

**STUDY OF SUITABILITY OF SMALL HYDROPOWER
GENERATION IN CANAL SYSTEMS: A CASE STUDY
IN MAHAWELI SPECIAL AREAS**

S.P.H. Semasinghe

(199442K)

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree Master of Science/ Master of Engineering in Mechanical Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

September 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 2024/09/18

S.P.H. Semasinghe,

199442K

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/MPhil/PhD thesis/Dissertation under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. Indrajith D. Nissanka

Signature of the supervisor: Date: 2024/09/18

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I offer my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nissanka D. Indrajith, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for his invaluable support, knowledge, and guidance, as well as for devoting his valuable time. I also extend my gratitude to the staff of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for their assistance in completing this research.

I am thankful to the staff of the Maduru Oya Right Bank Development Project, Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka, System B, including Eng. M.P.M. Perera, Project Director, and Eng. R.M.V.K. Rathnayake, Project Engineer. I am also grateful to Eng. T.M.N.C. Senavirathne, Civil Engineer at the Resident Project Manager's Office, System B, for his significant assistance, facilitation, and provision of necessary information and data.

I would also like to thank the staff of the Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka, System C, including Eng. W.A.C.L. Wanasundara, Deputy Resident Project Manager (Technical Services), and Eng. H.G.N.S. Gamage, Civil Engineer, for their invaluable assistance, facilitation, and provision of necessary information and data.

My gratitude extends to the staff of the head office of the Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka for their assistance and support with information for this research.

I am also grateful to the staff of the Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority, including Eng. N.D. Premadasa, Assistant Director (Engineering), for their assistance and information.

I offer my thanks to Mr. Kapila Katulanda, Managing Director of Ceylon Hydro Energies Company (Pvt) Ltd., for his significant assistance and information.

Finally, I would like to thank my family members, including my wife, son, father, mother, brother, and sister, for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout this research.

Abstract

Sri Lanka is currently facing an energy crisis, with a considerable amount of the country's foreign reserves being used to import fossil fuels for power generation. Power generation using fossil fuels in thermal power plants has a significant impact on the environment. Additionally, the maintenance of thermal power plants is costly. As a result, Sri Lanka has focused on generating electricity using renewable energy sources, which have lower operational costs and less environmental impact. Currently, solar PV, small hydro, wind, and biomass are the renewable energy sources used to generate electricity in Sri Lanka. Sea wave power is also considered a feasible renewable energy resource.

Small hydropower generation is one of the best methods for renewable energy production. Social and environmental impacts are low for small hydropower generation, and the plant capacity factor is higher compared to other renewable energy sources. Small hydropower projects can be implemented using natural flows or canal falls in irrigation systems. Hydropower generation using river runoff has already been extensively explored and is nearly saturated in Sri Lanka. Canal fall-based small hydropower projects (SHPPs) have lower environmental impacts than natural flow-based SHPPs because the canal infrastructure already exists. Sri Lanka has irrigation canal systems in the dry zone that can be considered for small hydropower generation.

In this research, the suitability of small hydropower generation in irrigation canal systems in Sri Lanka is investigated through a case study of the irrigation canal systems in the System B and System C Mahaweli Special Areas. Suitable locations for SHPPs development in these irrigation canal systems were identified, and the feasibility of technological, economic, environmental, and social factors in these proposed SHPPs was analyzed. A sensitivity analysis was conducted to determine the optimal design flow for achieving the best financial metrics. Of the nine initially identified projects, six were deemed feasible for implementation following the feasibility analysis.

Key findings include:

1. The plant capacity factors for proposed canal fall-based SHPPs are higher than those for SHPPs in unregulated natural waterways in Sri Lanka.
2. The Q_{40} design flow consistently provides optimal financial metrics for proposed SHPPs.
3. Higher-capacity SHPPs result in better financial metrics than lower-capacity SHPPs.

Keywords: Renewable energy, Canal fall, Small hydropower, Grid-connected, EIA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the candidate and supervisor.....	i
Acknowledgement.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Table of content.....	iv
List of figures.....	vi
List of tables.....	viii
List of abbreviations.....	ix
List of appendices.....	x
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Overview.....	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	2
1.3 Aim.....	2
1.4 Objective.....	3
1.5 Contribution to knowledge.....	3
2 Literature review.....	4
2.1 Small hydropower generation.....	4
2.2 Types of SHPPs.....	5
2.2.1 Run-of-river SHPPs.....	5
2.2.2 Canal fall based SHPPs.....	7
2.3 Turbines for SHPPs.....	8
2.3.1 Turbine types.....	8
2.3.2 Selection of a turbine for a SHPP.....	10
2.3.3 Turbines for canal fall-based SHPPs.....	10
2.3.3.1 Propeller / Kaplan turbine.....	11
2.3.3.2 Archimedean screw turbine.....	12
2.3.3.3 Turbulent Vortex turbine.....	15
2.4 Generators for SHPPs.....	19
2.4.1 Synchronous generators.....	19
2.4.2 Asynchronous (induction) generators.....	19
2.4.3 Permanent magnet generators.....	19
2.5 Governing of the generating unit.....	20
2.5.1 Using a turbine governor.....	20

2.5.2	Using an Electronic Load Controller (ELC).....	20
2.5.3	Summary of the literature review.....	20
3	Field data collection in Mahaweli System B and C	21
3.1	Introduction to Mahaweli System B and System C areas.....	21
3.1.1	Mahaweli System B area.....	21
3.1.2	Mahaweli System C area.....	21
3.1.3	Irrigation canal system in Mahaweli System B and C.....	24
3.2	Identification of feasible locations.....	27
3.3	Data collection.....	29
3.4	Flow data organization.....	33
4	Power plant parameters.....	36
4.1	Selection of turbines.....	36
4.2	Plant performance parameters.....	40
5	Financial feasibility.....	50
6	Environmental and social impacts.....	66
6.1	Environmental impacts.....	68
6.2	Social impacts.....	71
7	Summary of feasibility analysis.....	72
8	Implementation.....	73
8.1	Approval process.....	72
8.2	Power plant construction.....	74
8.2.1	Civil construction works.....	74
8.2.2	Electrical works and grid interconnection.....	74
8.3	Operation and maintenance.....	76
9	Conclusions and recommendations	77
	Reference List.....	79
	Bibliography.....	85
	Appendix A: Flow Duration Curves.....	87
	Appendix B: Power Duration Curves.....	96

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Major components of run-of-river SHPP.....	6
Figure 2.2	Water conveyance system of run-of-river SHPP.....	6
Figure 2.3	Canal fall-based SHPP.....	7
Figure 2.4	Canal fall-based SHPP waterways.....	7
Figure 2.5	Turbine selection chart.....	10
Figure 2.6	Components of a typical Kaplan turbine.....	11
Figure 2.7	Kaplan / Propeller turbine water flow path.....	12
Figure 2.8	Archimedean screw power plant components.....	13
Figure 2.9	Archimedean screw turbine power flow.....	14
Figure 2.10	Components of a Turbulent Vortex turbine.....	15
Figure 2.11	Turbulent Vortex turbine with submerged generator.....	16
Figure 2.12	Multiple Turbulent Vortex turbines along a river.....	16
Figure 2.13	Multiple Turbulent Vortex turbines along a canal.....	17
Figure 2.14	Water flow through the Turbulent Vortex turbine.....	17
Figure 3.1	Map of Mahaweli System B area.....	22
Figure 3.2	Map of Mahaweli System C area.....	23
Figure 3.3	Issue tree of Maduruoya left bank main and branch canals, System B.....	25
Figure 3.4	Issue tree of canal system, System C.....	26
Figure 3.5	Hydrograph for LBL3 location, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B for the period of 2019/04/20 to 2022/09/29.....	31
Figure 3.6	Hydrograph for Carson Lake location, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B for the period of 2019/04/20 to 2022/09/29.....	31
Figure 3.7	Water issue pattern for Regulator 14, Regulator 15 and Pimburaththewa Lake sluice locations, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B for a one year.....	32
Figure 3.8	Flow duration curve for LBL3 branch canal location, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B.....	35
Figure 4.1	Turbine selection for LBL3 branch canal location, System B.....	39

Figure 4.2	CEB Tariff for renewable energy projects.....	42
Figure 4.3	Power duration curve, LBL3 branch canal, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B based on the Q_{40} design flow.....	49
Figure A.1	Flow duration curve, LBL3 branch canal, System B.....	87
Figure A.2	Flow duration curve, Carson Lake sluice, System B.....	88
Figure A.3	Flow duration curve, Regulator 14, System B.....	89
Figure A.4	Flow duration curve, Regulator 15, System B.....	90
Figure A.5	Flow duration curve, Pimburaththewa Lake sluice, System B.....	91
Figure A.6	Flow duration curve, Henanigala tank sluice, System C.....	92
Figure A.7	Flow duration curve, Bambarawana tank sluice, System C.....	93
Figure A.8	Flow duration curve, Gate 1, MC2, BC2, System C.....	94
Figure A.9	Flow duration curve, Gate 2, MC2, BC2, System C.....	95
Figure B.1	Power duration curve, LBL3 branch canal, System B, (based on the Q_{40} design flow).....	96
Figure B.2	Power duration curve, Carson Lake sluice, System B, (based on the Q_{40} design flow).....	97
Figure B.3	Power duration curve, Regulator 14, System B, (based on the Q_{75} design flow).....	98
Figure B.4	Power duration curve, Regulator 15, System B, (based on the Q_{75} design flow).....	99
Figure B.5	Power duration curve, Pimburaththewa Lake sluice, System B, (based on the Q_{75} design flow).....	100
Figure B.6	Power duration curve, Henanigala tank sluice, System C, (based on the Q_{40} design flow).....	101
Figure B.7	Power duration curve, Bambarawana tank sluice, System C, (based on the Q_{40} design flow).....	102
Figure B.8	Power duration curve, Gate 1, MC2, BC2, System C, (based on the Q_{40} design flow).....	103
Figure B.9	Power duration curve, Gate 2, MC2, BC2, System C, based on the Q_{40} design flow).....	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Characteristics of different types of turbines.....	9
Table 3.1	Identified feasible locations in System B for the development of SHPPs.....	28
Table 3.2	Identified feasible locations in System C for the development of SHPPs.....	28
Table 3.3	Available water head for the selected locations in System B.....	29
Table 3.4	Available water head for the selected locations in System C.....	29
Table 3.5	Available power grid for the selected locations in System B.....	30
Table 3.6	Available power grid for the selected locations in System C.....	30
Table 3.7	Sorted flow data for the LBL3 branch canal location, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B for first 20 data points.....	34
Table 4.1	Flow values of SHPPs with measured flow data.....	37
Table 4.2	Flow values of SHPPs with estimated flow data.....	37
Table 4.3	Calculation of turbine operating flow, exported power and exported energy for the proposed small hydropower plant at LBL3 branch canal, Maduru Oya left bank main Canal, System B based on the Q_{40} design flow, for first 20 data points.....	46
Table 4.4	Annual energy generation of proposed SHPPs for various design flows.....	47
Table 4.5	Plant capacity factors of proposed SHPPs for various design flows	47
Table 4.6	Annual energy generation and plant capacity factors for estimated flow locations in System B based on Q_{75} design flow.....	48
Table 5.1	Tentative project cost of the proposed SHPP on LBL3 branch canal location of System B based on the Q_{40} design flow.....	50
Table 5.2	Financial analysis of SHPP on LBL3 branch canal, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B.....	54
Table 5.3	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on LBL3 branch canal, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B.....	55
Table 5.4	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on Carson Lake sluice, Maduru Oya left bank main canal, System B.....	56
Table 5.5	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on Henanigala tank sluice, System C.....	57

Table 5.6	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on Bambarawana tank sluice, System C.....	58
Table 5.7	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on Gate 1, MC2, BC2, System C.....	59
Table 5.8	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on Gate 2, MC2, BC2, System C.....	60
Table 5.9	Financial metrics summary of SHPP on estimated flow locations on System B.....	61
Table 5.10	Summary of financial metrics for the optimal design flow.....	63
Table 5.11	Results of financial feasibility analysis.....	65
Table 6.1	Annual Diesel fuel savings and avoided CO ₂ emissions from feasible proposed SHPPs.....	67
Table 7.1	Summary of feasibility analysis.....	72
Table 8.1	Approvals to be taken.....	73
Table 8.2	Electrical protections for a proposed small hydropower plant.....	75

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AVR	Automatic Voltage Regulator
CEA	Central Environmental Authority
CEB	Ceylon Electricity Board
EIA	Environment Impact assessment
ELC	Electronic Load Controller
FDC	Flow Duration Curve
GSMB	Geological Survey and Mines Bureau
IEE	Initial Environmental Examination
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
LOI	Letter of Intent
LV	Low Voltage
MASL	Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka

MCM	Million Cubic Meters
MV	Medium Voltage
NBRO	National Building Research Organization
NPV	Net Present Value
NWS&DB	National Water Supply and Drainage Board
PA	Provisional Approval
PAA	Project Approving Agency
PAT / MAG	Pump as Turbine / Motor as Generator
PDC	Power Duration Curve
PMG	Permanent Magnet Generator
PUCSL	Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka
PV	Photo Voltaic
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
ROCOF	Rate of Change of Frequency
SHPP	Small Hydro Power Project
SLSEA	Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority
SPPA	Standard Power Purchasing Agreement

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	
Appendix A	Flow duration curves.....	87
Appendix B	Power duration curves.....	96