REFERENCE LIST

- [1] Donahue, H.C.; Ferrigno-Stack, J., "Quality of service monitoring: a timely idea," Standardization and Innovation in Information Technology, 2001 2nd IEEE Conference, vol., no., pp.176,182, 2001
- [2] Parliament of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, "Sri Lanka Telecommunications Act, No. 25 of 1991", July 1991
- [3] Ojanpera, T.; Uitto, M.; Vehkapera, J., "QoE-based management of medical video transmission in wireless networks," Network Operations and Management Symposium (NOMS), 2014 IEEE, vol., no., pp.1,6, 5-9 May 2014
- [4] Telecommunication Regulatory Commission of Sri Lanka, "Consultation Paper on Establishing a Quality of Service (QoS) Regulatory Framework for Fixed and Mobile Voice Services", December 2013
- [5] Wen-Che Yang; Jhih-Dao Jhan; Dong-Yie Chen; Kuo-Hsiang Lai; Rong-Ruey Lee, "Quality of service test mechanism and management of broadband access network," Network Operations and Management Symposium (APNOMS), 2014 16th Asia-Pacific, vol., no., pp.1,4, 17-19 Sept. 2014
- [6] Bin Mohd Idris, M.F.; Yusof, M.I.; Azmat, F.H.; Md Zain, Z.; Rahman, R.A.; Kassim, M., "Broadband Internet performance (QoS measurement) view from home access gate way in Malaysia, "Control and System Graduate Research Colloquium (ICSGRC), 2014 IEEE 5th cool; no.pp.\$47,152,58-121Aug; 2014
- [7] Klink, J., Ohl, T., Podolska, M.J., Regulatory framework and technical aspects of broadband access to the Internet in Europe," Future Internet Communications (BCFIC), 2012 2nd Baltic Congress on , vol., no., pp.56,63, 25-27 April 2012
- [8] Šerval, D.; Marković, C.; Kovačević, S., "4G mobile internet, services, regulation and mobile operators in Bosnia and Herzegovina," Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO), 2014 37th International Convention on , vol., no., pp.432,435, 26-30 May 2014
- [9] Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, "The Standards of Quality of Service for Wireless Data Services Regulations", 2012
- [10] Nepal Telecommunication Authority, "Consultation Paper on Draft Quality of Service Regulation", August 2013
- [11] Ferlin, S.; Dreibholz, T.; Alay, O.; Kvalbein, A., "Measuring the QoS Characteristics of Operational 3G Mobile Broadband Networks," Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops (WAINA), 2014 28th International Conference on , vol., no., pp.753,758, 13-16 May 2014
- [12] Lemeshko, Olexandr V.; Garkusha, Sergey V.; Yeremenko, Oleksandra S.; Hailan, Ahmad M., "Policy-based QoS management model for multiservice

- networks," Control and Communications (SIBCON), 2015 International Siberian Conference on , vol., no., pp.1,4, 21-23 May 2015
- [13] Wen-Che Yang; Jhih-Dao Jhan; Dong-Yie Chen; Kuo-Hsiang Lai; Rong-Ruey Lee, "Service rate test mechanism and management of broadband access network," Network Operations and Management Symposium (APNOMS), 2013 15th Asia-Pacific, vol., no., pp.1,3, 25-27 Sept. 2013
- [14] Sun, Z.; He, D.; Liang, L.; Cruickshank, H., "Internet QoS and traffic modelling," Software, IEE Proceedings, vol.151, no.5, pp.248,255, 7 Oct. 2004
- [15] Niafar, S.; Xiaoqi Tan; Tsang, D.H.K., "The optimal user scheduling for LTE-A downlink with heterogeneous traffic types," Heterogeneous Networking for Quality, Reliability, Security and Robustness (QShine), 2014 10th International Conference on , vol., no., pp.56,62, 18-20 Aug. 2014
- [16] 3GPP TS 32.410 V12.0.0 (2014-10) Technical Specification, "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; Key Performance Indicators (KPI) for UMTS and GSM (Release 12)"



Appendix A: Survey Responses

Survey Response 01

Resource Person : Technical Manager

Company : ZTE Lanka (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

Speed (Throughput), web page loading time, latency

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

Speed: above 15Mbps, web page loading time < 5 secs, latency <50ms

3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

No but, it should strictly maintained in CBD and urban areas

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

Corporate offices Data should be collected in collective basis, Student Electronic, Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk
Every 3 months, or else if an operator make major change then they needs

to inform to TRSL and let them check the improvement

- 6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?
 - Since back haul network plays a major role, government should invest to maintain a back haul network (country wide fiber network)
 - Operators should provide GBR

Resource Person : Manager - Core Network Planning

Company : Mobitel (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

Avg. Download Speed, Avg. Upload Speed, Avg. Latency

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

Avg. Download Speed (3G \rightarrow 4 Mbps, 4G \rightarrow 10 Mbps), Avg. Upload Speed (3G \rightarrow 1 Mbps, 4G \rightarrow 4 Mbps), Avg. Latency (less than 200ms)

3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

Avg. Download Speed (Yes) \rightarrow based on the geographical RAT, Avg. Upload Speed (Yes) \rightarrow based on the geographical RAT, Avg. Latency (No)

- 4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

 NOC Planninges Qonic Theses & Dissertations
- 5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

 Monthly
- 6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

Benchmark with international networks

Resource Person : Specialist - BSS

Company : Dialog Axiata PLC

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

User throughput, Service Availability (Downtime), Service Retainability (Service drop rate), Mobility (e.g. Handover success rate)

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

Based on the target Cx segment/Data package/Technologies used e.g. DCHSDPA

3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

Not only the deoperation of the experience www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

Not clear meant by parties

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

As frequently as they can without biasing any operator

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

Personnel feeling is that the scope is too large, if we take one QOS parameter, User throughput only for an example can dig deep

Resource Person : Assistant Manager - BSS

Company : Dialog Axiata PLC

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

Primary QoS parameters,

HSDPA/HSUPA	Data	throughput	(Per	User))
-------------	------	------------	------	-------	---

☐ HSDPA/HAUPA/R99 RAB setup success rate (RAB − RAdio

Bearer)

☐ HSDPA/HAUPA/R99 drop rate

 $\hfill \square$ RRC connection setup success rate (RRC - Radio Resource Controller)



□ Power/CE/IuB/HS-Code Utilization

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

QoS Parameter	Value (For Daily Granularity)
HSDPA/HSUPA Data throughput (Per User)	Avg – 2.5 Mbps
HSDPA/HAUPA/R99 RAB setup success rate (RAB – RAdio Bearer)	99.90%
HSDPA/HAUPA/R99 drop rate	1.0%
RRC connection setup success rate (RRC –	99.90%

Radio Resource Controller)	
RTWP of the serving cell (RTWP – Received Total Wideband Power)	<-105 dBm
Power Utilization	< 60% of Max Power configured

3. Do you think the target values should differ region wise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

Yes.

HSDPA/HSUPA Data throughput (Per User) – Applications and customer orientation to use the connection vary region to region

- 4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?
 - ☐ Network Planning Group



5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

By Quarterly

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

No

Resource Person : Director – Network Performance Service

Company : Huawei Technologies Lanka Co., (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

CS: There should be one index which can indicate the overall experience, the index need to be derived from call accessibility/retainability/voice quality

PS: There should be one index which can indicate the overall experience, the index need to be derived from data session setup success, retainability, & service specific metrics like page response delay, throughput & etc....

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

- a. This depends on operators' strategy and regulatory constraints. For an example a call drop below 0.2 will be good to have but if the ARPU is low it is not cost effective to invest heavily on such high target.
- 3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.
 - a. Technically no reason to have different targets. But considering rural coverage it is accepted that even with low QoS it is required to provide service. So this can be considered as reason for having lower targets for rural coverage

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

- a. Should be measured by operator routinely and audits should be performed by regulator
- b. There should be third party benchmark also to measure current position comparatively

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

a. Quarterly

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

a. QoS need to be considered hand in hand with business economics.
 Otherwise it will not be practical



Resource Person : Engineer – Radio Network Optimization

Company : Etisalat Lanka (Pvt) Ltd

- 1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?
 - DL/UL Throughput
 - Latency/Ping delay
 - **FER**
 - RSCP&Ec/Io
 - Browsing speed
 - Video buffering
- 2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?
 - DL/UL Throughput 2-3 Mbps DL

• Laterio printy de la Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk RSCP&Ec/Io - -85/-8

- Browsing speed-300kbps
- Video buffering Benchmarking
- 3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.
- 4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

Operators/TRCSL

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

Once a month

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

Resource Person : Technical Service Manager – Radio Network Planning

Company : Huawei Technologies Lanka Co., (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

Suggest to have metrics that can measure QoS for combined services like CS+PS, Video Phone. Should consider accessibility and retain ability based on User behavior as smart phone usage as grown significantly. Considering Video Phone, most applications like skype have mobile applications so response time, delay and jitter metrics could be introduced to reflect actual user experience

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

This will have to be decided based on lab tests. University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

Coverage and backhaul transmission may cause values to differ in different regions

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

Mainly Operator and Telecom Regulator. But consultancy firms who have field experience in South East Asia region would be able to give further insight for the benchmark

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

Based on Network growth and new technology implementation

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

Latest and comprehensive tool setup is needed to capture the QoS in accurate and usable format

Resource Person : Assistant Manager - Radio Access Planning

Company : Mobitel (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

PS connection setup success rate/ PS connection drop rate/Average data throughput in a selected routes/latency for selected webservers / service availability

- 2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?
- 3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

Average data throughput in a selected routes to be differ

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

Operator

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

Quarterly

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

Resource Person : Assistant Manager - Broadband Access Planning

Company : Mobitel (Pvt) Ltd

- 1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?
 - a. Average file DL speed to a test server located locally and internationally (with single thread and multiple thread activation)
 - b. Average file UL speed to a test server located locally and internationally
 - c. Average web page loading time with a server located locally and internationally
 - d. Average delay in loading video/ no. of buffering occurred in video streaming
- 2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned

parameters?versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Single thread DEIspeed & 1 Mbpsertations

b. Ukweedibf. 400tkbpslk

- c. Page loading time depends on time. A standard simple page such as google loading within 2s and yahoo within 10s.
- d. Delay in playing video up to 5s and no buffering
- 3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.
 - a. no
- 4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?
 - a. Reporting by operators
 - b. TRC
 - c. Independent benchmark test party
- 5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?
 - a. Every quarter of year

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

ISP bandwidth, terminating location, link quality will have a significant impact on BB quality but would likely difficult to adjust easily.



Resource Person : Senior Engineer – Radio Network Planning & Optimization

Company : ZTE Lanka (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

Speed, web page loading time & should available in all the places

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

More than 10 Mbps always & less than 4 seconds

3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

No

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

Customers who visited areade, survery can be carried out after the customer service provided if they wish to answer & intitution/school/university students

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

By quarterly

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

Guaranteed speed should be provided

Resource Person : Group Answer

Company : Alcatel-Lucent

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

It depends on the Mobile Technology and the services provided by each operator. Please find the attached doc with few KPI's for different technologies (GSM, WCDMA, and LTE).

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

Target values also Vendor and Operator specific. When any project starts mobile vendor should give there KPI's commitments and it will adjust by the operator according to their requirements, then at the end of the project vendor should achieve the KPI's targets to get the acceptance. This is for initial University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. KPI's targets for periodic Liectronic Theses & Dissertations monatoring and Network maintainers.

WCDMA

Item	Service	KPI Target
Accessibility		
Call Setup Success Rate	Voice AMR 12.2	MOC: ≥ 97%
PDP Context Activation Success Rate	PS HSxPA	≥ 97%
Retainability		
CS Call Drop Rate	Voice AMR 12.2	≤ 0.5%
PS Call Drop Rate	PS HSxPA	≤ 0.5%
Mobility		
Soft handover Success Rate	Voice AMR 12.2	≥ 99%
Inter RAT handover Success Rate		
(3G/2G)	Voice AMR 12.2	≥ 98%
	PS HSxPA	≥ 98%

Integrity		
		MOC: 95% of successful
Call Setup Time	Voice AMR 12.2	calls ≤ 5 sec;
		PDP: 95% of successful
	PS HSxPA	calls ≤ 7 secs;
Throughput		
Average FTP throughput UL	PS HSxPA	+ ≥ 300kbps
Average FTP throughput DL	PS HSxPA	+≥ 600bkps

LTE

KPI	KPI Target
(service) RRC setup success rate	>98%
ERAB Establishment success rate	>98%
(Service) dropped call rate	<3%
Ping round trip Delay – (32 bytes)	>50ms
Single user DL peak throughput at 30MHz (DL: UL=3:1)	120Mbps
Single user UL peak throughput at 30MHz (DL: UL=3:1)	6Mbps
Single user DL peak throughput at 20MHz (DL: UL=3:1)	80Mbps
Single user UL peak throughput at 20MHz (DL: UL=3:1).	6Mbps anka
Tracking Area update Success rate Flectronic Theses & Dissertation	>98% D11S
ECTRAN Mobility WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk	>98%
E-UTRAN IP Latency	<30ms

3. Do you think the target values should differ regionwise? If yes, please identify such parameters and give your reasons.

Yes, Because If you take two regions like Dens and Rural, There is a huge difference in the carried traffic (Sites in dense carries high traffic and Rural area low traffic) and rate of Successful calls and the dropped calls. But when you come to KPI's you will see high call drop rate in Rural sites due to low number of generated calls. From The example you will see that for the Rural area, even for only one call drop you will get 20% of Call drop rate due to less number of calls. Therefore it's better to use regions wise KPI targets.

4. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?

As I mentioned before for Initial KPI's commitment is from the Vendor and Operator negotiate it to get the best network from the Vendor, later on for network maintainers activities operator define new KPI's or they will maintain the initial KPI's from the Vendor.

5. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?

As a Networks quality maintainer, TRC should perform frequent network Benchmarks among operators for different technologies and different services (Data Throughput). At least they should perform this kind of a activity for every six months time and acknowledge mobile operators and show their issues and ways to improve network.

6. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?



Resource Person : Group Answer

Company : Ericsson Telecommunications Lanka (Pvt) Ltd

1. What are the QoS parameters you propose to measure, to ensure the customer satisfaction in mobile broadband?

- a. If the idea is to ensure Customer Satisfaction (i.e. QoE : Quality of Experience), more forcus should give to end-user performance.
- Eg . Data Throughput, Call Setup Success Rate, CQI average,
 PS Drop Rate, RTT : Round-trip-time, Latency & Jitter, BER, Re-Transmissions

2. What are the target values you propose for the above mentioned parameters?

- a. Rough values are given for happy Customers
- b. U Data Throughput (5 21 Mbps), Gall Setup Success Rate (99%), Col Everage (125 These Drop Rate (15%) nRTT: Round-trip-time (100 ms), Latency & Fitter (lowest), BER (<10%)
- 3. What are the parties you propose to measure those QoS parameters?
 - a. Depends on the requirement :
- 4. How frequently should an audit be carried out by TRCSL?
 - a. Refer the earlier attachments

5. Do you have any other suggestions or comments?

a. QoS/QoE measurements are heavily subjective. Their accuracy depends on the way of collecting data, time of data collection, area of data collection, sample size, collection method (Drive Test Vs Network QoS) and often have to use statistical methods for analysis. Better to refer "QoS and QoE Management in UMTS Cellular Systems" book for more details.

Appendix B: Proposed Policy Framework

Quality of Service Parameters and Target Values for Broadband

Mobile Telephony

Definition	Measurement (Periods of measurement –one month and one quarter)	Proposed Target
	QoS_1. Download Speed	
	The regulator shall measure the download speed. Jniversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	No target specified at this stage.
	QoS_2. Latency	
This is the amount of time taken by a packet to reach the receiving endpoint after being transmitted from the sending point.	The regulator shall measure the latency using the test defined in QoS_1.	Less than 200ms
	QoS_3. Average Throughput	
It is defined as the rate at which packets are transmitted in a network.	The regulator shall measure the average throughput using the test defined in QoS_1.	3G: 2Mbps LTE: 5Mbps

QoS	S_4. PS Service Drop Rate/ E-RAB Drop R	ate
It measures the inability of Network to maintain a connection and is defined as the percentage of abnormal disconnects with respect to all disconnects.	Computational Methodology: In UMTS; PS Call Drop Rate = Number of PS RAB Abnormal Releases Total Number of PS RAB Releases* 100% In LTE; E - RAB Drop Rate = Number of E - RAB Abnormal Releases = Number of E - RAB Abnormal Realeases + Number of E - RAB Normal Realeases) Note: These values are measured from Access Network.	Less than 5%
It is defined as the percentage of PS RAB/E-RAB failures with respect to all PS RAB/E-RAB attempts.	PSRAB Setup Success Ratio = Number of PSRAB Setup Successes Number of PSRAB Setup Attempts In LTE, E - RAB Setup Success Rate Number of E - RAB Successful Establishments Number of E - RAB Attempt Establishments * 100% Note: These values are measured from Access Network.	Greater than 95%
Q	oS_6. RRC Connection Setup Success Rate	e

It is defined as the	Computational Methodology:	Greater
percentage of RRC		than 95%
Connection Setup	In UMTS;	
failures with respect	, and an array	
to all RRC	RRC Setup Success Ratio =	
	Number of RRC Setup Successes	
Connection Setup	Number of RRC Connection Attempts	
attempts.	Number of RRC Connection Attempts	
	In LTE;	
	RRC Connection Success Rate	
	_ Number of RRC Suceessful Connections	
	Number of RRC Attempt Connections	
	* 100%	
	Note: These values are measured from	
	Access Network.	
0		
Q	oS_7. PDP Context Activation Success Rat	e
	_	
It is defined as the	oS_7. PDP Context Activation Success Rate Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka.	Greater
It is defined as the percentage of PDP	_	
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation	Government Methodology: Sri Lanka. lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect	Gomputational Methodology: Sri Lanka. lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.umbenof Successfully Completed PDP Co	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Gomputational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.dinberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co = Number of Total Attempts of Context	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect	Gomputational Methodology: Sri Lanka. lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.umbenof Successfully Completed PDP Co	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Gomputational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.dinberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co = Number of Total Attempts of Context	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.dinberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100%	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Gomputational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.dinberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co = Number of Total Attempts of Context	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WWW.dinberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100%	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WMumber of Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network.	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WMuliberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network. It is required not to consider failures	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WMuliberiof Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network. It is required not to consider failures which are happened due to charging	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WMumber of Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network. It is required not to consider failures which are happened due to charging (Online Charging System – OCS related	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Context Activation Success Rate Context Activation Success Rate WMultiberiof Successfully Completed PDP Context Number of Total Attempts of Context 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network. It is required not to consider failures which are happened due to charging (Online Charging System – OCS related issues in prepaid customers and	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WMumber of Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network. It is required not to consider failures which are happened due to charging (Online Charging System – OCS related issues in prepaid customers and authentication failures due to credit issues	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka.	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka. Lectronic Theses & Dissertations PDP Context Activation Success Rate WMumber of Successfully Completed PDP Co Number of Total Attempts of Context * 100% Note: These values are measured from Core Network. It is required not to consider failures which are happened due to charging (Online Charging System – OCS related issues in prepaid customers and authentication failures due to credit issues	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka.	Greater than 95%
It is defined as the percentage of PDP Context Activation failures with respect to all PDP Context	Computational Methodology: Sri Lanka.	Greater than 95%