EVENT BASED MODELLING OF STREAMFLOW FOR RELIABLE FLOOD MITIGATION AND DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGNS USING SNYDER'S SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH METHOD - A CASE STUDY OF KARASNAGALA WATERSHED IN THE ATTANAGALU OYA OF SRI LANKA

Gautam Thapa



Degree of Master of Engineering in
Water Resources Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2014

EVENT BASED MODELLING OF STREAMFLOW FOR RELIABLE FLOOD MITIGATION AND DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGNS USING SNYDER'S SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH METHOD - A CASE STUDY OF KARASNAGALA WATERSHED IN THE ATTANAGALU OYA OF SRI LANKA

Gautam Thapa

(138660M)

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the

Degree of Master of Engineering in Water Resources Engineering and Management University of Wioratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk
Degree of Master of Engineering in

Water Resources Engineering and Management

Supervised by Professor N.T.S.Wijesekera

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM) Department of Civil Engineering

> University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

> > August 2014

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person expect where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)

Gautam Thapa	Date
The above candidate has	rsity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Chief Theresearchise the Masters thesis under my lib.mrt.ac.lk
Professor N.T.S.Wijeseker	ra Date

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of water resources development is to enhance the water availability and equitable distribution among the stakeholders. Most of the infrastructure development structures are seen in the ungauged watersheds and as a country looking forward for development activities requires accurate estimations.

Although the regional parameters provide a simple and clear indication, only limited work could be found on event based or watershed characteristics based or watershed characteristic based runoff coefficient estimates. In this study, daily rainfall data is applied to Karasnagala river basin (52.58 km²), Sri Lanka to simulate discharge. The study used event based modelling and Concave method baseflow separation technique to derive the Snyder's Unit Hydrograph parameters. A minimum Inter-event Time criterion was applied to determine the independent events for modelling. The model calibration was done with 30 events and 30 events were used for model verification. An average value of Ct and Cp from 30 optimised events during calibration was 3.75 and 0.38 respectively. Model performance showed that Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) and Ratio of Absolute Error to Mean (RAEM) were 0.20 and 0.21 respectively. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

This model developed for Karasnagala provides Low values of MRAE and RAEM reflected the very good matching the peakflow magnitude and the shape the opportunity to make better estimates of water recourse . The Synthetic Unit Hydrograph parameters Ct and Cp obtained with systematic calibration and verification process demonstrates the applicability of the method to any ungauged watershed of the region with a short duration of gauged data.

The model computations with Concave baseflow separation method revealed an average loss rate of 1.20mm/hr for Karasnagala watershed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I take this opportunity to extend my sincere and heartfelt gratitude to Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera for his continuous guidance, support, encouragement and valuable advice throughout the study. The outcome of this report and development of my research calibre was due to his strong commitment and conviction. He has been a true guardian.

I wish to expresses my deep appreciation to Dr. R.L.H Lalith Rajapakse for rendering his unending support and guidance provided both in terms of academic and logistic welfare during my stay. He has been a source of inspiration.

I also wish to express my gratitude to Dr. T.M.N Wijaratna for his support. I would also like to extend my gratitude to Mr. Susantha Shameera Wanniarachchi and Mr. W.M.D.Wijesinghe for sharing their reference papers and their valuable knowledge. I would also like to add Ms. Gayani Edirisinghe for her continuous support.

I also acknowledge the University of Moratuwa Senate for providing a research grant to access the data and other support for the research work through the Senate research grant number SRC/LT/2011/15.

I would like to add Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe and all other support staff in my list to thank for their assistance to make my stay comfortable.

Finally I would like to thank Late. Shri Madanjeet Singh South Asia Foundation (SAF) and the University of Moratuwa for giving me this opportunity to study towards a Master Degree in water Resources Engineering and Management, at UNESO Madanjeet Singh Center for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

I am grateful to my parents for all their wisdom and guidance. I am thankful to my wife and all my family members for their support and motivations rendered to successfully complete the course.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		RATION	i
Al	3STR/	ACT	ii
		WLEDGEMENTS	iii
		FIGURES	vi
		TABLES	viii
1		TRODUCTION	1
	1.1	General	1
	1.2	Objective of the Study	4
2		ΓERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1	Unit Hydrograph	5
	2.2	Event Based Modelling	6
	2.3	Rainfall events and corresponding streamflow	8
	2.4	Minimum Inter-event Time (MIT)	8
	2.5	Rainfall Loss	9
	2.6	Baseflow Separation	10
	2.7	Parameter Optimization	11
	2.8	Model Development, Calibration and Verification	12
3		ETHODOLOGY	14
	3.1	General	15
	3.2	Theiessen Average Rainfall	15
	3.3	Event Selection	16
	3.4	Baseflow Separation	17
	3.5	Rainfall Loss	17
	3.6	Spreadsheet for Model Calculation	18
	3.7	Model Calibration (Parameter Optimization) and Verification	18
4	\mathbf{D}^{A}	ATA AND DATA CHECKING	20
	4.1	Study Area University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	20
	4.2		22
	4.3	Data Checking lectronic Theses & Dissertations	26
5	AN	NALYSIS AND RESULTSO. mrt. ac.lk Catchment Geometric Parameters	29
	5.1	Catchment Geometric Parameters	29
	5.2	Thiessen Averaged Rainfall	29
	5.3	Event Selection	29
	5.4	Baseflow Separation	30
	5.5	Effective Rainfall	35
	5.6	Range of Model Parameters	35
	5.7	UH Computations	36
	5.8	Direct Runoff	38
	5.9	Model Calibration and Model Verification	38
	5.10	Model Verification	50
	5.11	Calibration of events used for Verification	59
6	DI	SCUSSION	61
	6.1	Performance of Average Parameters – Calibration Data	61
	6.2	Performance of Average Parameters- Verification Data	64
	6.3	Loss Rate of Rainfall Events	65
	6.4	Direct Runoff	66
	6.5	Behaviour of Ct and Cp	69
	6.6	Evaluation of Snyder's Method	74
	6.7	Data Resolution	76
	6.8	Event Selection	76
	6.9	Baseflow Separation	77
	6.10	Effective Rainfall	77
	6.11	Model Calibration and Verification	77

7 CC	ONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	79
7.1	Conclusion	79
7.2	Recommendation	80
REFERE	ENCES	87
Appendi	x-A Rainfall and Corresponding Streamflow Records	92
Appendi	x-B Rainfall, Streamflow and corresponding Loss Rate For Each Event	93
Appendi	x-C Rainfall and Streamflow Values Corresponding to Selected Event	96
Appendi	x-E Corresponding Peakflow and Time to Peak values for Trial Parameter Ranges	98
Appendi	x-F Result of Model Verification Events in Log and Normal Graph	114
Appendi	x-G A Sample of Spreadsheet Developed for Model Computation	131



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Methodology Flow Chart	14
Figure 3.2: Theissen Polygons, Rainfall Stations and Watershed Boundary of Karasnagala	16
Figure 4.1: Map Representing Study Area	21
Figure 4.2: Landuse Map of Karasnagala	22
Figure 4.3: Streamflow Corresponding Monthly Rainfall 1971-1974	24
Figure 4.4: Streamflow Corresponding Monthly Rainfall 1975-1978	24
Figure 4.5: Streamflow Corresponding Monthly Rainfall 1979-1982	24
Figure 4.6: Streamflow Corresponding Monthly Rainfall 1983-1986	25
Figure 4.7: Streamflow Corresponding Monthly Rainfall 1987-1989	25
Figure 4.8: Rainfall and Corresponding Streamflow 1971-1989	25
Figure 5.1: Baseflow, DRO and TRO from Event E7	31
Figure 5.2: Inflection Point in Log Plot for Event E7	31
Figure 5.3: Peak Discharge Corresponding to Each Event	32
Figure 5.4: Total Rainfall Depth Corresponding to Each Event	32
Figure 5.5(a): Peak Flow Corresponding to Rainfall (Normal Plot)	33
Figure 5.5(b): Peak Flow Corresponding to Rainfall (Logarithmic Plot)	33
Figure 5.6: Triangular and Curvilinear UH computed for Karasnagala	37
Figure 5.7: Peak Flow Corresponding to Each Calibration Event	39
Figure 5.8: Peak Flow Corresponding to Each Verification Event	39
Figure 5.9(a): MRAE and RAEM Corresponding to Peak Flow Computation (Normal Plot)	43
Figure 5.10(a): Error in Peak Fow Matching (Logarithmic Plot)	44
Figure 5.10(b): Error in Time to Peak and Base Time Corresponding to the Peak Flow	45
Figure 5.11(a): Error in Time to Peak and Base Time Corresponding to the Peak Flow (Normal	15
Plot)	44
Figure 5.11(b): Error in Time to Peak and Base Time Corresponding to the Peak Flow	45
Figure 5.12(a): Error in Event Streamflow Volume Corresponding to Peak Flow(Normal Plot)	45
Figure 5.12(b) Error in Event Streamflow Volume Corresponding to reak Flow	46
Figure 5.13(a) Variation Of Ct And Cp Corresponding to Event (Normal Plot)	46
Figure 5.13(b) Variation of Ct and Cp Corresponding to Event (log plot) S	46
Figure 5.13(c). Variation of Cp for Each Event during Calibration	48
Figure 5.13(d): Variation of Ct for Each Event during Calibration	48
Figure 5.14(a): Flow Duration Curve of Calibration Events (Normal Plot)	50
Figure 5.14(a): Flow Duration Curve of Calibration Events (Log Plot)	50
Figure 5.15: Modelling Error (calibration) Event Hydrograph Matching	50
	51
Figure 5.16: RAE of Peak Discharge Estimation Figure 5.17: RAE of Event Streamflow Value Estimation	51
Figure 5.18(a):MRAE and RAEM Corresponding to Peak Flow of Event (Normal)	53
Figure 5.18(b):MRAE and RAEM Corresponding to Peak Flow of Event (Normar)	53
Figure 5.19(a):RAE in Peak Flow Matching (Normal Plot)	53
Figure 5.19(b):RAE in Peak Flow Matching (Log Plot) Figure 5.20(a):RAE in Time to Peak and Base Time to Peak Flow (Normal Plot)	53 54
Figure 5.20(a).RAE in Time to Peak and Base Time to Peak Flow (Normal Flot)	54 54
Figure 5.21(a):RAE in Finite to peak and Base Finite to Feak Flow (Log Flot)	55 55
Figure 5.21(a).RAE in Event Streamflow Volume to Peak Flow (Normal Flot)	55 55
	56
Figure 5.22(a): Flow Duration Curve for Verification Event (Normal Plot)	
Figure 5.22(b): Flow Duration Curve for Verification Event (Log Plot)	57 57
Figure 5.23: Modelling Error (Verification) Event Hydrograph Matching Figure 5.24: RAE of Peak Discharge Estimation	
	58 62
Figure 6.1: MRAE Corresponding to Peak Flow During Calibration	62
Figure 6.2: MRAE Corresponding to Peak Flow Figure 6.3(a): Seasonal Variation of Event Loss Rate (Normal Plot)	65
	66 67
Figure 6.3(b): Seasonal Variation of Event Loss Rate (Log Plot) Figure 6.4(a): Direct Pureff Variation with Event Painfall on Calibration Dataset (Normal Plot)	
Figure 6.4(a): Direct Runoff Variation with Event Rainfall on Calibration Dataset (Normal Plot)	68
Figure 6.4(b): Direct Runoff Variation with Event Rainfall on Calibration Dataset (Normal Plot)	68

Figure 6.5: DRO Variation with Event Rainfall in Maha Season	69
Figure 6.6: DRO Variation with Event Rainfall in Yala Season.	69
Figure 6.7: MRAE and RAEM Corresponding to Event Ct Value	72
Figure 6.8: MRAE and RAEM Corresponding to Event Cp Value	72
Figure 6.9: RAE Qp & Tp Corresponding to Event Ct Value	72
Figure 6.10: RAE Qp & Tp Corresponding to Event Cp value.	73
Figure 6.11(a): Cp Value Corresponding to Event Rainfall (Normal Plot)	73
Figure 6.11(b): Cp Value Corresponding to Event Rainfall (Log Plot)	73
Figure 6.12(a): Ct Value Corresponding to Event Rainfall (Normal Plot)	74
Figure 6.12(b): Ct value Corresponding to Event Rainfall (Log Plot)	74



LIST OF TABLES

Γable 4.1: Land Use Distribution of Karasnagala Watershed	. 20
Γable 4.2: Data source and Availability	. 23
Γable 4.3: Gauging Stations and Location	. 23
Γable 4.4: Distribution of Gauging Station	. 26
Γable 4.5: Rainfall Average by Thiessen and Arithmetic mean method (mm/year)	. 27
Γable 4.6: Seasonal Rainfall and Streamflow at Karasnagala	. 28
Γable 5.1: Catchment Parameters	. 29
Γable 5.2: Thiessen Areas and Corresponding Weights	. 29
Γable 5.3: Distribution of Events Among Maha and Yala Season	. 30
Γable 5.4: Key Event Parameters	. 34
Γable 5.5: A Typical Computation of the Phi-index Computation and Effective Rainfall	. 35
Γable 5.6: Terminology applied for Snyder UH model	. 36
Γable 5.7: UH Parameters and Corresponding Optimised Average Ct and Cp Values	. 38
Table 5.8: Key Parameters of Calibration Events	. 41
Γable 5.9: Optimised Parameters and Numerical Comparison of Calibration Results	. 42
Γable 5.10: Summary Results of Calibration Events	. 48
Γable 5.11: Details of Verification Events	. 51
Γable 5.12: Summary Results of Verification Events	. 55
Γable 5.13: Numerical Comparison of Verification Result	. 58
Table 6.1: MRAE Error Values with Individual Event Based and Average Parameter	62
Γable 6.2: Model Outputs from Calibration Dataset with the Use of Average Parameter Set from	30
Calibrated Events	69
Table 6.3: Comparison of Errors with Individual and Average Parameters	64
Γable 6.4: Numerical values of Direct Runoff from Calibration Events	64
Γable 6.5: Numerical Values of Direct Runoff from Verification Events	69
Γable 6.6: : Regional Parameters and Error Values for Each Event	69
Table 6.7: Error Values, Event Rainfall and Stream Volume for Each Event	. 74
Table 6.8: Details of Model Calibration & Verification Events and Model Estimations Electronic Theses & Dissertations	74
www lib mrt ac lk	