

**COMPARISON OF THE TRANSPORT
INFRASTRUCTURE METRICS OF CITIES THAT HAVE
SUCCESSFULLY PROMOTED MICROMOBILITY.**

Rasalingam Jhanaghiram

219580J

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Engineering in Highway & Traffic Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

January 2025

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

(R Jhanaghiram)

Date: 19-01-2025

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD/MPhil/Master's thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. H.R. Pasindu

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 19.1.2025

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply thankful to the University of Moratuwa for granting me the opportunity to delve into this captivating subject matter. The journey through this dissertation has been profoundly enlightening, and I firmly believe it will play a significant role in shaping my professional development.

I am particularly indebted to my supervisor, Professor Pasindu H.R. Hadunneththi, whose unwavering guidance, mentorship, and support have been indispensable throughout this endeavour. His insightful suggestions and patient feedback have greatly contributed to the refinement of my work. I would also like to express my gratitude to the esteemed faculty and mentors of the civil engineering department at the University of Moratuwa for their invaluable guidance and encouragement.

Additionally, I extend my heartfelt thanks to the participants of the questionnaire survey, whose provision of data and information has been pivotal to this study. Their support and encouragement have been a constant source of strength during this challenging period, for which I am sincerely grateful.

ABSTRACT

This extensive report undertakes a comprehensive exploration of the intricate landscape of bicycle transportation metrics across ten micro-mobility-promoted cities. The study adopts a structured approach, organizing its analysis into five fundamental categories: bicycle infrastructure, regulatory environment, user convenience, network connectivity, and safety & security. Each category is meticulously defined and enriched with a comprehensive array of metrics, ensuring a thorough assessment of the multifaceted aspects of bicycle transportation systems.

The process of data collection was exhaustive and methodical, drawing from a diverse range of reputable sources including official websites, regulatory guidelines, scholarly literature, research papers, and an extensive questionnaire survey. This meticulous approach ensured the acquisition of robust and reliable data sets, essential for conducting a rigorous analysis.

Following the meticulous data collection phase, a rigorous analytical framework was employed to establish benchmarks for each individual metric. This methodological approach facilitated a nuanced and insightful performance evaluation, shedding light on both the strengths and areas for improvement within the bicycle transportation systems of the studied cities.

The findings of this comprehensive investigation yield invaluable insights into the effectiveness of bicycle transportation systems within micro-mobility-promoted cities. By offering a detailed examination of performance across various metrics, the report provides actionable recommendations for policymakers and urban planners. These recommendations are tailored to address specific areas of improvement, aiming to enhance both bicycle infrastructure and regulatory frameworks.

Ultimately, the report underscores the importance of fostering sustainable and safe cycling practices within urban environments. By leveraging the insights gleaned from this study, policymakers and urban planners can devise strategies that promote the development of robust bicycle transportation systems, thereby contributing to the creation of healthier, more environmentally-friendly cities.

Keywords: Micro-Mobility, Metrics, bicycle transport, Promoted cities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Acknowledgement.....	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Abbreviations.....	ix
List of Appendices	x
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background study	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Objectives	2
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Bicycle is the sustainable transportation model in developed city.....	4
2.2 Identify the micro-mobility promoted cities.....	5
2.3 Identified the bicycling metrics in micro mobility promoted cities.	8
2.4 Developed the framework & defined the metrics for comparative analysis of bicycling performance	11
2.4.1 Bicycle infrastructure.....	11
2.4.2 Regulatory environment	17
2.4.3 User convenience	23
2.4.4 Network connectivity.....	24
2.4.5 Safety & security	27
2.5 Colombo Present Bicycle mode transportation issues	28
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	31
3.1 Introduction	31
3.2 Aim and Objectives	31
3.3 Strategies and Approaches	31
CHAPTER 4: DATA COLLECTION	32
4.1 Requirement and collection of data.....	32
4.2 Data Presentation.....	33
4.2.1 Infrastructure.....	33

4.2.2 Regulatory environment	41
4.2.3 User convenience	48
4.2.4 Network connectivity	51
4.2.5 Safety & security	54
4.3 Colombo Bicycle mode transportation data collection	56
CHAPTER 5: DATA ANALYSIS.....	57
5.1 Introduction	57
5.2 General data Analysis.....	57
5.3 Metrics data analysis	59
5.3.1 Bicycle infrastructure.....	59
5.3.2 Regulatory environment	62
5.3.3 User convenience	67
5.3.4 Network connectivity.....	68
5.3.5 Safety & security	70
5.4 Summarized the benchmarks.....	70
5.5 Comparison the Metrics Sri Lankan City (Colombo)	71
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION	74
6.1 Stage of development bicycle mobility in Colombo city	74
CHAPTER 7: REFERENCE	78

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Responses cities.....	58
Figure 2: Frequency of bicycle usage per week.....	58
Figure 3: Distance to travel by bicycle	58
Figure 4: Purpose of bicycle usage	59
Figure 5: Type of bicycle usage	59
Figure 6: Chart of Recommended speed in guidelines	63
Figure 7: Relationship with design speed and turning radius	64
Figure 8: Relationship with Design speed and stopping sight distances	64

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: CO2 emission of user phase and life cycle assessment.	5
Table 2: Summary of selected micromobility promoted cities	8
Table 3: Data of BND and PDBN.....	33
Table 4: Bicycle Lane factor performance.....	35
Table 5: Bicycle traffic signal & crossing scoring.....	36
Table 6: Intersection factor performance scoring	37
Table 7: Parking facility performance.....	39
Table 8: Scoring for bicycle parking factor performance	40
Table 9: Bicycle penetration rate, Revenue & Revenue growth in 2023.....	40
Table 10: Expected bicycle user forecast in 2027.....	40
Table 11: Last 5 Year penetration rate for bicycle user	41
Table 12: Required minimum width for cyclist pathway.....	41
Table 13: Minimum Speed, Turing radius, stopping sight distances.....	42
Table 14: Score for mixed-use land development factor performances	42
Table 15: Length and Number of Railway station in cities	43
Table 16: Data for percentage of railway network users of population and bicycle user	43
Table 17: Rates for a 30-minute single ride and a 30-day package for share bicycle	44
Table 18: Government reduced tax percentage for share bicycle providers.....	44
Table 19: Sourcing for Language Consideration	45
Table 20: Scoring for Cultural Sensitivity	45
Table 21: Scoring for Feedback Mechanism	46
Table 22: Scoring for Education Campaigns	46
Table 23: Scoring for Cyclist Events	47
Table 24: Scoring for Enforcement.....	47
Table 25: Scoring for Information technology.....	48
Table 26: Scoring of Amenities for cyclist	49
Table 27: Scoring for Accessibility	50
Table 28: Scoring for Comprehensives network coverage	51
Table 29: Scoring for Interconnectivity with other modes of transportation.....	51
Table 30: Scoring for Consistent infrastructure standards.....	52
Table 31: Scoring for Strategic route planning	53
Table 32: Scoring for Connectivity to adjacent neighbourhoods and communities ..	53
Table 33: Scoring for Separation from motor vehicle traffic.....	54
Table 34: Scoring for Intersection safety	54
Table 35: Scoring for Conflict points	55
Table 36: Scoring for Traffic calming measures.....	55
Table 37: BND & PDBN metrics values	59
Table 38: Bicycle parking regulation requirement (BPRR) Central tendencies	65
Table 39: Engagement Performance for Bicycling (EPB).....	67
Table 40: Infrastructure metrics comparison	71
Table 41: Regulatory environment metrics comparison	72
Table 42: User convince metrics comparison	72
Table 43: Network connectivity metrics comparison	72

Table 44: Safety & Security metrics comparison 73

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CO2	Carbon dioxide
MRS	Marginal rate of substitution
BSIR	Bicycle Safety Index Rating
BLOS	Bicycle Level of Service
BSA	Bicycle Suitability Assessment
BCI	Bicycle Compatibility Index
BSS	Bicycle Suitability Score
BSR	Bicycle Suitability Rating
HIS	Interaction Hazard Score
RCI	Road Condition Index
RDA	Road Development Authority
RDD	Road Development Department
UDA	Urban Development Authority

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Sub-metrics classification
Appendix B	Summarise the metrics for studies
Appendix C	Summary of data collection methods
Appendix D	Type of bicycle lane available on each cities
Appendix E	Type of intersection available for cyclist in each cities
Appendix F	Type of parking facilities available each in cities
Appendix G	Facilities supported for shear bicycle program & share bicycle provider name
Appendix H	Key agencies and authorities involve the bicycle network development
Appendix I	Bicycle parking requirement in various location
Appendix J	Scoring outcome of questionnaire in Colombo Sri Lanka
Appendix K	Calculation of central tendencies and variables of metrics
Appendix L	Summary of metrics benchmark
Appendix M	Questionnaire: Exploring Bicycle Network Metrics in Cities Promoting Cycling; with Response of Questionnaire
Appendix N	Questionnaire: Finding the Bicycle Network Performance in Colombo, Sri Lankan City