

**METHODOLOGY FOR SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF
DEMANDS IN RURAL REGIONS WITH LIMITED
DATA AVAILABILITY FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION
NETWORK MODELLING**

Hewa Thanthrege Hemaka Lakmal Wimalaweera

198500F

Master of Science in Environmental Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

February 2024

**METHODOLOGY FOR SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF
DEMANDS IN RURAL REGIONS WITH LIMITED
DATA AVAILABILITY FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION
NETWORK MODELLING**

Hewa Thanthreege Hemaka Lakmal Wimalaweera

198500F

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Environmental Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

February 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD/MPhil/Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Professor J.M.A. Manatunge

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Jagath Manatunge, at the Environmental Engineering Division of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for the guidance and support provided throughout the entire course of the study.

Secondly, I would like to express my gratitude to Eng. Primal Jinadasa, Deputy General Manager (Planning & Design) of the National Water Supply & Drainage Board, for sharing valuable knowledge on hydraulic modelling of water networks, which was immensely helpful in conducting this study.

Moreover, I appreciate my employer, the National Water Supply & Drainage Board, and its staff for enabling me to conduct this study by providing the necessary resources.

Last but not least, I want to thank my wife and my family for their unwavering support, being with me at all times, and helping me successfully complete this study.

ABSTRACT

Demand allocation can be identified as one of the most important steps in modelling of water distribution networks (WDN). Often this is done by distributing the overall demand of a WDN across the nodes of the hydraulic model. In areas where properly geo-referenced demand points or consumer meters are unavailable, this becomes a tedious and a labour-intensive task that takes a considerable amount of time. This study addresses this challenge of spatially distributing the demands for hydraulic modelling of WDNs by introducing an innovative methodology has the capability of overcoming the scarcity of geo-referenced demand data or consumer meters. It focuses on distributing the overall demand of the WDN across the building points in the area proportionately to the sizes of building footprints. The proposed methodology is validated by applying the methodology to a real world WDN in Malimbada area of Matara District, Southern Province, Sri Lanka. Modelling was carried out using the Bentley WaterGEMS® software with the aid of ArcGIS platform, complemented by the applications such as QGIS and Google Earth Pro. Demand data needed for the modelling were obtained from the bulk flow meters of the supply reservoir of the WDN while building details were obtained from newly published Google Open Buildings dataset utilizing QGIS. Simulated results of the computed model were compared with the actual field data at selected locations obtained through digital pressure loggers. Comparison of observed and simulated pressures at different time steps and selected locations demonstrated a high degree of correlation. Linear correlation coefficients (R^2) affirmed the accuracy of the model across the entire network. Despite limitations, such as data availability challenges and the study's single-case focus, the methodology showcases promising results in replicating real-world scenarios. However, the study emphasizes the need for further research to assess its adaptability across diverse scenarios and network types. The study contributes to the field of WDN modelling by providing a convenient methodology to model WDNs in environments where the demand data availability is low.

Keywords: Water Distribution Networks, Hydraulic Modelling, WaterGEMS®, Demand Allocation, Demand Distribution

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
ABBREVIATIONS	ix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background and Rationale	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem	3
1.3. Scope and Significance of the Study	4
1.3.1. Scope of the Study	4
1.3.2. Significance of the Study	4
1.4. Brief Overview of Chapters	5
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1. Overview of Water Supply Distribution Networks and their Importance.....	6
2.2. Existing Methodologies and Applications for Designing Water Supply Distribution Networks.....	7
2.2.1. Different Software Applications for Hydraulic Modelling of Water Distribution Networks.....	7
2.2.2. Review of Existing Methodologies on Demand Allocation	8
3. METHODOLOGY	15
3.1. Research Design and Approach.....	15
3.1.1. Research Objectives.....	15
3.1.2. Research Strategy	15
3.2. Proposed Methodology.....	16

3.2.1.	Data Processing.....	16
3.2.2.	Hydraulic Modelling Framework.....	17
3.2.3.	Demand Distribution Criteria.....	18
3.2.4.	Derivation of the Diurnal Curve and Hourly Factors (Demand Multipliers).....	18
3.2.5.	Nodal Demand Allocation.....	19
3.2.6.	Model Computation	20
3.2.7.	Validation and Verification of the Methodology.....	21
3.3.	Description of the Study Area	21
3.3.1.	Location of the Study Area	21
3.3.2.	Distribution Network	22
3.4.	Materials and Tools Used.....	24
3.5.	Data Collection.....	24
3.5.1.	Primary Data	24
3.5.2.	Secondary Data	25
3.6.	Creation of the Hydraulic Model.....	26
3.6.1.	Model Building	26
3.6.2.	Assigning Elevation Data.....	26
3.6.3.	Pipeline Roughness Coefficient Data.....	28
3.6.4.	Calculation of Demands and Derivation of Diurnal Curve.....	29
3.7.	Model Computation.....	34
3.8.	Validation and Verification of the Proposed Methodology	35
3.8.1.	Selection of Locations.....	35
3.8.2.	Statistical Analysis	36
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	38
4.1.	Data Collection Results	38

4.1.1.	Primary Data Collection Results.....	38
4.1.2.	Secondary Data Collection Results.....	42
4.2.	Results of the Computed Model.....	44
4.3.	Validation of the Model	45
4.3.1.	Comparison Pressures at Different Time Steps	45
4.3.2.	Comparison of Pressures at Selected Locations.....	48
4.3.3.	Low R ² Values at Location 1 and 2	54
4.3.4.	Differential Pressure Variation across Locations.....	54
4.4.	Limitations and Challenges	55
4.4.1.	Model Performance and Assessment of Results	55
4.4.2.	Calibration of the Hydraulic Model	55
4.4.3.	Data Availability and Usability Issues.....	56
4.4.4.	Limited Validation to a Single Case Study	56
5.	CONCLUSION.....	57
	REFERENCES.....	58
	APPENDICES	61

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Pipe details of WDN	22
Table 2: Roughness Coefficients (C) values for pipelines.....	29
Table 3: Average Hourly Flow Rates of the WDN across 7 days	29
Table 4: Hourly Demand Multipliers for WaterGEMS® model	30
Table 5: Correlation Coefficient values and their interpretations.....	37
Table 6: Calculated AHFR values for outlets of the supply reservoir	38
Table 7: 7-day hourly flow values through 300mm dia. outlet.....	39
Table 8: 7-day hourly flow values through 300mm dia. outlet.....	40
Table 9: Hourly field data of selected locations.....	41
Table 10: Calculation Summary table of WaterGEMS® model	44
Table 11: Correlation coefficient values of each location	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Load Builder Wizard of WaterGEMS®	20
Figure 2: Study Area Location	22
Figure 3: Water Distribution Network	23
Figure 4: Digital Elevation Model	27
Figure 5: Diurnal Curve of WDN	31
Figure 6: Hydraulic pattern of WaterGEMS®	31
Figure 7: Distribution of Buildings	32
Figure 8: Building Points Layer	33
Figure 9: Demand Control Centre of WaterGEMS®	34
Figure 10: Pressure Logger Locations	36
Figure 11: Contours and Point Elevations	42
Figure 12: Google Open Buildings View	43
Figure 13: Calculation Summary Graph of the WaterGEMS® Model.....	44
Figure 14: Pressure comparison at 1:00AM	45
Figure 15: Pressure comparison at 6:00AM	456
Figure 16: Pressure comparison at 2:00PM	456
Figure 17: Pressure comparison at 7:00PM	457
Figure 18: Coefficient of determination for Observed and Simulated Pressures.....	458
Figure 19: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 1.....	48
Figure 20: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 2.....	49
Figure 21: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 3.....	49
Figure 22: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 4.....	50
Figure 23: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 5.....	510
Figure 24: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 6.....	51
Figure 25: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 7.....	521
Figure 26: Comparison of hourly variation of pressures at location 8.....	52
Figure 27: Coefficient of determination for observed and simulated pressures at all locations	52

ABBREVIATIONS

ADD	Average Daily Demand
AHF	Average Hourly Flow
AHFR	Average Hourly Flow Rate
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DI	Ductile Iron
dia.	Diameter
EPS	Extended Period Simulation
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
MSL	Mean Sea Level
N	North
NRW	Non-Revenue Water
NWSDB	National Water Supply & Drainage Board
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
WDN	Water Distribution Network