

**UTILIZATION OF DEMOLISHED CONCRETE WASTE
FOR ROLLER COMPACTED CONCRETE
PAVEMENT(RCCP) APPLICATIONS**

W. R. A. N. Jayantha

208071H

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

2024

**UTILIZATION OF DEMOLISHED CONCRETE WASTE
FOR ROLLER COMPACTED CONCRETE
PAVEMENT(RCCP) APPLICATIONS**

W.R.A.N. Jayantha

208071H

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 30/11/2024

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. W.K. Mampearachchi

Signature of the Supervisor:

Date: 30/11/2024

DEDICATION

To my family and everyone who patiently endured long discussions when I insisted that, yes, concrete can indeed be rolled and laid—your support (and raised eyebrows) kept me going.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. W. K. Mampearachchi, for his insightful guidance, continuous support, and invaluable advice throughout this research. His expertise and encouragement have been instrumental in shaping this thesis, and I am profoundly thankful for the opportunity to learn under his mentorship.

I would also like to extend my heartfelt thanks to the academic staff of the Department of Civil Engineering at the University of Moratuwa, especially the Transportation Engineering Division, for their guidance, support, and the opportunity to engage in meaningful research. Special thanks to Prof. S. M. A. Nanayakkara, Prof. (Mrs) Kumari Gamage and Dr. Nimal Wijerathne, whose insights and suggestions have enriched this work.

My sincere appreciation goes to my colleagues and friends at the university for their intellectual discussions, camaraderie, and emotional support. I am especially grateful to Hirushi Thenuwara, Dilum Rajapaksha, Sandali Dilsara and Sashini Ekanayake for their assistance in data collection and laboratory work, which was crucial to the completion of this research.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to the laboratory staff of the Building Materials Laboratory, Structural Testing Laboratory, Advanced Bitumen Laboratory, Highway Engineering Laboratory and Traffic Engineering Laboratory of the Department of Civil Engineering and Microscopy Laboratory of the Department of Material Science and Engineering for their continuous support throughout my undergraduate and postgraduate life.

I would also like to extend my sincere gratitude to the Galle Municipal Council (GMC) and the COWAM Centre for providing the recycled concrete aggregates, which were fundamental to this study. Additionally, I wish to thank the Road Development Authority (RDA) - Research and Development Division for their valuable assistance and for reviewing the construction specifications of Roller Compacted Concrete Pavement (RCCP) for Sri Lanka. Their support has been instrumental in the successful completion of this research.

Lastly, I am eternally grateful to my family for their boundless love and support. Without their encouragement, none of this would have been possible.

ABSTRACT

Roller Compacted Concrete (RCC) has gained popularity in concrete pavement applications owing to its strength, durability, and cost-effectiveness. However, due to the growing scarcity of natural aggregates, sustainable alternatives for natural aggregates are in demand. This study explores the use of Recycled Concrete Aggregates (RCA) obtained from demolished concrete waste in RCC pavement applications, aiming to assess its mechanical performance. The research explores the most suitable compaction method for optimum moisture content (OMC) determination for RCC, the influence of RCA quality improvements on RCC properties and the impact of using clay tile aggregates (CTA) for internal curing.

Various compaction methods, such as the Standard Proctor Test (SPT), Modified Proctor Test (MPT), Vibratory Hammer Test (VHT) and Modified Vebe Test (MVT), were analysed to determine the most suitable OMC for RCC mix design with RCA (RCC_RCA) and virgin crushed aggregates (RCC_VCA). VHT and MVT emerged as suitable methods for OMC determination of RCC.

The study also compared the performance of RCA treated through various methods: surface coating with fly ash (FA), surface coating with sugarcane bagasse ash (SCBA), and ball milling. Results revealed that ball milling RCA significantly improved aggregate quality by reducing water absorption and porosity. RCC with 100% ball-milled RCA and cement showed increased strength, nearly matching the performance of RCC made with VCA.

The incorporation of waste CTA in RCC mixes enhanced early-age (3-day) compressive strength by 13-18% compared to externally cured RCC, owing to the benefits of internal curing. However, it showed a reduction in long-term compressive strength in comparison to externally cured conventional RCC. Tensile and flexural strength also slightly declined with the inclusion of CTA. Uncured RCC specimens with CTA performed better than uncured RCC specimens without CTA in terms of all mechanical properties. These findings indicate that RCA can be successfully utilised in RCC pavements, and internal curing using CTA as fine aggregates at a replacement percentage of 5% will provide significant benefits for the early hydration of RCC.

The study contributes to the scientific knowledge of sustainable construction practices and provides practical recommendations for incorporating RCA in RCC and alternative curing techniques for RCC pavements.

Keywords: Roller Compacted Concrete (RCC), Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA), Internal Curing, Clay Tile Aggregate (CTA), Concrete Pavements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	x
List of Tables.....	xiv
List of Abbreviations.....	xvii
List of Appendices	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	2
1.4 Research Hypotheses.....	3
1.5 Significance of the Study	3
1.6 Thesis Overview	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Roller Compacted Concrete Pavements (RCCP)	6
2.2.1 Definition and Material Characteristics	7
2.2.2 Engineering Applications and Performance Benefits	11
2.2.3 Construction Methodologies	13
2.2.4 Scoping Review of RCC-Related Studies.....	17
2.3 Demolished Concrete Waste and Recycled Concrete Aggregates (RCA) ..	23
2.3.1 Source of Materials and Processing Techniques.....	24
2.3.2 Material Properties of Recycled Concrete Aggregates (RCA)	29
2.3.3 Quality Improvement Techniques for RCA.....	32
2.3.4 Use of RCA in RCC	35
2.4 Mixture Design Aspects of RCC.....	38

2.4.1	Overview of mixture design methods	38
2.4.2	Soil Compaction Method	41
2.4.3	Packing Density of Aggregate Cement Matrices and the Correlation of Degree of Compaction with Mechanical Properties of RCC	44
2.4.4	Different Laboratory Compaction Technologies Used to Compact RCC	47
2.5	Internal Curing of Concrete	50
2.5.1	Internal Curing Materials	50
2.5.2	Internal Curing Aggregates (ICA) from Waste Materials.....	53
2.5.3	Curing Techniques Used for RCCP	57
2.6	Summary of Key Findings and Gaps in Literature.....	59
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY.....		61
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	Research Design	61
3.3	Sources of Materials and Production Process	63
3.3.1	Virgin Crushed Aggregates (Coarse).....	63
3.3.2	Recycled Concrete Aggregates (Coarse)	63
3.3.3	River Sand.....	65
3.3.4	Quarry Chips	67
3.3.5	Quarry Dust.....	67
3.3.6	Cement	67
3.3.7	Fly Ash.....	67
3.3.8	Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA)	68
3.3.9	Clay Tile Waste.....	69
3.3.10	Water	70
3.4	RCC Mix-Design Method (Soil Compaction or Maximum Density Method)	70
3.4.1	Choosing Well-Graded Aggregates	70
3.4.2	Selecting a Mid-Range Cementitious Material Content	72
3.4.3	Moisture Density Plots.....	73
3.4.4	Moulding Cylinders for Compressive Strength Tests.....	73
3.4.5	Finding Required Cementitious Material for Target Strength	75
3.4.6	Calculating Final Mixture Proportions.....	76

3.5	Experimental Procedures for the Compaction of Cement-Aggregate Mixes	76
3.5.1	Modified Proctor Test (MPT)	76
3.5.2	Standard Proctor Test (SPT)	77
3.5.3	Vibratory Hammer Test (VHT)	77
3.5.4	Modified Vebe Test (MVT)	78
3.6	Quality Improvement Methods for RCA	78
3.6.1	Coating RCA with a Fly Ash (FA) Slurry	80
3.6.2	Coating RCA with Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA) Slurry	81
3.6.3	Using Ball Milling Technique to Remove Adhered Mortar from RCA	82
3.6.4	Aggregate Tests on Improved RCA	83
3.7	Clay Tile Waste (CTA) as an Internal Curing Aggregate (ICA)	87
3.7.1	Identification of CTA Properties in Favour of Internal Curing	87
3.7.2	Establishing Aggregate Replacement Percentages	89
3.7.3	Testing CTA_RCC for Strength Properties	90
3.8	Data Analysis Methods	93
3.8.1	Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) Approach	94
3.8.2	Statistical Analysis of Results	94
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS	96
4.1	Introduction	96
4.2	Results of Different Compaction Techniques	96
4.2.1	Moisture–Density Plots of RCC Mixtures with VCA as Coarse Aggregates	96
4.2.2	Moisture–Density Plots of RCC Mixtures with RCA as Coarse Aggregates	97
4.2.3	RCC Strength at Varied Moisture Contents	99
4.3	Results of Quality Improvements of RCA	101
4.3.1	Quality Improvements Using Surface Coating Techniques	101
4.3.2	Quality Improvements Using Ball Milling Techniques	106
4.3.3	The Strength of RCC with Quality-Improved Aggregates	110
4.4	Results of Using Clay Tile Aggregates (CTA) as Coarse and Fine Aggregates in RCC	111

4.4.1	Specific Gravity and Water Absorption Results	111
4.4.2	Desorption Test for CTA	111
4.4.3	Proctor Results of CTA.....	112
4.4.4	Strength Results of RCC with Coarse CTA.....	113
4.4.5	Strength Results of RCC with Fine CTA.....	116
CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION	119
5.1	Introduction	119
5.2	Interpretation of Compaction Technique Results.....	119
5.2.1	Analysis of Compaction vs Strength of RCC_VCA.....	119
5.2.2	Analysis of Compaction vs Strength of RCC_RCA	122
5.3	Interpretation of RCA Quality Improvement Results	125
5.3.1	FA Coated RCA	126
5.3.2	SBCA Coated RCA.....	132
5.3.3	Ball Milled RCA	137
5.3.4	Comparison of RCA Quality Improvement Techniques.....	137
5.4	Interpretation of Internal Curing Aspects of CTA	138
5.4.1	RCC With Fine CTA.....	139
5.4.2	RCC With Coarse RCA	144
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION	149
6.1	Introduction	149
6.2	Summary of Key Findings	149
6.3	Limitations of the Study	151
6.4	Future Research Directions	152
6.5	Contribution to Knowledge	153
6.6	Recommendations for Practice.....	154
6.7	Final Remarks.....	155
REFERENCES.....		156
APPENDICES		176
Appendix A:.....		176
Scoping Review of Literature on Concrete Pavements Using Machine Learning Techniques		176
Appendix B:		181

Specification for Roller Compacted Concrete Pavements	181
---	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 RCC Project Summary (USA) from 1975 to 2013 (Zollinger, 2013)	7
Figure 2.2 Different Types of Cement-Aggregate Mixes (Greg Halsted, 2021)	8
Figure 2.3 Multiple Characteristics of RCC	8
Figure 2.4 Abram's Water-Cement Ratio Rule (Şengün et al., 2019)	9
Figure 2.5 Typical Material Proportions of RCC and Conventional Concrete (Harrington & Adaska, 2010)	10
Figure 2.6 Comparison of Load Carrying Capacity of PCC vs RCC (Harrington & Adaska, 2010)	12
Figure 2.7 Illustration of the Total Load-Carrying Capacity in Roller Compacted Concrete (RCC) Achieved Through Friction and Cohesion (Harrington & Adaska, 2010)	12
Figure 2.8 Continuously Mixing Pugmill Plant (ACI Committee 325, 1995).....	15
Figure 2.9 Typical Arrangement of Machines and Equipment Used for RCC Paving	15
Figure 2.10 Publication Trends of RCC Studies.....	17
Figure 2.11 RCC-Related Publications by Countries	18
Figure 2.12 Keyword Mapping of Considered List of Publications	19
Figure 2.13 Methodology of the Machine Learning Scoping Review.....	21
Figure 2.14 Heatmap of Multiclass Classification Model for RCC.....	22
Figure 2.15 Heatmap of Machine-Classified Documents from Topic Modelling	22
Figure 2.16 Production of RCA (Z. Zhao et al., 2020)	28
Figure 2.17 RCA Manufacturing Process (Sim & Park, 2011).....	28
Figure 2.18 Aggregate Sieve Size vs Adhered Mortar Content (de Juan & Gutiérrez, 2009)	29
Figure 2.19 Attached Mortar Content vs. Bulk Specific Gravity (de Juan & Gutiérrez, 2009)	30
Figure 2.20 Attached Mortar Content vs. SSD Density (de Juan & Gutiérrez, 2009)	30
Figure 2.21 Attached Mortar Percentage vs. Absorption (de Juan & Gutiérrez, 2009)	31
Figure 2.22 A Close View of RCA in Concrete (W. Li et al., 2012; Seo & Choi, 2014)	32
Figure 2.23 Compositional structures of NAC and RAC (Ding et al., 2021).....	32

Figure 2.24 Modified Vebe Consistency Test Apparatus (ASTM C1170).....	40
Figure 2.25 RCCP Gradation Bands (Harrington & Adaska, 2010).....	41
Figure 2.26 Compressive Strength vs Cementitious Content (Harrington & Adaska, 2010)	43
Figure 2.27 Classification of Particle Packing Models (Santhanam & Kumar, 2003)	44
Figure 2.28 Transformed Fuller Curve for 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 n Values.....	45
Figure 2.29 Different Types of LWA	51
Figure 2.30 Categorisation of Internal Curing Materials	51
Figure 2.31 Cumulative Number of Studies on Internal Curing Concrete	54
Figure 2.32 Aspects of Concrete Related to Internal Curing (Rodríguez-Álvaro et al., 2021)	55
Figure 2.33 The Process of Preparing Artificial Aggregates from Palletising and Sintering Red Clay	56
Figure 2.34 Production Flow Chart of Roofing Clay Tiles (Kuruppuarachchi K A B N et al., 2014).....	56
Figure 3.1 Methodology Flow Chart.....	62
Figure 3.2 Gradation Limits of SCA/5 and ASTM C33	63
Figure 3.3 COWAM Centre (GMC Crusher)	64
Figure 3.4 Particle Size Distribution of RCA Obtained from COWAM Centre	65
Figure 3.5 Sieving Aggregates and Storing in Separate Stockpile Bins	66
Figure 3.6 Gradations of River Sand, Quarry Chips and Quarry Dust	66
Figure 3.7 Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA) Sample	69
Figure 3.8 Particle Size Distribution of Fine CTA	69
Figure 3.9 Particle Size Distribution of Coarse CTA	70
Figure 3.10 Gradation Bands of PCA, ACI, NSW and IRC	72
Figure 3.11 Sample Moisture-Density Plot of an RCC Mix.....	73
Figure 3.12 Moulding RCC Cylindrical Specimens	74
Figure 3.13 Testing RCC Cylindrical Samples for Compressive Strength.....	75
Figure 3.14 Compressive Strength vs Cement Content	75
Figure 3.15 Compacting RCC Using MPT at Varied Moisture Contents	76
Figure 3.16 Compacting RCC Using VHT at Varied Moisture Contents.....	77
Figure 3.17 Compacting RCC Using MVT at Varied Moisture Contents.....	78

Figure 3.18 Flow Chart Showing Different Types of RCA Improvement Techniques Used	79
Figure 3.19 A Graphical Representation of FA Treatment of RCA	80
Figure 3.20 A Graphical Representation of SCBA Treatment Process of RCA.....	81
Figure 3.21 Graphical Representation of the RCA Ball Milling Process	82
Figure 3.22 Aggregate Impact Value (AIV) Test	83
Figure 3.23 Aggregate Crushing Value (ACV) Test	85
Figure 3.24 Los Angeles Abrasion Value (LAAB) Test	85
Figure 3.25 Specific Gravity and Water Absorption Tests	86
Figure 3.26 Experimental Procedure for 72h Water Absorption and Relative Density Calculations.....	88
Figure 3.27 Experimental Procedure for the Desorption Test	88
Figure 3.28 Humidity Chamber at Controlled Humidity with Potassium Nitrate Solution Inside	89
Figure 3.29 Compressive Strength Test - ASTM C39.....	90
Figure 3.30 Preparation of RCC Beams for Testing	91
Figure 3.31 Flexural Strength Test - ASTM C78	91
Figure 3.32 Splitting Tensile Strength Test - ASTM C496	93
Figure 3.33 MCDM Process	93
Figure 4.1 Moisture-Density Relationship of Different Compaction Methods for RCC_VCA	97
Figure 4.2 Moisture-Density Relationship of Different Compaction Methods for RCC_RCA	98
Figure 4.3 Strength of RCC_VCA vs Water Content.....	99
Figure 4.4 Strength of RCC_RCA vs Water Content	100
Figure 4.5 Radar Graph Comparing AIV, ACV and LAAB Values of Different Fly-ash Treated RCA Samples	102
Figure 4.6 Radar Graph Comparing AIV, ACV and LAAB Values of Different SCBA-Treated RCA Samples	104
Figure 4.8 Particle Size Distribution of Treated and Untreated RCA Samples	109
Figure 4.9 Desorption Results of Coarse and Fine CTA	112
Figure 4.10 Proctor Compaction Curves of CTA Replaced RCC	113
Figure 4.11 Compressive Strength Results of RCC - Coarse_CTA	114
Figure 4.12 Flexural Results of RCC - Coarse_CTA	115

Figure 4.13 Tensile Strength Results of RCC - Coarse_CTA	115
Figure 4.14 Compressive Strength Results of RCC - Fine_CTA	117
Figure 4.15 Flexural Results of RCC - Fine_CTA	117
Figure 4.16 Tensile Strength Results of RCC - Fine_CTA	118
Figure 5.1 Compressive Strengths (7D & 28D) at Different OMCs for RCC_VCA	120
Figure 5.2 Range of 7-day Compressive Strength (MPa) Corresponding to Water Content Tolerances for RCC_VCA	121
Figure 5.3 Range of 28-day Compressive Strength (MPa) Corresponding to Water Content Tolerances for RCC_VCA	121
Figure 5.4 Compressive Strengths (7D & 28D) at Different OMCs for RCC_RCA	123
Figure 5.5 Range of 7-day Compressive Strength (MPa) Corresponding to Water Content Tolerances for RCC_RCA.....	124
Figure 5.6 Range of 28-day Compressive Strength (MPa) Corresponding to Water Content Tolerances for RCC_RCA.....	124
Figure 5.7 Correlation of Water Absorption and Specific Gravity (OD) with Aggregate Strength Parameters of FA Coated RCA.....	128
Figure 5.8 Correlation of Specific Gravity (SSD) and Porosity with Aggregate Strength Parameters of FA Coated RCA	129
Figure 5.9 Microscopic View of VCA and RCA from SEM.....	130
Figure 5.10 Fly Ash Before and After Mixing With Cement and Water.....	130
Figure 5.11 Surface of a FA Treated RCA Particle	131
Figure 5.12 Naked Eye and Microscopic View of SCBA	132
Figure 5.13 Surface of a SBCA Treated RCA Particle.....	133
Figure 5.14 Correlation of Water Absorption and Specific Gravity (OD) with Aggregate Strength Parameters of SBCA Coated RCA	135
Figure 5.15 Correlation of Specific Gravity (SSD) and Porosity with Aggregate Strength Parameters of SBCA Coated RCA	136
Figure 5.16 Comparison of AIV, ACV and LAAV Results of Different Quality Improvement Methods	138
Figure 5.17 Comparison of Specific Gravity, Water Absorption and Porosity Results of Different Quality Improvement Methods	138
Figure 5.18 Summary of Strength Results of RCC with Fine CTA	143
Figure 5.19 Summary of Strength Results of RCC with Coarse CTA	147

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Comparison of RCC With Conventional Concrete (Hazaree, 2007)	10
Table 2.2 Uniformity Requirements for RCC	14
Table 2.3 Recommended Mixing Times and Batch Sizes by PCA	14
Table 2.4 Construction Demolition Waste (CDW) Generation by Various Countries	23
Table 2.5 Composition of Indian C&D Waste	24
Table 2.6 Chemical Oxide Percentages of RCA	25
Table 2.7 A Summary of RCA Specifications of Different Countries	26
Table 2.8 Reasons for the Weakening of RAC	33
Table 2.9 The Classification of Treatment Methods for the Quality Improvement of RCA	33
Table 2.10 A Summary of Literature on the Use of RCA in RCC	36
Table 2.11 A Summary of Literature on Compaction Techniques Used in RCC	47
Table 2.12 Properties of Different Types of Internal Curing Materials	52
Table 2.13 Internal Curing Capacities of Various Waste Types	54
Table 2.14 Summary of Literature on Different Curing Techniques Used for RCC	57
Table 3.1 Chemical Composition of Fly Ash	67
Table 3.2 Chemical Composition of Obtained SCBA	68
Table 3.3 Comparison of RCC Grading Requirements by Different Agencies	71
Table 4.1 Summary of OMC and MDD Results of RCC_VCA	96
Table 4.2 Summary of OMC and MDD Results of RCC_RCA	98
Table 4.3 Maximum Compressive Strength of RCC_VCA	99
Table 4.4 Maximum Compressive Strength of RCC_RCA	100
Table 4.5 Resistance of Fly-ash Treated RCA Samples for Impact, Crushing and Abrasion	101
Table 4.6 Properties of Fly-ash Treated RCA Samples	102
Table 4.7 Properties of Untreated RCA vs Fly Ash-treated RCA	103
Table 4.8 Resistance of SCBA Treated RCA Samples for Impact, Crushing and Abrasion	104
Table 4.9 Properties of SCBA Treated RCA Samples	105

Table 4.10	Properties of Untreated RCA vs SCBA-treated RCA	105
Table 4.11	Particle Size Distribution of Ball Milled RCA after 200 Revolutions	107
Table 4.12	Particle Size Distribution of Ball Milled RCA after 500 Revolutions	107
Table 4.13	Particle Size Distribution of Ball Milled RCA (19R:12.5R = 1:1) after 500 Revolutions	108
Table 4.14	Gradation Band for RCC Combined Aggregates	108
Table 4.15	Properties of Untreated RCA vs Ball Milled RCA	108
Table 4.16	Resistance of Ball-milled RCA Samples for Impact, Crushing and Abrasion	109
Table 4.17	Properties of Ball-milled RCA	110
Table 4.18	Strength of RCC with Ball Milled RCA	110
Table 4.19	Relative Density and Water Absorption of Aggregates	111
Table 4.20	Proctor Test Results of CTA Replaced RCC	112
Table 5.1	Compressive Strengths (7D & 28D) at Different OMCs for RCC_VCA120	
Table 5.2	Compressive Strengths (7D & 28D) at Different OMCs for RCC_RCA122	
Table 5.3	Absolute Difference Between OMCs and $W_{opt,strength}$	125
Table 5.4	A Summary of RCA Quality Improvement Test Results	125
Table 5.5	Ranking of FA Treated RCA Samples Using MCDM Technique	127
Table 5.6	Ranking of SCBA Treated RCA Samples Using MCDM Technique	134
Table 5.7	Summary of RCA Quality Improvement Test Results	137
Table 5.8	Difference Between Standard Values of 3-Day Compressive Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	139
Table 5.9	Difference Between Standard Values of 7-Day Compressive Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	140
Table 5.10	Difference Between Standard Values of 28-Day Compressive Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	140
Table 5.11	Difference Between Standard Values of 28-day Splitting Tensile Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	141
Table 5.12	Difference Between Standard Values of 28-Day Flexural Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	142
Table 5.13	Difference Between Standard Values of 3-Day Compressive Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	144
Table 5.14	Difference Between Standard Values of 7-Day Compressive Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	144

Table 5.15 Difference Between Standard Values of 28-Day Compressive Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	145
---	-----

Table 5.16 Difference Between Standard Values of 28-day Splitting Tensile Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	146
---	-----

Table 5.17 Difference Between Standard Values of 28-Day Flexural Strength at $\alpha = 0.05$	146
--	-----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ACV	Aggregate Crushing Value
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
AIV	Aggregate Impact Value
C&D	Construction and Demolition
CDW	Construction and Demolition Waste
COWAM	Construction Waste Management
CTA	Clay Tile Aggregates
CTW	Clay Tile Waste
FA	Fly Ash
GMC	Galle Municipal Council
HPC	High-Performance Concrete
IC	Internal Curing
ICA	Internal Curing Aggregates
ITZ	Interfacial Transition Zone
LAAB	Los Angeles Abrasion Value
LWA	Light Weight Aggregate
MCDM	Multi-Criteria Decision Making
MDD	Maximum Dry Density
MPT	Modified Proctor Test
MVT	Modified Vebe Test
NAC	Natural Aggregate Concrete
OD	Oven Dry
OMC	Optimum Moisture Content
PCA	Portland Cement Association
PCC	Portland Cement Concrete
PCCP	Portland Cement Concrete Pavement
RCA	Recycled Concrete Aggregates

RCC	Roller Compacted Concrete
RCCP	Roller Compacted Concrete Pavement
SCA/5	Standard Specifications for Construction and Maintenance of Roads and Bridges
SCBA	Sugarcane Bagasse Ash
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SPT	Standard Proctor Test
SSD	Saturate Surface Dry
VCA	Virgin Crushed Aggregates
VHT	Vibratory Hammer Test
XRD	X-Rad Diffraction
W/C	Water: Cement
SWG	Solid Waste Generation
EU	European Union
MRA	Mixed Concrete and Masonry Recycled Aggregates
RMA	Recycled Masonry Aggregates
NMAS	Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size
OCC	Optimum Cement Content
ACI	American Concrete Institute
ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
SGC	Superpave Gyratory Compactor

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix – A	Scoping Review of Literature on Concrete Pavements Using Machine Learning Techniques	176
Appendix – B	Specification for Roller Compacted Concrete Pavements	181