

**Development of a decision support model to capture and
reuse the tacit knowledge in injection mould design for
small and medium-scale enterprises**

K.H.J. Mangala

228084T

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

December 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own research, and this does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously published or submitted for a degree or diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Signature:

Date: 04th February 2025

The above candidate has carried out research for the MPhil thesis under our supervision. We confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. H.K.G. Punchihewa

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: *04th February 2025*

Name of Supervisor: Dr. R.K.P.S. Ranaweera

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: *04th February 2025*

DEDICATION

Dedication to my beloved parents and teachers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The process of finishing my thesis has been fraught with difficulties on both a personal and professional level. I am incredibly appreciative of my supervisors, Professor H.K.G. Punchihewa and Dr. R.K.P.S. Ranaweera for their unwavering encouragement, support, and helpful criticism along my research journey. This thesis has been greatly influenced by their intense dedication to academic achievement and meticulous attention to detail. I am also grateful to the members of my review panel, Prof. H.S.C. Perera, and Dr. K.K.M.N.P. Samaraweera for their insightful criticism and helpful recommendations that improved the calibre of my work. I also want to express my gratitude to the faculty and staff of the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Post Graduate Studies Division for their dedication to their work and constant reminders of my progress. A special appreciation must go to Prof. R.A.R.C. Gopura for guiding me through the formalities and the timeline in completing this study successfully.

My appreciation also goes to my colleagues in the Department of Mechanical Engineering at University of Moratuwa, for their continuous encouragement and support rendered to me whose resources and assistance have been invaluable. I would also like to highly acknowledge the extended support given to me by my own laboratory staff Mr. I.M.J. Priyankara and Mr. L.D.L. Perera at the Die and Mould Facilitation and Development Centre of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, for their dedication and support given during the industrial survey and case studies. I shall take this opportunity to pay my sincere gratitude for the member of the Die and mould Makers Association, Sri Lanka for their invaluable support extended to me amidst their extremely busy schedule.

Finally, my heartfelt thanks must go to my family, wife, son, and the daughter for their continuous encouragement and bearing with me all the ups and downs in the life during the last two years.

ABSTRACT

Injection moulding is one of the most economical ways of manufacturing parts in large quantities. Here, achieving the expected quality of the finished products largely depends on the design and manufacture of the moulds. The design process of injection moulds is well established, but it is resource-intensive, requiring investment. Inability to invest in latest software tools and to employ experts are major concerns for small and medium-scale-enterprise (SME) mould manufacturers. Thus, they continue to rely on personal practical experience rather than modern and contemporary technology leading to a technological gap between the large- and small-scale mould manufacturing sectors. While explicit knowledge can easily be transferred from one generation to the other, there is no proven system in place to capture the tacit knowledge of employees, store it, and reuse it appropriately. Transferring the tacit knowledge to the successors has been difficult as understanding of the technology live within the individuals. Therefore, this research aims to identify the critical issues faced by the SME injection mould manufacturing sector and develop a decision-support model to capture and reuse the tacit knowledge within the organisations.

Initially current design practices, procedures, and problematic issues were identified through several rounds of surveys and interviews with experts (n=15) from the local industry. The study revealed that the local SME sector Injection Mould Design (IMD) industry is diminishing despite the increasing global demand. This study further identified several problematic areas, where retaining the knowledge of the experienced personnel at retirement is the major burning issue.

Several knowledge management (KM) models are in practice, yet they cannot be readily implemented as a solution to overcome the issues specific to the SME IMD industry. Therefore, a framework for capturing and reusing tacit knowledge was developed. In order to enable implementation of the framework, a decision-support model was also developed to support the decision-making process of IMD. Finally, the developed model was validated through case studies (n=4) in different industry settings. The decision support model to capture tacit knowledge can be used to design injection moulds with relatively simple components, mainly designed and manufactured by the SME sector mould makers. As future work, the functionality of the model can be improved by integrating artificial intelligence (AI) tools to introduce self-learning capability.

Keywords: Decision support, Expert modelling, Injection mould design, SME, Tacit knowledge management.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Abbreviations	iv
List of Appendices	v
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	3
1.2. Research Gap	5
1.3. Aim and Objectives	7
1.4. Scope of Study and Research Method	7
1.5. Overview of Thesis	10
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1. Injection Mould Design	12
2.2. Knowledge Management	20
2.2.1 Wiig Model	21
2.2.2 SECI Model	21
2.2.3 Meyer and Zack Model	21
2.2.4 Bukowitz and Williams Model	22
2.2.5 Boisot's I-Space Model	22
2.2.6 Choo Sense-Making Model	22
2.3. Decision Support Expert Modelling	23
3. INDUSTRY SURVEY IN IMD	27
3.1. Study Design	27
3.2. Sampling	28
3.3. Data Collection, Analysis, and Results	29
3.4. Survey Findings	33
4. KM FRAMEWORK AND EXPERT MODEL FOR IMD	35
4.1. Proposed Knowledge Management Framework	35
4.2. Development of the Expert Model	36
4.3. Algorithms of Expert Model for IMD	38
5. EVALUATION OF EXPERT MODEL FOR IMD	44
5.1. Selection of Case Study	44
5.2. Implementation of Case Study	45
5.3. Results and Discussion	50
6. CONCLUSION	55
REFERENCES	57

LIST OF APPENDICES	61
Appendix 1. Literature Review Related Data	61
Appendix 2. KM Framework Related Data	64
Appendix 3. Explicit Runner/Gate Design Data.....	69
Appendix 4. Questionnaire	72
Appendix 5. SPI Mould Classification	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1.1	Plastic injection moulding market size (bn USD)	1
Figure 1.2	Export value of rubber and plastic related products [4]	2
Figure 1.3	Injection mould development process outline [51]	3
Figure 1.4	Fill analysis of plastic stool in Autodesk MoldFlow [52]	4
Figure 1.5	Typical components of an injection mould [51]	4
Figure 1.6	State of local injection mould manufacturing.....	5
Figure 1.7	Technology gap	5
Figure 1.8	Expected outcome for the local injection mould manufacturing.....	5
Figure 1.9	Identified research gap.....	6
Figure 1.10	Influence factors in injection mould design [53].....	6
Figure 1.10	Research plan according to the ‘research onion’[54]	8
Figure 1.11	Outline of research framework	9
Figure 1.12	Thesis outline.....	10
Figure 2.1	Outline of literature review.....	12
Figure 2.2	Algorithm for injection mould design procedure [25].....	13
Figure 2.3	Methodical designing of injection moulds	13
Figure 2.4	Injection mould design process supported by software.....	14
Figure 2.5	Mould design methodologies in practice	15
Figure 2.6	Comparison of costs vs production methods in mould-making [25].....	15
Figure 2.7	Advanced technologies of Industry 4.0 for IMD [55]	16
Figure 2.8	Annual output of local establishments.....	17
Figure 2.9	Key factors influencing the IMD sector growth.....	19
Figure 2.10	Injection mould design requirement map	20
Figure 2.11	Improved conceptual knowledge management process model [56].....	21
Figure 2.12	Typical structure of a knowledge-based system [57]	24
Figure 3.1	Google form for questionnaire survey	28
Figure 3.2	Percentage of establishments engaged in related fields.....	30
Figure 3.3	Percentage of establishments using CAD/CAM/CAE	31
Figure 3.4	Percentage of establishments having CAD mould designers	31
Figure 4.1	Proposed KM framework for design decision support for IMD.....	35
Figure 4.2	Proposed expert model architecture.....	36
Figure 4.3	Case-based filtering mechanism	38

Figure 4.4 Rule-based filtering mechanism	38
Figure 4.5 Summary of the algorithms' workflow.....	43
Figure 5.1 Runner system layouts.....	44
Figure 5.2 Expert model main menu – tacit data recording.....	45
Figure 5.3 Expert model parameter definitions-1	45
Figure 5.4 Expert model parameter definitions-2	46
Figure 5.5 Expert model main menu – parameter definitions-3	46
Figure 5.6 Case bank in CBF for bottle-cap case study	46
Figure 5.7 Case-based data record filtering for bottle-cap case study	47
Figure 5.8 Rule-based tacit data filtering for bottle-cap case study.....	47
Figure 5.9 Expert model explicit data recording.....	49
Figure 5.10 Expert model tacit data recording.....	49
Figure 5.11 Expert model selection of GF and runner layout.....	50
Figure 5.12 Calculation of SFR to filter tacit data	50
Figure 5.13 Fill analysis - partially filled, pin-point gate, unbalanced filling	51
Figure 5.14 Fill analysis – partially filled filling, pinpoint-gate, balanced filling.....	51
Figure 5.15 Fill analysis with an 8-cavity, runner layout 2, side gate	52
Figure 5.16 Fill analysis with a 4-cavity layout.....	52
Figure 5.17 MoldFlow Analysis Results-Setup 1	53
Figure 5.18 MoldFlow Analysis Results-Mold details	53
Figure 5.19 MoldFlow Analysis Results-Runner details	54
Figure A.1 Scope of research study	63
Figure A.2 Customer details	64
Figure A.3 Job details	64
Figure A.4 Part details	64
Figure A.5 Moulding machine details.....	64
Figure A.6 Part material details	65
Figure A.7 Runner layouts for circular parts	65
Figure A.8 Typical runner cross-section details	65
Figure A.9 Runner cross-section id.....	66
Figure A.10 Moulding machine platen details.....	66
Figure A.11 Recorded design data.....	67
Figure A.12 Geometry factor definitions	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Common Elements of Selected KM Models.....	23
Table 3.1	Focus Areas and Relevant Questions in the Questionnaire	29
Table 3.2	Summary of Key Management Issues	31
Table 3.3	Summary of Key Operational Issues.....	32
Table 4.1	Expert Model Parameters for Decision Making*	37
Table 4.2	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD - Algorithm 1	40
Table 4.3	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD - Algorithm 2	41
Table 4.4	Tacit Data Filter Rules (example).....	41
Table 4.5	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD - Algorithm 3	42
Table 4.6	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD - Algorithm 4	42
Table 4.7	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD -Algorithm 5	43
Table 4.8	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD - Algorithm 6	43
Table 5.1	Proposed Expert Model Logic for IMD - Algorithm 7	47
Table 5.2	Tacit Data Filter Rule Bank for Case Study	48
Table 5.3	Case Study Results and Model Responses.....	51
Table A.1	Common Injection Mould Types	61
Table A.2	Technologies used in IMD	61
Table A.3	Key features of MoldFlow Software by Autodesk.	62
Table A.4	Key Software tools for IMD, analysis, and machinig.....	62
Table A.5	Expert Model Parameters for Decision Making.....	68
Table A.6	Parameter Dependency.....	68

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ACM	Association for Computing Machinery
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering
CAM	Computer Aided Manufacturing
CN	Cavity Number
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
CNPF	Preferred Number of Cavities
D	Primary Runner Diameter
D_h	Hydraulic Diameter
DPG	Design Part Geometry
EDP	Explicit Design Data
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
IM	Injection Moulding
IMD	Injection Mould Design
KM	Knowledge Management
LSE	Large-Scale Enterprises
MDMA	Mould and Die Makers Association
NDP	Novice Design Data
NERDC	National Engineering Research and Development Centre
OPAC	Online Public Access Catalogue
PCN	Preferred Number of Cavities
PET	Polyethylene Terephthalate
PIMF	Part ID Matching Factor
RCPF	Runner Cross-section Priority Factor
RCPO	Runner Cross-section Priority Order
RD	Research and Development
RLPF	Runner Layout Priority Factor
RLPO	Runner Layout Priority Order
SF	Selection Factor
SFR	Selection Factor Rank
SFRE	Selection Factor Rank Explicit Data
SFRT	Selection Factor Rank Tacit Data
SME	Small and Medium-scale Enterprises
TDF	Tacit Data Factor
TDP	Tacit Design Data
TEPG	Tacit/Explicit Part Geometry

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix – 1	Literature Review Related Data	49
Appendix – 2	KM Frameworks Related Data	51
Appendix – 3	Explicit Runner Design Data	55
Appendix – 4	Questionnaire	58
Appendix – 5	SPI Mould Classification	63