

**FIRE PERFORMANCE OF MASONRY WALLS:
EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF WALL
BONDING PATTERNS**

W.M.C.S. Wanasinghe

248096B

M.Sc. (Major Component of Research)

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

February 2026

FIRE PERFORMANCE OF MASONRY WALLS: EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF WALL BONDING PATTERNS

W.M.C.S. Wanasinghe

248096B

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
MSc

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

February 2026

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 2026.02.27

The above candidate has carried out research for the ~~PhD/MPhil~~/Masters thesis/dissertation under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Dr. T.G.P.L. Weerasinghe

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 2026.02.27

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, **Dr. T.G.P.L. Weerasinghe** and **Prof. M.T.R. Jayasinghe**, for granting me the opportunity to undertake this study. Additionally, I am deeply grateful for his unwavering support and consistent guidance throughout the research project. My gratitude also extends to the entire academic faculty of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, commencing with **Prof. Udeni Nawagamuwa**, the Head of the Department, for their valuable contributions.

I express my sincere gratitude to all the academic and non-academic staff of the Department of Civil Engineering for their invaluable support and guidance throughout the completion of this research. I would also like to extend my thanks to our senior students for their assistance and insights. Their contributions have been of great significance and have enriched the outcome of this research.

Finally, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my family, colleagues, and those who have contributed significantly to my success.

ABSTRACT

Masonry walls are widely used as load bearing and compartmentation elements due to their inherent fire resistance; however, their structural performance under fire exposure is governed by complex thermo-mechanical interactions that depend on masonry unit geometry, mortar configuration, and bonding arrangement. Despite extensive international research, experimental data on the fire performance of masonry constructed using locally available materials remain limited, particularly for Sri Lankan construction context. This study experimentally investigates the fire performance of load-bearing masonry walls using medium-scale wallette testing. Eight masonry wallettes (including the trial specimen) were constructed using three commonly used masonry unit types—smart bricks, polished bricks, and standard solid clay bricks—incorporating different mortar joint thicknesses and bond patterns. Specimens were exposed to one-sided heating following a time–temperature curve based on ISO 834 using a medium-scale laboratory furnace for a duration of 60 minutes. The experimental program evaluated thermal response, vertical and lateral deformation behavior, visual damage characteristics, and residual compressive strength after cooling. The results showed that all masonry wallettes maintained unexposed surface temperatures below commonly referenced insulation limits under the applied heating conditions. A consistent trend was observed indicating that increased mortar joint thickness was associated with higher internal temperatures and greater vertical and lateral displacements. Bond pattern exhibited a secondary influence, with Flemish bond demonstrating comparatively improved deformation control relative to stretcher and English bonds. Smart brick masonry showed comparatively greater strength degradation after fire exposure, while polished and standard brick masonry retained higher residual compressive capacity, reflecting the influence of unit geometry and brick–mortar interaction. Overall, the findings indicate that masonry fire performance is governed by the combined effects of unit type, mortar configuration, and bonding arrangement. The study provides experimental evidence relevant to locally available masonry systems and demonstrates the suitability of medium-scale testing for comparative performance-based fire evaluation.

Keywords: Fire performance, Medium-scale testing, Thermal behavior, Masonry walls, Post-fire residual strength

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Acknowledgement.....	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Abbreviations.....	x
List of Appendices	xi
Chapter 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Fire Incidents and Requirements of Fire Resistance	1
1.2. Overview of Sri Lankan Building Fire Safety Guidelines	3
Chapter 2	4
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
2.1. Introduction	4
2.2. Experimental Research on the Fire Performance of Masonry.....	5
2.2.1. Small-Scale Experimental Studies	5
2.2.2. Large-Scale Testing	9
2.2.3. Medium-Scale Testing	10
Chapter 3	15
PROBLEM STATEMENT AND OBJECTIVES	15
3.1. Problem statement	15
3.2. Significance of the Research	15
3.3. Aim and objectives	16
3.3.1. Aim.....	16
3.3.2. Objectives.....	17
3.4. Research Scope and Contributions.....	17
Chapter 4	18
EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME	18

4.1.	Introduction	18
4.2.	Masonry Units	18
4.3.	Specimens.....	20
4.4.	Instrumentation.....	23
4.4.1.	Test Rig	23
4.4.2.	Amsler Universal Testing Machine	24
4.4.3.	Universal Testing Machine	24
4.4.4.	Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT).....	25
4.4.5.	Heating System	26
4.4.6.	Thermocouples	27
4.5.	Preparation of Specimens	28
4.5.1.	Wallettes.....	28
4.6.	Experimental Tests at High Temperature (Fire Condition).....	31
4.6.1.	Experimental Test Setup	31
4.7.	Experimental Tests at Ambient Temperature (Residual Condition)	33
4.7.1.	Flow Test for Cement Mortar	33
4.7.2.	Compressive strength test for cement mortar cubes	34
4.7.3.	Compressive strength test for masonry units	34
4.7.4.	Compressive Strength Test of Wallets.....	35
Chapter 5		36
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		36
5.1.	Introduction	36
5.2.	Thermal Behavior.....	36
5.2.1.	Thermocouple Labeling	37
5.2.2.	Internal Thermocouples	42
5.3.	Mechanical Behavior.....	46
5.4.	Visual Assessment.....	47
5.5.	Residual Compressive Behavior	53
Chapter 6		57
CONCLUSION		57
6.1.	Introduction	57
6.2.	Conclusions	57

6.3. Limitations of the Study	58
6.4. Recommendations for Future Research	59
References	60
Appendix A	64
a) Sample 01	64
b) Sample 02	65
c) Sample 03	66
d) Sample 04	67
e) Sample 05	68
f) Sample 06	69
g) Sample 07	70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1: Masonry Brick Units and Dimensions (a) Standard Brick; (b) Polish Brick; (c) Smart Brick.....		19
Figure 2: Dimensions of Masonry Specimens		22
Figure 3: Test Rig Used to Apply the Initial Load		23
Figure 4: The Amsler Universal Testing Machine.....		24
Figure 5: The Universal Testing Machine		24
Figure 6: Linear Variable Differential Transformer		25
Figure 7: Attachment of LVDTs to wall specimen at unexposed side; (a) Vertical LVDT; (b) Horizontal LVDT		25
Figure 8: Heating System; (a) Furnace; (b) Burner		26
Figure 9: Thermocouple Calibration from Thermometer		27
Figure 10: Thermocouple Data Logging System.....		28
Figure 11: Positioning of Thermocouples at the Unexposed Surface of the Wallettes		28
Figure 12: Attachment of the thermocouple to the brick		29
Figure 13: Phases of fabrication the wallettes.....		30
Figure 14: General View of the Experimental Setup		31
Figure 15: Fire Experimental Setup; Left- Front View; Right- Longitudinal Cut View		32
Figure 16: Cured Mortar Cubes		34
Figure 17: The Spread Diameter Measurement of the Mortar Flow.....		34
Figure 18: Mortar Cubes after Compressive Strength Test		35
Figure 19: Compressive Strength Test of Mortar cubes at Room Temperature		35
Figure 20: Compressive Strength Test of Wall Specimens after the Fire Test.....		35
Figure 21: Compressive Strength Test of Smart Brick Unit at Room Temperature..		35
Figure 22: (a) Infrared thermal images captured during the fire test, (b) Laser thermometer measurements taken at various points on the unexposed surface to verify the temperature readings from the thermocouples		37
Figure 23: Temperature evolution throughout specimen 4 testing. The upper figure illustrates the furnace and exposed surface temperatures with internal thermocouple		

readings up to 1000 °C, whereas the lower figure shows a zoomed-in representation of the unexposed.....	39
Figure 24: Average Unexposed Surface Temperatures for Seven Specimens.....	40
Figure 25: Left figures show the positions of the internal thermocouples inside the wall specimens, with thermocouples placed at T_Top (green), T_Bottom (red), and T_MidBrick (black). Right figure presents the time-temperature curves of the specimens (a) sample no 03, (b) sample no 05, and (c) sample no 07.....	43
Figure 26: Above figure shows the positions of the internal thermocouples inside the wall specimens 01 and 02, Below graph shows the Time-temperature curves for Specimen 01 (10 mm mortar thickness) and Specimen 02 (15 mm mortar thickness) constructed with smart bricks. The dotted lines represent Specimen 02, while the straight lines represent Specimen 01	44
Figure 27: Vertical Displacement evaluation throughout 7 testing samples	45
Figure 28: Lateral Displacement evaluation throughout 7 testing samples	46
Figure 29: Close-up post-fire visual observations of Smart, Polish, and Standard brick wall specimens	50
Figure 30: Optical microscope images of masonry brick surfaces before fire exposure: (a) Smart brick, (b) Polish brick, and (c) Standard brick. Each brick type is shown at magnifications of 50 µm (i) and 200 µm (ii)	51
Figure 31: Optical microscope images of masonry brick surfaces after one-hour fire exposure: (d) Smart brick, (e) Polish brick, and (f) Standard brick, each presented at 50 µm (i) and 200 µm (ii) magnifications.....	52
Figure 32: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 01 testing	64
Figure 33: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 02 testing	65
Figure 34: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 03 testing	66
Figure 35: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 04 testing	67
Figure 36: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 05testing	68
Figure 37: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 06 testing	69
Figure 38: Temperature evolution throughout Sample 07 testing	70

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 1:	Hot vs Residual Behavior of Masonry Components.....	7
Table 2:	Detailed comparison of experimental fire setups for masonry specimens ..	13
Table 3:	Masonry Brick Unit Dimensions	19
Table 4:	Small Specimen Sizes for Sample Wallettes	21
Table 5:	In-Plane Loads Applied to the Specimens	32
Table 6:	Pre-test and post-test visual comparison of the seven masonry wall specimens	48
Table 7:	Calculation of compressive strength at ambient temperature(f) and design compressive strength (fd) for different masonry unit types based on unit strength, mortar strength, and standard coefficients.	54
Table 8:	Definition of symbols and parameters used in masonry strength calculations.	54
Table 9:	Compressive strength at ambient temperature(f), residual compressive strength (f_{Res}), and corresponding residual strength ratio (f_{Res}/fk) for the seven masonry wall specimens.	55

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
LVDT	Linear Variable Differential Transformers
AAC	Aerated Autoclaved Concrete
LWC	Lightweight Concrete
HMC	Hot Mechanical Characterization
BB	Burnt Masonry Bricks

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix - A	Température Evolution	67