on 7 CSFs rated as per a 5-point Likert scale	33
Table 3.2:	Expert opinion normalized values	33
Table 3.3:	Normalized expert opinions combined using the Dempster-Shafer theory	34
Table 4.0	Expert Profiles	37
Table 4.1:	APS Implementation stages and associated number of critical success factors	44
Table 4.2:	APS Implementation stagewise descriptive critical success factors	45
Table 4.3:	APS Implementation stagewise descriptive Key Performance Indicators	54
Table 4.4:	APS Implementation project evaluation model - Stage 01	66
Table 4.5:	Calculation of Pass Score per CSF evaluation	67
Table 4.6:	Stage 01 APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Model	67
Table 4.7:	Stage 02 APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Model	68
Table 4.8:	Stage 03 APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Model	68
Table 4.9:	Stage 04 APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Model	68
Table 4.10:	Stage 05 APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Model	68
Table 4.11:	Stage 06 APS System Implementation Project Evaluation Model	69

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
APS	Advanced Planning and Scheduling
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning
PLM	Product Lifecycle Management
MES	Manufacturing Execution System
MRP	Material Requirement Planning
SCM	Supply Chain Management
CSF	Critical Success Factor
KPI	Key Performance Indicator